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## I. INTRODUCTION

Q. What is your name, occupation, and business address?
A. My name is Ralph Smith. I am a Certified Public Accountant licensed in the State of Michigan and a senior regulatory consultant at the firm Larkin \& Associates, PLLC, Certified Public Accountants, with offices at 15728 Farmington Road, Livonia, Michigan 48154.

## Q. Please describe the firm Larkin \& Associates, PLLC.

A. Larkin \& Associates, PLLC ("Larkin"), is a Certified Public Accounting and Regulatory Consulting Firm. The firm performs independent regulatory consulting primarily for public service/utility commission staffs and consumer interest groups (public counsels, public advocates, consumer counsels, attorneys general, etc.). Larkin has extensive experience in the utility regulatory field as expert witnesses in over 600 regulatory proceedings, including numerous electric, water and wastewater, gas and telephone utility cases.
Q. Mr. Smith, please summarize your educational background and recent work experience.
A. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration (Accounting Major) with distinction from the University of Michigan - Dearborn, in April 1979. I passed all parts of the C.P.A. examination on my first sitting in 1979, received my C.P.A. license in 1981, and received a certified financial planning certificate in 1983. I also have a Master of Science in Taxation from Walsh College, 1981, and a law
degree (J.D.) cum laude from Wayne State University, 1986. In addition, I have attended a variety of continuing education courses in conjunction with maintaining my accountancy license. I am a licensed Certified Public Accountant and attorney in the State of Michigan. Since 1981, I have been a member of the Michigan Association of Certified Public Accountants. I am also a member of the Michigan Bar Association. I have also been a member of the American Bar Association (ABA), and the ABA sections on Public Utility Law and Taxation.

## Q. Please summarize your professional experience.

A. After graduating from the University of Michigan, and after a short period of installing a computerized accounting system for a Southfield, Michigan realty management firm, I accepted a position as an auditor with the predecessor CPA firm to Larkin \& Associates in July 1979. Before becoming involved in utility regulation where the majority of my time for the past 39 years has been spent, I performed audit, accounting, and tax work for a wide variety of businesses that were clients of the firm.

## Q. Have you previously testified before the Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission?

A. Yes. I previously testified before the Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission for a Providence Water rate case, Docket No. 4618, a Suez Water rate case, Docket No. 4800, and in NBC's last rate case, Docket No. 4890. I also presented testimony in a Block Island Utility District d/b/a Block Island Power Company rate case, Docket No. 4975 and a Pascoag Utility District rate case, Docket No. 5134.
Q. Have you previously submitted testimony before other state regulatory commissions?
A. Yes. I have previously submitted testimony before many other state regulatory commissions, including Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Nevada, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, Washington D.C., West Virginia, and Canada as well as the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and various state and federal courts of law. My prior testimonies have included evaluations of numerous utility rate case filings and revenue requirement determinations.

## Q. Have you prepared an exhibit describing your qualifications and experience?

A. Yes. I have attached Exhibit No. RCS-1, which is a summary of my regulatory experience and qualifications.

## Q. On whose behalf are you appearing?

A. Larkin \& Associates, PLLC, was retained by the Division of Public Utilities and Carriers ("the Division") to review the rate request of Narragansett Bay Commission ("Narragansett," "NBC" or "Company"). Accordingly, I am appearing on behalf of the Division.

## Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

A. I am presenting the Division's overall recommended revenue requirement for NBC in this case. I sponsor several adjustments to the Company's proposed revenue requirement.

## Q. Have you attached any other Exhibits or Schedules to your testimony?

A. Yes. I prepared Exhibit RCS-2 which presents the revenue requirement calculation for the Rate Year ending June 30, 2024, giving effect to all of the adjustments that I am recommending in this testimony. Exhibit RCS-2 contains schedules showing the revenue requirement, operating revenues, operating expenses, debt service and adjusted net operating income, and also includes schedules for each adjustment I am recommending.

Additionally, Exhibit RCS-3 presents a User Charge Calculation and Proof of Revenue that reflects the Division's adjusted results. Exhibit RCS-3 contains Schedule A, which is similar to NBC's Schedule 36, showing the Non-User Charge Calculation and Proof of Revenue.

## Q. How will your testimony be organized?

A. In Section II, I present the overall financial summary for the base rate change to be effective for the Rate Year ended June 30, 2024, showing the revenue requirement and revenue increase recommended by the Division.

In Section III, I briefly address the four additional step increases for FY2025, FY2026, FY2027 and FY2028 that NBC has requested, each of which related to NBC's projections for debt service.

In Section IV, I briefly discuss the test year and Rate Year used by NBC, and explain the criteria applied for a cut-off date of June 30, 2024 that was utilized for examining NBC's proposed costs for the FY2024-based Rate Year.

In Section V, I discuss my proposed adjustments which impact the Company's revenue requirement. Exhibit RCS-2 attached to my testimony presents the Division's Revenue Requirement and Adjustment Schedules.

## II. OVERALL FINANCIAL SUMMARY - BASE RATE CHANGE FOR FY2024

## RATE YEAR

Q. What revenue increase is the Company seeking?
A. The Company's application indicates that NBC is requesting a general base rate user charge revenue increase of $\$ 11,257,959$ to support its claimed total revenue requirement of $\$ 115,574,327$ for the Rate Year ending June 30, 2024. Overall, the increase requested by the Company would be $11.11 \%$.

## Q. Were certain errors discovered in NBC's application?

A. Yes. NBC's Schedule 1, at page 3, includes Operating Capital amounts totaling $\$ 3,862,859$ which are funded from the Restricted Operating Capital Account - OCP in the Project Fund and which, therefore, should have been removed as a Rate Year adjustment and not included in NBC's Rate Year Revenue Requirement, as indicated by NBC in its response to PUC 1-1.

Additionally, NBC's Schedule 1, at page 3, shows Rate Year amounts for Lease Payments of $\$ 105,283$ and for Lease Interest Expense of $\$ 8,177$, for a
combined amount of $\$ 113,400$, which NBC did not include in its proposed Rate Year revenue increase amount of $\$ 11,257,959$.
Q. How have you reflected the impact of those error corrections?
A. On Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule RCS-1, page 1 of 5, line 6, I have included the Lease/Subscriptions amount of $\$ 113,400$, where it has been included in the total Rate Year Revenue Requirement amount on line 11.

On Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule RCS-3, as described in the section of my testimony concerning Division adjustments, I show adjustment to remove the Operating Capital amounts totaling $\$ 3,862,859$. The removal of those Operating Capital amounts from the revenue requirement for NBC is also shown on Schedule RCS-1, page 1 , line 8 , column B, and on Schedule RCS-1, page 5, lines 16-25, in column B.

## Q. Were those the only errors discovered in NBC's rate application?

A. No. Certain other apparent errors involving specific expenses were also discovered in NBC's application, and are discussed in my testimony in the context of addressing specific expense adjustments.

## Q. What revenue requirement do you recommend for NBC for the Rate Year?

A. As shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule RCS-1, page 1, my recommended adjustments in this case result in a recommended revenue increase for NBC of $\$ 3.105$ million. This is $\$ 8.266$ million less than the $\$ 11.258$ million "first phase" base rate increase that was requested by NBC in its November 4, 2022 General Rate Filing.
Q. Have you presented a reconciliation of NBC's request and the Division's recommended adjusted results for the Rate Year?
A. Yes. A reconciliation of NBC's requested revenue increase and the Division's recommended adjustments is presented on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule RCS-2. The estimated impact of each Division adjustment is shown there.

## III. FOUR ADDITIONAL STEP INCREASES PROPOSED BY NBC

Q. Does NBC's November 4, 2022 General Rate Filing also include requests for four additional annual step increases?
A. Yes. NBC has included with its General Rate Filing application a request for four additional user charge step revenue increases, which are described on page 5 of Mr . Fox's Direct Testimony, as follows:

Step 2 (FY25) - NBC requires additional user charge revenue in the amount of $\$ 8,735,691$ for debt service. This represents a $7.76 \%$ increase over the proposed Step 1 revenue in FY24.

Step 3 (FY26) - NBC requires additional user charge revenue of $\$ 3,103,269$ for debt service. This represents a $2.56 \%$ increase over the proposed Step 2 revenue in FY25.
Step 4 (FY27) - NBC requires additional user charge revenue of $\$ 2,112,378$ for debt service. This represents a $1.70 \%$ increase over the proposed Step 3 revenue in FY26.

Step 5 (FY28) - NBC requires additional user charge revenue of $\$ 5,776,999$ for debt service. This represents a $4.57 \%$ increase over the proposed Step 4 revenue in FY27.
Q. Has NBC developed and provided some information concerning its capital budgets for fiscal years 2025 through 2028 ?
A. Yes. NBC's response to Division 1-12 stated that NBC's Capital Budget consists of a six-year Capital Improvement Program and Operating Capital Program that are updated each year. That NBC response provided information concerning its FY 2022 and FY 2023 Capital Budgets and its draft FY2024 Capital Budget. NBC's response to Division 1-12 also states that: "NBC has not prepared/updated the Capital Budgets for FY 2025, 2026, 2027 or 2028."
Q. Has NBC developed and provided Operating Budgets for fiscal years 2024 through 2028 ?
A. No. NBC's response to Division 1-11 states that NBC has not prepared its operating budgets for fiscal years 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027 and 2028.
Q. Are the step increases that are requested by NBC for fiscal years 2025, 2026, 2027 and 2028 all related to debt service?
A. Yes. NBC's response to Division 1-8(a), for example, states that NBC's request for rate relief beyond the Rate Year (FY2024) is for debt service only.
Q. Are the step increases that are requested by NBC for fiscal years beyond 2024 all related to debt service on debt that NBC had not yet issued as of December 31, 2022 ?
A. Yes. NBC's response to Division 3-2(e) states that: "None of the projected increases for FYs 2025, 2026, 2027 or 2028 on Schedule 33 are based on debt issuances that have occurred as of 12/31/2022."
Q. Are there concerns regarding whether NBC can accurately forecast its debt service requirements for several years into the future?
A. Yes. While NBC has identified expectations that it will need significant amounts of debt in years subsequent to FY 2024 to finance planned capital projects, it is a challenging time for anyone trying to forecast interest rates and debt financing costs. Thus, there are concerns regarding whether NBC's projections that have been provided in the current case in NBC's rate application will turn out to represent accurately forecasted debt service requirements for years subsequent to the FY2024 Rate Year. Moreover, with other alternatives available to address NBC's debt service costs in years subsequent to the FY2024 Rate Year, there is no compelling reason to set step increases for NBC's rates in the current rate case for years subsequent to the FY2024 Rate Year.
Q. Would having a five-year rate plan as proposed by NBC also imply that NBC's revenues and non-debt service costs are expected to be relatively stable over the five-year time frame covered in the five-year rate plan?

A Yes. Having a five-year rate plan, with step increases limited only to changes in projected future debt service costs, would imply that NBC's revenues and non-debt service costs are expected to be relatively stable over the rate plan time frame.
Q. Has NBC demonstrated that it would be reasonable to expect that its non-debt service costs would be stable for FYs 2025, 2026, 2027 or 2028 ?
A. No.
Q. Should the five-year rate plan requested by NBC be approved in this case?
A. No. The five-year rate plan requested by NBC in this case should be rejected.
Q. Has NBC indicated that it could seek recovery for increases beyond the Rate Year (FY2024) that are related only to debt service through the Debt Service Compliance Filing Mechanism?
A. Yes. NBC indicated that it could seek recovery for step increases beyond the Rate Year (FY2024) that are related only to debt service through the Debt Service Compliance Filing Mechanism if the Commission extends it to FY2027. See, e.g., NBC's responses to Division 1-8(b) and Division 1-9.
Q. Since its filing of a rate increase application in this docket, has NBC significantly revised its estimates of anticipated borrowing?
A. Yes. As described in NBC's response to Division 3-2(g), NBC updated its expected debt issuances for FY 2024 through FY 2028. NBC's response to Division 3-2(g) states in part as follows:
... NBC's original filing in this Docket anticipated borrowing $\$ 190,000,000$ in FY 2024 and $\$ 60,000,000$ in FY 2025, for a total of $\$ 250,000,000$. Now, NBC anticipates borrowing $\$ 50,000,000$ in FY 2024 and $\$ 138,594$ in FY 2025, for a total of $\$ 188,984,594$. Thus, the total borrowing amount for FYs 2024 and 2025 is now $\$ 61,015,406$ lower. However, NBC's original filing in this Docket did not anticipate any borrowings in FYs 2026, 2027 and 2028. Now, NBC anticipates borrowing $\$ 106,775,044$ in FY 2026, $\$ 60,737,608$ in FY 2027, and $\$ 10,015,831$ in FY 2028.
Given how much the timing and total of NBC's debt needs has changed in one year, NBC withdraws its requests for rate increases in FYs 2026, 2027 and 2028. Further, NBC would prefer to only have the Commission rule on a rate increase for FY 2024 and have the debt service compliance filing mechanism reinstated. This will allow NBC to deal with future rate increases attributable
solely to debt through the debt service compliance filing mechanism rather than filing full rate cases and multi-year rate increases. However, NBC does not wish to formally withdraw its multi-year request for FY 2024 and FY 2025 at this time in the event the Commission does not reinstate the multi-year compliance filing mechanism, because it is not in the ratepayers' best interest to have NBC file another full rate case at the conclusion of this case to solely address the increase needed in FY 2025 for debt service.

If anything, NBC's filing of a multi-year rate increase in this Docket demonstrates that the debt service compliance filing mechanism is in the best interest of NBC and its ratepayers. The debt service compliance filing mechanism allows NBC to nimbly address its borrowing needs, without having to file full rate cases, by allowing NBC to address current conditions and changes to its CIP, take advantage of changes in market conditions, find potential new funding opportunities, and develop more creative debt structuring to mitigate rate impacts.
(Emphasis supplied.)
Q. What is your recommendation concerning the increases in user charges that NBC has requested beyond the FY2024 Rate Year that are related only to debt service?
A. As stated above, I recommend that the increases in user charges that NBC has requested beyond the FY2024 Rate Year that are related only to debt service be addressed in the Debt Service Compliance Filing Mechanism, which should be extended to accommodate those debt service related revenue increases.

## Q. What do you recommend for setting NBC's new rates in this case?

A. I recommend that new rates for NBC should be approved in this case based on adjusted FY2024 Rate Year results. As noted above, increases in NBC's cost of service that are related to debt service costs for fiscal years subsequent to FY2024 should be addressed by extending the Debt Service Compliance Filing Mechanism.

NBC's response to Division 3-2(g), as quoted above, indicates that NBC now agrees with this approach.

## IV. TEST YEAR AND RATE YEAR

Q. What test year and Rate Year were used by NBC to develop its proposed revenue requirement and requested revenue increase?
A. NBC's application uses a test year of the 12 months ending June 30, 2022. NBC is on a fiscal year ending June 30. NBC's starting point for developing its proposed revenue requirement is audited accounting information for the 12 months ended June 30, 2022 (i.e., fiscal year 2022). NBC proposes a Rate Year consisting of the 12 months ending June 30, 2024 (FY 2024).
Q. What effective date has NBC requested for its FY 2024 based revenue increase?
A. NBC has proposed that its requested $\$ 11,257,959$ revenue increase take effect on December 5, 2022. NBC has thus proposed that its FY 2024 based revenue increase would become effective before on July 1, 2023, which is the first day in NBC's FY 2024.

## Q. How does NBC define its fiscal year?

A. NBC's fiscal year runs from July 1st through the following June, and is numbered with the calendar year in which it ends. The FY 2023 budget year begins July 1, 2022 and ends June 30, 2023. The subsequent fiscal year or FY 2024 is the 12 month period that ends June 30, 2024.
Q. What time periods have you used in making your determination of NBC's revenue requirements?
A. I have accepted NBC's use of the test year ending June 30, 2022 (FY 2022) and a Rate Year ending June 30, 2024 (FY 2024) as the basis for determining NBC's revenue requirements. However, I have also used other historical information and NBC's FY 2023 Budget ${ }^{1}$ as a point of comparison in evaluating some of NBC's proposed adjustments to the FY 2024 Rate Year.
Q. Has NBC included projected cost increases occurring beyond the Rate Year ending June 30, 2024 for certain expenses?
A. It does not appear so from the review conducted to date, but this is continuing to be investigated. If NBC has included cost increases that would be incurred beyond the end of the Rate Year, such as estimated cost increases that NBC expects for July 1, 2024 or beyond, those would have been removed and/or limited to expense increases from the test year to the Rate Year, i.e., to amounts that would be incurred during the FY 2024 Rate Year but not beyond the Rate Year.
Q. Is it your intention to allow any expense increases that would occur beyond the end of the Rate Year, which is the $\mathbf{1 2}$ months ending June 20, 2024 ?
A. No. If NBC adjustments are identified for expense or cost increases that would not become effective until July 1, 2024 (i.e., after the Rate Year), the post-Rate Year amounts should be eliminated.
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## Q. How is the remainder of your testimony organized?

A. The remainder of my testimony is organized into sections corresponding to the specific issue or topic being addressed. The sections are set forth in the Table of Contents for this testimony.

## Operating Capital Correction

## Q. Did NBC's application include Operating Capital amounts it its proposed Rate

## Year revenue requirement?

A. Yes. NBC's Schedule 1, page 3, included Operating Capital of $\$ 3,862,859$ in its requested Rate Year Step 1 in error. That amount should be removed. As NBC's response to PUC-1-1 states:

Comm. 1-1: Referring to Schedule 1, page 3 of 46 of NBC's filing, please provide an itemized listing of the projects that will be funded by the $\$ 3,862,859$ of Operating Capital requested in Rate Year Step 1.

Response: The Operating Capital for the Rate Year Step 1 should have been backed out as a Rate Year adjustment and not included in the Total Revenue Requirement for the Rate Year. The Operating Capital is funded from the Restricted Operating Capital Account - OCP in the Project Fund. Please see Attachment COMM. 1-1 for the revised Schedule 1. Please note that the adjusted test year total revenue requirement in the attachment differs from that in the original filing because the Lease/Subscriptions Expense of $\$ 113,400$, while included in the schedule, was not included in the sum formula.

## Q. Please explain the adjustment to remove the Operating Capital amounts.

A. Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule RCS-3 shows the adjustment to remove the Operating Capital amounts totaling $\$ 3,862,859$. The removal of those Operating Capital amounts from the revenue requirement for NBC is also shown on Schedule RCS-1, page 1, line 8, column B, and on Schedule RCS-1, page 5, lines 16-25, in column B.
Q. NBC's response to PUC 1-1 also mentions that the Lease/Subscriptions Expense of $\$ 113,400$, while included in the schedule, was not included in NBC's total cost of service. How have you addressed that item?
A. On Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule RCS-1, page 1 of 5, line 6, I have included the Lease/Subscriptions amount of $\$ 113,400$, where it has been included in the total Rate Year Revenue Requirement amount on line 11.

## Fiscal Year 2024 Debt Service Cost

## Q. What has NBC proposed for Fiscal Year 2024 Debt Service Cost?

A. NBC's application included $\$ 59,337,675$ for Debt Service Costs for the Rate Year, as shown on NBC's Schedule 1, page 3, on the line item titled "Total Debt Service" which I have replicated on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule 1, page 1, line 9, in column A, and on Schedule 1, page 5, lines 26-29, in column A.
Q. What amount are you recommending for Fiscal Year 2024 Debt Service Cost?
A. As shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule 4, NBC's Exhibit KG-1 from Docket No. D-23-04 shows a FY 2024 Debt Service amount of $\$ 46,470,174$ for principal and interest. Applying the 1.25 multiplier to that indicates total Debt Service of $\$ 58,087,718$, which is $\$ 1,249,958$ lower than NBC's proposed amount of $\$ 59,337,675$. The adjustment to reduce NBC's proposed Rate Year amount for Debt Service, including the Debt Service Coverage, by $\$ 1,249,958$ is shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule 4.

## Q. Has NBC proposed an adjustment to reduce the adjusted test year user fee revenue?

A. Yes. Referring to the Consumption Fee revenue amounts on NBC's Schedule DMF1, NBC has proposed adjustments to reduce the adjusted test year user fee revenue by $\$ 385,084$ for declining residential customer usage, by $\$ 1,076,994$ for declining commercial customer usage and by $\$ 171,083$ for declining industrial customer usage.

## Q. What is the Company proposing for declining consumption?

A. As described on pages 13-15 of NBC witness David Fox's direct testimony and shown on his Schedules DMF-4, DMF-5 and DMF-6, NBC has projected declining water consumption to occur from the test year into the Rate Year for residential, commercial and industrial customers.

As shown on Schedule DMF-5, NBC proposes to adjust test year billable consumption for NBC's wastewater service downward for Residential for estimated decreases of $0.6 \%$ in each year, FY2023 and FY2024. NBC proposes to adjust test year billable consumption for Commercial customers for estimated decreases of 2.2\% in each year, FY2023 and FY2024. NBC is also proposing a declining consumption adjustment for Industrial customers of $4.1 \%$ in each year, FY2023 and FY2024.

The basis for the estimated amount of decline is a comparison that NBC made of actual billable consumption for fiscal years 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2022, which is summarized on NBC witness David Fox's testimony at page 14 and is shown in NBC Schedule DMF-5. At page 13 of his Direct Testimony, Mr. Fox states that for purposes of analyzing historical trends, he omitted FY2021 results from his analysis "to reflect an anomalous consumption year due to COVID-19 impacts on consumer behavior."
Q. Have you performed an analysis of FY 2024 Rate Year residential, commercial and industrial consumption fee revenue?
A. Yes. I discuss my analysis of residential, commercial and industrial consumption fee revenue in the following sections of my testimony.

## Revenue - Declining Residential Consumption

Q. Has NBC estimated that FY2022 actual residential consumption will decrease in each year, FY2023 and FY2024?
A. Yes. NBC's proposed revenue adjustment to decrease FY 2022 test year revenue for residential consumption is based upon estimated annual decreases of $0.6 \%$ from the FY 2022 level in each year, FY 2023 and FY 2024.
Q. Has billable residential consumption declined in each year?
A. No. NBC's information shows that billable residential consumption increased from 8, 110,082 $\mathrm{HCF}^{2}$ in FY2020 to 8,710,408 HCF in FY2021. Additionally, information from NBC's last rate case, which was omitted from NBC's analysis of residential consumption in the current case, shows that residential consumption in FY 2015 and FY 2017 were higher than the level for residential consumption that was used in NBC's rate case in Docket No. 4478.

[^1]Direct Testimony of Ralph C. Smith
Q. Has NBC's billable residential consumption been below the level used in Docket No. 4890 in each year?
A. No. The chart that is shown on NBC witness David Fox's testimony at page 14 shows that in NBC's last rate case listed the Docket No. 4890 residential consumption at 8,153,259 HCF. The actual Residential customer usage in FY 2018 of 8,233,501 HCF, and in FY 2019 of 8,199,972 HCF were above the Docket No. 4890 Residential usage. Additionally, the actual FY 2021 residential consumption of 8,710,408 HCF was above the Docket No. 4890 residential consumption.
Q. What residential consumption does NBC show for the FY2022 test year?
A. NBC shows actual residential consumption of 8,080,205 HCF for the FY2022 test year.
Q. Has NBC demonstrated that residential water usage will be declining from the FY 2022 actual level by 0.6 percent in each year in FY 2023 and FY 2024?
A. No. As noted above, residential usage has declined in some years and has increased in other years. Usage that fluctuates upward and downward from year to year, as has been shown for NBC's residential consumption is not indicative of a continuing downward trend. NBC has failed to demonstrate that billable residential usage will continue to decrease 0.6 \% each year in FY 2023 and FY 2024 beyond the FY 2022 level to the FY 2024 Rate Year.
Q. What information has NBC provided concerning residential consumption by month in calendar year 2022?
A. NBC's response to Division 4-1 shows that calendar year 2022 residential consumption was $8,084,844 \mathrm{HCF}$. That is the most current actual information available and represents an increase of 0.1 percent over the FY 2022 level of 8,080,205 HCF.
Q. What are you recommending for FY 2024 Rate Year residential consumption?
A. NBC has not adequately demonstrated that residential consumption will be declining from FY2022 to FY2024, and NBC has also not adequately demonstrated that, if there was an expected decline, that it would be at the annual rate of decline of $0.6 \%$ per year that was used by NBC. For FY 2024 Rate Year residential consumption, I am recommending using the FY2022 level of 8,080,205 HCF.

I note that the FY2022 level of $8,080,205 \mathrm{HCF}$ for annual residential consumption is below several comparable figures that were considered, including a level of $8,095,144 \mathrm{HCF}$, which is the average of the FY2020 and FY2022 consumption of $8,110,082 \mathrm{HCF}$ and $8,080,205 \mathrm{HCF}$, respectively. The actual FY2022 residential consumption of $8,080,205 \mathrm{HCF}$ is also below the much higher FY2021 consumption of $8,710,408$ HCF which may have been impacted by people spending more time at home during the pandemic. The actual FY2022 residential consumption of $8,080,205 \mathrm{HCF}$ is also below the calendar 2022 level of $8,084,844$ HCF.
Q. How does the FY2022 level for residential consumption compare with the level that was used in Docket No. 4890?
A. Using the FY2022 actual consumption level for the FY2024 Rate Year represents a decrease of $73,054 \mathrm{HCF}$ or $0.9 \%$ from the $8,153,259 \mathrm{HCF}$ residential consumption level from Docket No. 4890.
Q. What impact does that have on residential consumption fee revenue?
A. NBC's projected decrease of $\$ 385,084$ in residential consumption fee revenue from the adjusted FY2022 test year amount to a FY2024 Rate Year is removed. Calculations and analysis are presented on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule RCS-5.

## Revenue - Commercial Consumption

Q. What has NBC projected for Rate Year commercial consumption?
A. NBC projects that actual FY2022 commercial consumption of $4,373,066$ HCF will decrease by $2.2 \%$ in each year, FY2023 and FY2024, and thus NBC has used a decreased level of commercial consumption of 4,185,893 for the FY2024 Rate Year. Related to this projected decline in commercial consumption, NBC has proposed to reduce commercial revenue at current rates from the FY2022 test year to the FY2024 rate year by $\$ 1,076,994$.
Q. Has billable commercial consumption been below the level used in Docket 4890 in each year?
A. No. The chart shown on NBC witness David Fox's testimony at page 14 lists the Docket No. 4890 commercial consumption at 4,678,683 HCF. Commercial customer usage in FY2018 and FY2019 were both above the Docket No. 4890 commercial usage. Commercial consumption has been below the Docket 4890 level in FY2020, FY2021 and FY2022. This analysis is also shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule RCS6.
Q. Has billable commercial consumption declined in each year?
A. No. As shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule RCS-6, billable commercial consumption of $4,373,066$ HCF in FY2022, the test year, is higher than the levels shown for each of the two immediately previous years, FY2020 and FY2021. In other words, the test year level of commercial consumption that NBC is proposing to reduce is higher than the commercial consumption in each of the prior two years.

While commercial consumption declined significantly from FY2019 to FY2020, probably in reaction at least in part to the pandemic, the FY2022 test year level shows a rebound, and is certainly not indicative of recent or continuing annual declines. A comparison of the FY2022 commercial consumption with the levels in FY2020 and FY2021 which together represent the three most recent fiscal years for which actual information is available, does not demonstrate a recent actual trend of declining commercial consumption. In fact, it shows the opposite, the most recent year, FY2022, shows higher commercial consumption than FY2020 or FY2021.
Q. Does annual calendar year information for commercial consumption show decreases or increases from 2020 to 2021 and from 2021 to 2022?
A. In response to Division 2-2, NBC provided commercial consumption on a monthly and calendar year basis. Commercial consumption increased from 4,050,251 HCF in 2020 to $4,323,806 \mathrm{HCF}$ in 2021, an increase of $273,555 \mathrm{HCF}$, or $6.8 \%$. Additionally, commercial consumption increased further from 2021 to 2022 by
$65,480 \mathrm{HCF}$ or $1.5 \%$. Again, this is not indicative of a recent or continuing declining annual decrease in commercial consumption. In fact, just the opposite, each of the most current two calendar years show an increase in commercial consumption over the immediately preceding year.
Q. Does the most current actual information on commercial consumption indicate that the recent increase has continued?
A. Yes. Commercial consumption for calendar 2022 of 4,389,294 HCF is also higher than the commercial consumption for FY2022 (i.e., for the 12 month period ending June 30, 2022) of 4,373,066 HCF.

## Q. Has NBC adequately demonstrated that there is a continuing declining trend for commercial consumption from the FY2022 test year to the FY2024 Rate Year?

A. No. NBC has thus failed to adequately demonstrate that there is a continuing declining trend in commercial consumption that would support reducing the FY 2022 test year level (which has increased from the level in both FY2020 and FY2021) to project FY 2024 Rate Year commercial consumption. Unless a continuing trend can be demonstrated, no adjustment should be made for declining commercial consumption beyond the test year. NBC has failed to demonstrate that there is a continuing downward trend beyond FY 2022 for commercial consumption. Therefore, the Company's proposed adjustment for additional declining commercial consumption beyond the test year should be rejected.

In fact, an analysis of the most current information on actual commercial consumption, including how the FY2022 levels compare with the levels from

FY2020 and FY2021, and the increases in commercial consumption from calendar years 2020 to 2021 and from 2021 to 2022, indicate that commercial consumption has recently been increasing, not declining.
Q. Please explain your recommendation for Rate Year Commercial consumption.
A. I recommend that calendar year 2022 actual consumption of 4,389,294 HCF be used as the basis for FY2024 Rate Year consumption. As shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule RCS-6, this adjustment increases NBC's proposed revenue at current rates by $\$ 1,170,370$.
Q. How does the calendar 2022 level for commercial consumption compare with the level that was used in Docket No. 4890?
A. As shown on Schedule RCS-6, using the calendar 2022 actual consumption level of 4,389,294 HCF for the FY2024 Rate Year represents a decrease of 289,389 or $6.2 \%$ from the $4,678,683$ HCF of commercial consumption from Docket No. 4890.

## Revenue - Industrial Consumption

Q. Has NBC proposed an adjustment to reduce industrial consumption revenue at current rates for projected declines from FY2022 to the FY2024 Rate Year?
A. Yes. NBC proposes to reduce industrial revenue at current rates by $\$ 171,083$ on the basis of projected annual declines in industrial consumption of $4.1 \%$ each year in FY2023 and FY2024.
Q. Did NBC propose an adjustment for declining industrial consumption in its last rate case?
A. No. In its last rate case, in Docket No. 4890, NBC proposed adjustments for declining consumption for residential and commercial usage, but not for industrial usage.

## Q. Has billable industrial consumption declined in each year?

A. No. The industrial consumption of 568,219 HCF in the FY 2022 test year is higher than the industrial consumption in the most recent two fiscal years, including the 524,473 HCF from FY 2021, and the 540,383 from FY 2020. This comparison of actual information from the most recent three fiscal years does not show a declining trend.

## Q. What does the available information show for industrial consumption?

A. Information on industrial consumption is presented on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule RCS-7. The information shows that industrial consumption in FY2020, FY2021 and FY2022 is below the levels for FY2018 and FY2019. In other words, there has been a drop-off in industrial consumption that could possibly be attributed to the pandemic. However, as noted above, the FY2022 industrial consumption is higher than the level for each of the prior two fiscal years, FY2020 and FY2021. Thus, while actual levels of industrial consumption have fluctuated in the most recent three fiscal years, the fact that the most current fiscal year, FY2022, is higher than the previous two, is not indicative of a continuing declining trend.

In response to Division 2-3, calendar year consumption was provided by NBC and were considered. That information shows that industrial consumption has
fluctuated from year-to-year but it is not indicative that there is a declining trend that would be expected to continue.
Q. Has NBC adequately demonstrated that there is a continuing declining trend for industrial consumption from the FY2022 test year to the FY2024 Rate Year?
A. No. NBC has thus failed to adequately demonstrate that there is a continuing declining trend in industrial consumption that would support reducing the FY 2022 test year level, much less by two annual declines of $4.1 \%$ from FY2022 to FY2023 and FY2024.
Q. Where consumption has fluctuated, would it be reasonable to use an average to estimate the Rate Year level?
A. Yes, I believe it would. NBC's industrial consumption has fluctuated from year to year, and, as explained above, the FY2022 level is higher than the level in the immediately preceding two fiscal years (i.e., FY2022 consumption is higher than the consumption in FY2020 and FY2021), which is contrary to an assumption that there is a continuing declining trend. Unless a continuing trend can be demonstrated, no adjustment should be made for industrial consumption beyond the test year. NBC has failed to demonstrate that there is a continuing downward trend of $4.1 \%$ annual declines beyond FY 2022 for industrial consumption. Therefore, the Company's proposed adjustment for additional declining industrial consumption beyond the test year should be rejected. Consequently, for estimating Rate Year industrial consumption, using an historical average can be a reasonable approach, whereas

NBC's proposed use of an inadequately substantiated continuing declining trend for industrial consumption is not reasonable.
Q. Please explain your recommendation for Rate Year Industrial consumption.
A. I recommend that an average of FY2020, FY2021 and FY2022 actual consumption be used as the basis for Rate Year industrial consumption. This produces Rate Year industrial consumption of $544,358 \mathrm{HCF}$, which is lower than the 568,219 FY2022 test year level. As shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule RCS-7, this adjustment increases NBC's proposed industrial revenue at current rates by $\$ 81,128$.
Q. How does your recommended Rate Year industrial consumption compare with the level that was used in Docket 4890?
A. As shown on Schedule RCS-7, my recommended industrial consumption of 544,358 HCF for the FY2024 Rate Year represents a decrease of 129,449 HCF or $19.2 \%$ from the $673,807 \mathrm{HCF}$ of commercial consumption from Docket 4890.

## Miscellaneous Revenue

Q. Are you recommending any adjustments to the NBC proposed Miscellaneous Revenues?
A. No.

## Rate Case Expense

Q. What has NBC proposed for Rate Case Expense?
A. As discussed on page 24 of NBC witness David Fox's Direct Testimony, NBC is proposing an assumed $\$ 150,000$ for rate case expense, normalized over a 3-year period, or an increase in revenue requirement of $\$ 50,000$ annually. He indicates that the actual amount will be determined once the filing reaches its conclusion.

## Q. Are you recommending an adjustment for Rate Case Expense?

A. Not at this time. If a five-year Rate Plan were going to be approved in the current rate case, a five-year period corresponding with the Rate Plan would be appropriate for normalizing the recovery of rate case expense. Although NBC has requested a five-year Rate Plan in the current case, with annual step increases for debt service related costs, the Company's response to Division 3-2(g) withdraws those requested increases and states that NBC would now prefer that "the Commission rule on a rate increase for FY 2024 and have the debt service compliance mechanism reinstated." Consequently, since the Company's proposed five-year Rate Plan appears to have been withdrawn by NBC (and is being recommended for rejection by the Division), a three-year period for normalizing the rate case expense appears reasonable for NBC in the current case.

## Q. Should the Company's estimated rate case expense amount be updated to actual at the end of this rate case?

A. Yes. I understand the Commission's practice is generally for utilities to update their rate case expense at the end of the case, so the actual amount can be reflected. NBC should provide an updated amount of its actual rate case expense, and the Company's
assumed amount can be updated to actual, for purposes of developing the Company's final revenue requirement.

## PUC Assessment

## Q. What amount has NBC used for the PUC Assessment?

A. On Schedule DMR-23, NBC proposes an amount of regulatory expense of \$677,742 for the Rate Year which includes an estimated Rate Year PUC Assessment amount of $\$ 627,742$ plus the $\$ 50,000$ annual amount of rate case expense discussed above.
Q. How did NBC derive its proposed Rate Year amount for the PUC Assessment?
A. NBC applied two years of annual $7.3 \%$ increases to an adjusted test year amount. NBC's Schedule DMR-23 shows two annual increases of $\$ 38,495$ and $\$ 41,296$, respectively, that are based on the annual percentage increases in the regulatory assessment from the Commission, as discussed on page 24 of NBC witness David Fox's Direct Testimony.

## Q. What is the basis for the PUC assessments?

A. The PUC assessments are based on a billing ratio of NBC's revenues to all Rhode Island regulated utility revenues.
Q. What was NBC's PUC assessment for FY2023?
A. The response to Division 1-55 shows the NBC's PUC assessment for FY2023 of $\$ 564,646$, consisting of the $\$ 100,000$ amount paid in advance and a $\$ 464,646$ amount that was due by February 1, 2023.
Q. How does the FY2023 assessment compare with the FY2022 assessment?
A. The FY2023 assessment of $\$ 564,646$ is $\$ 35,579$ higher than the FY2022 assessment of $\$ 529,067$.
Q. What amount of PUC assessment have you reflected for the FY 2024 Rate Year?
A. I started with the FY2023 assessment amount of $\$ 564,646$ and applied the same annual increase from FY2022 to FY2023 of \$35,579 to derive an estimated FY2024 amount of $\$ 600,225$. As shown on Schedule RCS-8, NBC's proposed FY 2024 Rate Year amount of $\$ 627,742$ is reduced by $\$ 27,517$.

## NBC "Trend Accounts" Expense Adjustment

## Q. Has NBC presented a "Trend Analysis" wherein it proposes to substantially

 increase expense from the FY2022 test year to the FY2024 Rate Year?A. Yes. NBC's Schedule DMF-21 focused on a handful of expense accounts and shows information for FY 2020, FY 2021, and NBC's Adjusted FY2022 test year amounts for each of NBC's selected accounts. The NBC analysis then attempts to extrapolate further increases in each of the selected expense accounts, using the derived average percentage increases, to FY2023 and FY2024.

As one illustrative example, for account 53510, Vehicle Fuel and Maintenance Expense, NBC applied an average annual increase of 41\% per year, to the FY2022 test year amount of $\$ 246,131$ to derive a FY2023 amount of $\$ 347,045$ and another 41\% increase from FY2023 to FY2024 to derive its proposed Rate Year amount of $\$ 489,333$, which is $\$ 243,202$ or $99 \%$ higher than the test year amount for this expense.
Q. How much of NBC's expense for Vehicle Fuel and Maintenance Expense is for gasoline and for oil changes?
A. NBC was asked for that information in Division 3-17 but stated that the information is not available and that: "NBC does not track the percentage of gasoline and oil changes included in the Vehicle Fuel and Maintenance expense."
Q. NBC's analysis is purportedly based on a "trend" analysis. Is three years of data sufficient to establish whether there is a "trend"?
A. No. Three years of data is not sufficient to establish whether there is a "trend" particularly where NBC has not presented an analysis of why those expenses were increasing and whether the factors that caused the historical increases are expected to persist at the same rates of increase into FY2023 and FY2024.
Q. Did you compare NBC's projected "trend" analysis results for FY2023 with NBC's FY2023 budgeted amounts for those accounts?
A. Yes. A comparison of NBC's projected "trend" analysis results for FY2023 with NBC's FY2023 budgeted amounts for those accounts, using the information provided in Division 3-17, is shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule RCS-9, page 2. For the majority of the accounts that NBC attempted to "trend" out to FY2023, the NBC provided "trend" results significantly overstate the amounts that NBC has budgeted for FY2023 for those same accounts. As an illustrative example, NBC's projected
"trend" amount for FY2023 for Vehicle Fuel and Maintenance expense, as shown on NBC's Schedule DMF-21 and as reproduced on Schedule RCS-9, page 2, line 1, is $\$ 347,045$. That "trend" amount for FY2023 overstates NBC's FY2023 budgeted amount of $\$ 229,150$ by $\$ 117,895$ or $51.4 \%$.

Schedule RCS-9, page 2 shows similar analysis for each group of NBC's "trend" analysis expense accounts.

## Q. What do you conclude from your analysis of NBC's "trend" analysis based expense account increases from FY2022 to FY2023 and to the FY2024 Rate Year?

A. NBC's "trend" analysis is theoretically unsound since using only three years is probably not sufficient information to establish whether there is a trend or not. Importantly, NBC's analysis is presented by the Company without presenting an analysis of the specific causes for why each "trended" account has increased. Finally, and also importantly, NBC's "trend" analysis is unreliable and is shown on Schedule RCS-9, page 2 , to seriously misstate amounts for the same accounts from NBC's FY2023 budget. The fact that NBC's "trend" results even for one year out, i.e., in going from FY2022 to FY2023 deviate substantially from NBC's FY2023 budgeted amounts for the same accounts is an indication that NBC's "trending" cannot be relied upon for projecting those expense accounts out for one year, much less two years.
Q. Have you presented an analysis that removes the FY2022 to FY2023 overprojection of NBC's trending based on the overstatements of NBC's "trending" in comparison with the NBC FY2023 budget amounts for the same accounts?
A. Yes. That analysis is also presented on Schedule RCS-9, page 2. As shown there, NBC's "trending" method overstated the FY2023 budget amount for account 53510, Vehicle Fuel and Maintenance expense, by $\$ 117,895$ or $51.4 \%$.

NBC's "trending" method overstated the FY2023 budget amount for account 53640, Service Agreements, by $\$ 35,998$ or $9.3 \%$.

NBC's "trending" overstated the FY2023 budget amount for the combined accounts 53630 and 53645, Maintenance Contracts and Software Subscriptions, by $\$ 622,389$ or $57.8 \%$.

NBC's "trending" overstated the FY2023 budget amount for account 54340, Lab and Medical Supplies, by $\$ 255,705$ or $77.4 \%$.

NBC's "trending" overstated the FY2023 budget amount for account 54370, Supplies Bldg \& Maint, by $\$ 100,495$ or $18.8 \%$.

I also note that NBC's "trending" understated the FY2023 budget amount for accounts 53610 and 53615 , Repairs, by $\$ 66,822$ or $5.8 \%$; however, this does not lend confidence to NBC's "trending" methodology, which I recommend should be rejected as a method for deriving FY2024 Rate Year expenses.
Q. What adjustments are you recommending to address the flaws noted above with NBC's proposed "trending" adjustments?
A. Schedule RCS-9, page 2, shows the removal of overstatements from NBC's proposed FY2024 expense amounts for the "trended" accounts, that are proportional to how
much NBC's "trending" method overstated the FY2023 budget amounts for the same expense account. As an illustrative example, for account 53510, Vehicle Fuel and Maintenance Expense, the adjusted amount for FY2024, which is shown on line 1, in column K is $\$ 323,101$. The amounts in account 53510 with that correction increase from the FY2022 test year amount of $\$ 246,131$ (shown in column C) to the FY2023 budgeted amount of $\$ 229,150$ from Division 3-17 (shown in column ) to the adjusted FY2024 amount of $\$ 323,101$ (shown in column K), rather than to NBC's proposed amount of $\$ 489,333$ (shown in column $F$ ), which was derived using a flawed methodology and is believed to be substantially overstated.

Similar calculations are presented on Schedule RCS-9, page 2, for each account or group of expense accounts to which NBC's adjusted pursuant to its flawed "trending" methodology.

## Q. What specific adjustments to NBC's proposed expense accounts are needed?

A. The specific accounts are shown on Schedule RCS-9, page 1, and are briefly discussed below, with reference to each expense account or account group, that NBC attempted to increase from the FY2022 test year to the FY2024 Rate Year based on NBC's flawed "trend" analysis.

## Account 53510, Vehicle Fuel and Maintenance Expense

Q. What amount of adjustment are you recommending to NBC's proposed FY2024 Rate Year amount for Account 53510, Vehicle Fuel and Maintenance Expense?
A. As shown on Schedule RCS-9, page 1, line 1, I recommend that NBC's proposed FY2024 Rate Year amount of $\$ 489,333$ be reduced by $\$ 166,232$ and an amount of $\$ 323,101$ be used for the Rate Year for this account.
Q. How much of an increase over the FY2022 test year amount does that represent?
A. As shown on Schedule RCS-9, page 1, line 1, column F, that represents an increase of $31 \%$ over the FY2022 test year amount.

## Accounts 53610 and 53615, Repairs Expense

Q. What amount of adjustment are you recommending to NBC's proposed FY2024 Rate Year amount for Accounts 53610 and 53615, Repairs Expense?
A. As shown on Schedule RCS-9, page 1, line 4, I have accepted NBC's proposed FY2024 Rate Year amount of $\$ 1,222,857$ for these accounts because the comparison with the FY2023 budget amounts revealed that NBC's "trending" method did not substantially overstate the FY2023 budget amount.
Q. How much of an increase over the FY2022 test year amount does that represent?
A. As shown on Schedule RCS-9, page 1, line 4, column F, that represents an increase of $28 \%$ over the FY2022 test year amount.

## Account 53640, Service Agreements Expense

Q. What amount of adjustment are you recommending to NBC's proposed FY2024 Rate Year amount for Account 53640, Service Agreements Expense?
A. As shown on Schedule RCS-9, page 1, line 5, I recommend that NBC's proposed FY2024 Rate Year amount of $\$ 514,092^{3}$ from NBC's Schedule DMF-21 be reduced by $\$ 43,918$ and an amount of $\$ 470,174$ be used for the Rate Year expense for this account.
Q. How much of an increase over the FY2022 test year amount does that represent?
A. As shown on Schedule RCS-9, page 1, line 5, column F, that represents an increase of approximately $36 \%$ over the FY2022 test year amount.
Q. When carrying your adjustment for Account 53640, Service Agreements Expense to the Summary presented on Schedules RCS-2 and RCS-1, did you discover an error in NBC's handling of its calculated RY2024 adjustment for this account?
A. Yes. NBC calculated an adjustment of $\$ 168,693$ for this account on Schedule DMF21, but NBC did not carry that adjustment amount forward to NBC's Schedule 1 for Account 53640, Service Expense. This is also described in Note 4 on Schedule RCS9, page 1. As shown on Schedule RCS-9, on line 5b, NBC's apparently erroneous omission related to the Company not carrying forward its calculated adjustment of $\$ 168,693$ from Schedule DMF-21 to Schedule DMF-1 is accounted for there. The result is that Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule 1, page 4, shows the adjusted amount of

[^2]$\$ 470,174$ for the FY2024 Rate Year in column C for account 53640, Service Agreements Expense.

## Accounts 53630 and 53645, Maintenance Contracts and Software Subscriptions

## Q. What amount of adjustment are you recommending to NBC's proposed FY2024

Rate Year amount for Account 53630 and 53645, Maintenance Contracts and Software Subscriptions Expense?
A. As shown on Schedule RCS-9, page 1, line 8, I recommend that NBC's proposed FY2024 Rate Year amount of $\$ 1,869,242$ be reduced by $\$ 324,414$ and the FY2022 test year amount of $\$ 1,544,828$ be used for the Rate Year for this account.
Q. Is one of those accounts a new account to NBC?
A. Yes. As explained in NBC's response to Division 3-17(g):

Account 53645 was a new account created in FY 2022. The expense in Maintenance Contracts (account 53630) and Software Subscriptions (account 53645) were included in account 53630 in FY 2020 and FY 2021 and were separated in FY 2022 forward for the purpose of a more efficient tracking of expense.
Q. How much of an increase over the FY2022 test year amount does that recommended FY2024 Rate Year amount represent?
A. As shown on Schedule RCS-9, page 1, line 8, column F, the recommended Rate Year amount for these expense accounts represents no increase over the FY2022 test year amount.
Q. How does the FY2022 test year amount compare with the FY2023 budget amount for those accounts?
A. As shown on Schedule RCS-9, page 2, line 8, the comparable NBC FY2023 budget amount for these accounts is $\$ 1,076,922$. The comparable FY2022 test year amount, as noted above, is $\$ 1,544,828$.
Q. Should NBC provide an explanation of why its FY2023 budget amount for those accounts is so much lower than the FY2022 test year amount?
A. Yes. I recommend that NBC provide an explanation of why its FY2023 budget amount of $\$ 1,076,922$ for these accounts is so much lower than the FY2022 test year amount of $\$ 1,544,828$.

## Account 54340, Lab and Medical Supplies Expense

Q. What amount of adjustment are you recommending to NBC's proposed FY2024 Rate Year amount for Account 54340, Lab and Medical Supplies Expense?
A. As shown on Schedule RCS-9, page 1, line 9, I recommend that NBC's proposed FY2024 Rate Year amount of $\$ 750,415$ be reduced by $\$ 292,398$ and an amount of $\$ 458,017$ be used for the Rate Year for this account.
Q. How much of an increase over the FY2022 test year amount does that represent?
A. As shown on Schedule RCS-9, page 1, line 9, column F, the recommended Rate Year represents no increase over the FY2022 test year amount.
Q. How does the FY2022 test year amount compare with the FY2023 budget amount for those accounts?
A. As shown on Schedule RCS-9, page 2, line 9, the comparable NBC FY2023 budget amount for this account is $\$ 330,557$. The comparable FY2022 test year amount, as noted above, is $\$ 458,017$.
Q. Should NBC provide an explanation of why its FY2023 budget amount for those accounts is so much lower than the FY2022 test year amount?
A. Yes. I recommend that NBC provide an explanation of why its FY2023 budget amount of $\$ 330,557$ for this account is so much lower than the comparable FY2022 test year amount.

## Account 54370, Supplies Building \& Maintenance Expense

Q. What amount of adjustment are you recommending to NBC's proposed FY2024 Rate Year amount for Account 54370, Supplies Building \& Maintenance Expense?
A. As shown on Schedule RCS-9, page 1, line 10, I recommend that NBC's proposed FY2024 Rate Year amount of $\$ 750,356$ be reduced by $\$ 118,584$ and an amount of $\$ 631,772$ be used for the Rate Year for this account.
Q. How much of an increase over the FY2022 test year amount does that represent?
A. As shown on Schedule RCS-9, page 1, line 10, column F, that represents an increase of $17 \%$ over the FY2022 test year amount.

## Summary of Adjustments for NBC's "Trended" Accounts

Q. What is the total amount of Rate Year adjustments for NBC's "trended" accounts?
A. As shown on Schedule RCS-9, page 1, line 11, the total amount of adjustments for NBC's "trended" accounts reduces NBC's proposed Rate Year expenses by $\$ 776,027$. This amount is net of the error correction for NBC's omission of its calculated \$168,693 adjusted-test-year-to-Rate Year adjustment for account 53640, as shown on line 5 b of Schedule RCS-9.

## Wages and Salaries Expense

Q. What is the Company proposing for Rate Year wages and salaries expense?
A. As discussed in the direct testimony of Company witness David Fox, and shown on NBC Schedule DMF-16, for the FY 2024 Rate Year the Company is proposing wages and salaries expense of $\$ 20,533,985$ net of salary reimbursement of $\$ 1,835,987$ and fringe reimbursement of $\$ 996,046$ for a net payroll expense amount of $\$ 17,701,952$. As shown on Company Schedule DMF-16, NBC started with salaries and wages for the test year and made a series of adjustments to arrive at its proposed Rate Year amount.

## Q. Do you agree with NBC's proposed Rate Year wages and salaries expense?

A. Not entirely. I disagree with the Company's proposed wage increase percentage for non-union employees for the FY 2024 Rate Year, and am recommending an adjustment to the wage increases as it relates to non-union regular time, non-union overtime, non-union limited pay as well as non-union salary reimbursement and
fringe reimbursement for the FY 2024 Rate Year overtime expense, as described below.
Q. What July 1, 2023 wage increase has NBC proposed for non-union employees?
A. As discussed on page 18 of Mr. Fox's direct testimony, the Company is proposing an increase of $5.0 \%$ for non-union employees to become effective on July 1, 2023. This $5 \%$ increase is based on a combination of a cost of living increase and a merit increase for the Company's non-union employees. It is double the amount of the Company's proposed July 1, 2023 union wage increase of $2.5 \%$.
Q. How does the $\mathbf{5 . 0 \%}$ July $\mathbf{1}, 2023$ non-union increase proposed by NBC compare with the non-union pay increases granted in the Company's two previous fiscal years?
A. According to NBC's response to DIV 1-43, a non-union pay increase of $2.5 \%$ was granted on July 1, 2021 (FY 2022) and on July 1, 2022 (FY 2023). NBC stated those increases were equivalent to the cost of living increases received by union employees during the same periods.
Q. Why is NBC proposing to double the wage increase percentage, i.e., to have an increase of $\mathbf{5 . 0 \%}$ versus an increase of $\mathbf{2 . 5 \%}$, for non-union employees for the FY 2024 Rate Year?
A. The direct testimony of Company witness James McCaughey explains that NBC's requested July 1, 2023 non-union annual salary adjustment is a two-tiered process
whereby all non-union employees will receive (1) an annual cost of living adjustment, and (2) a merit-based salary increase.
Q. What is the Company's rationale for its proposed two-tier annual salary adjustment process for the Rate Year?
A. On page 6 of his testimony, Mr. McCaughey states that union employees receive both an annual cost of living adjustment and a step increase and that the average step increase is $2.35 \%$, so when coupled with step increases, union employees wages increase by approximately $4.85 \%$ (based on a $2.5 \%$ cost of living adjustment). In addition, Mr. McCaughey states that non-union employees are not guaranteed annual pay increases, and are awarded salary increases based on a merit performance system. He states that, on average, non-union employees typically receive pay increases of $2.5 \%$ to $3 \%$. Mr. McCaughey asserts that this difference in annual pay increases between union and non-union employees has caused problems as it relates to pay equity. He states that union employees can make more than non-union employees in certain instances.
Q. Do you agree with NBC's proposed inclusion of a $5.0 \%$ salary increase to be effective July 1, 2023 for deriving non-union employee Rate Year payroll expense?
A. No, I do not. The Company has not adequately justified its proposed two-tiered salary adjustment process for non-union employees in the Rate Year. NBC's proposed July 1, 2023 wage increase of $5.0 \%$ for non-union is double the wage increase NBC shows for union employees (i.e., 2.5\%) for that date. Moreover, with
regard to Mr. McCaughey's claim regarding issues with pay equity, the Company has not provided any studies that identify disparities in wage increases (or annual salaries for that matter) between the Company's union and non-union employees for similar work.
Q. What adjustment have you made for the salary increase to be effective on July 1, 2023 for non-union employees?
A. The July 1, 2023 pay increases for non-union employees were held at the same $2.5 \%$ for the Rate Year as for union employees. This is similar to the increases from prior years as noted above. As shown on Schedule RCS-10, page 2, I applied a wage increase of $2.5 \%$ to the Company's non-union employees for regular pay, overtime, limited pay, salary reimbursement and fringe reimbursement (these latter two components are netted against wages and salaries expense). As shown on Schedule RCS-10, page 1, this adjustment reduces NBC's proposed Rate Year payroll expense by $\$ 263,477$.
Q. When carrying your adjustment for Account 59001, Fringe Reimbursement to the Summary presented on Schedules RCS-2 and RCS-1, did you discover an error in NBC's handling of the Company's calculated FY 2024 Rate Year adjustment for this account?
A. Yes. NBC calculated a Rate Year credit amount of $\$ 996,046$ for this account on Schedule DMF-16, but NBC did not carry that amount forward to NBC's Schedule 1 for Account 59001, Fringe Reimbursement. This apparent Company error is also described in Note 1 on Schedule RCS-10, page 2. Shown on Schedule RCS-10, page

2, line 18a, is NBC's apparent omission related to the Company not carrying forward its calculated Rate Year Fringe Reimbursement of \$996,046 from Schedule DMF-16 to Schedule DMF-1. To address this and account for this apparent omission by NBC, Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule 1, page 3, shows the adjusted amount of $(\$ 972,330)$ for the FY2024 Rate Year in column C for account 59001, Fringe Reimbursement.

## Payroll Tax Expense

## Q. Is there a related adjustment to payroll tax expense?

A. Yes. My recommended adjustment to NBC's payroll expense results in a related adjustment to payroll tax expense that is shown on Schedule RCS-11. NBC's payroll tax expense for FY 2024 is reduced by $\$ 23,221$, based on applying the FICA and Medicare rates to the amount of payroll expense adjustment from Schedule RCS-10, page 1.

## Biosolids Disposal Expense

## Q. What has NBC proposed for Biosolids Disposal Expense?

A. NBC proposes a FY2024 amount for Biosolids Disposal Expense of approximately \$6.244 million, as shown on NBC's Schedule DMF-1, page 2, for account 53480. NBC's calculation of its adjustment to derive the FY2024 expense amount is presented on NBC's Schedule DMF-24. As shown on Schedule DMF-24, NBC multiplied a quantity of 9,997 dry tons by a rate of $\$ 624.58$ to derive its proposed FY2024 expense amount of approximately $\$ 6.244$ million.
Q. Are you recommending an adjustment to NBC's proposed FY2024 Biosolids Disposal Expense?
A. Yes. The details of NBC's calculation of its adjustment to derive the FY2024 expense amount is presented on NBC's Schedule DMF-24 show that NBC used a Consumer Price Index (CPI) based inflation rate of 7.6\% for 2023 and 7.0\% for 2024. A recent issue of Blue Chip Financial Forecasts dated February 1, 2023, shows the following information for the CPI:

| Consensus Forecast | 1 Q | 2 Q | 3 Q | 4 Q | 1 Q | 2 Q |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2024 | 2024 |
| Consumer Price Index | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.2 |
| Source: Blue Chip Financial Forecast ${ }^{~}$ dated February 1, 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The CPI factors used by NBC for 2023 and 2024 appear to be significantly overstated. Rather than use CPI factors of $7.6 \%$ for 2023 and $7.0 \%$ for 2024 as NBC did in its Biosolids Disposal Expense projection, I used 3.1\% for 2023 and 2.3\% for 2024, based on the consensus forecast CPI for the first quarter of each of those years.

As shown on Schedule RCS-12, page 2, the use of those CPI rates for 2023 and 2024 produces a rate of $\$ 586.04$ per dry ton, which is lower than NBC's proposed $\$ 624.58$ amount per dry ton. This difference in the calculated cost rate per dry ton results in a downward adjustment of $\$ 385,293$ to NBC's proposed amount for Biosolids Disposal Expense, as shown on Schedule RCS-12, page 1.

## Electric Expense

## Q. What has NBC proposed for Electric Expense?

A. The amounts for the Electric Expense and the related Net Metering Credits are shown on Company Schedules DMF-28 and DMF-29. The Company estimated its FY 2024 electric costs based on kWh usage, electric supply rate, customer charge and gross earning tax assumptions. The Company's electric expense also incorporates the
electricity expense impacts of NBC's renewable energy projects. As described on pages 22-23 of the Direct Testimony of NBC witness David Fox, and shown on Company Schedule DMF-28, NBC proposes Rate Year Electric Expense (account 54090) of $\$ 6,919,434$, which is an increase of $\$ 3,121,980$ over NBC's adjusted FY2022 test year amount of $\$ 3,797,454$.

On Schedule DMF-29, NBC shows Net Metering Credits (account 54091) for the Rate Year of $\$ 2,168,169$, based on a rate of $\$ 0.27208$ per kWh applied to 7,968,865 kWh.

NBC's proposed FY 2024 Rate Year expense is significantly higher than the comparable NBC adjusted FY 2022 test year amount.

## Q. How does NBC develop its FY2024 Rate Year amounts for electric expense?

A. Developing the FY 2024 electricity expense was a fairly complex calculation that takes into account NBC's renewable energy sources and purchases of electricity. NBC's applied process is shown on Company Schedules DMF-28 and DMF-29 and supporting workpapers which were provided by NBC in Excel.

## Q. Did NBC make a Technical Presentation in the current rate case concerning Electricity?

A. Yes. On February 16, 2023, NBC had a Technical Session in this docket concerning two topics: NBC's Trust Indenture and Electricity. Among the concerns regarding Electricity that were identified by NBC during the Technical Session were the increased unpredictability of natural gas and electricity pricing and the variable production from NBC's renewable energy resources. NBC has had issues with its
wind turbines, including periods when some of the turbines were out of service due to blade repairs. NBC has also had issues with its Bucklin Point Biogas Cogeneration Facility. Questions have been raised concerning whether NBC can reliably forecast the cost of electricity. Additional questions are raised concerning whether NBC's self-generation and purchases of electricity are the least-cost option for customers. As summarized on page 28 of NBC's February 16, 2023 presentation, NBC's FY2024 amounts for electricity reflect an expense of approximately $\$ 6.919$ million, which is approximately $\$ 3$ million more than the comparable FY2022 expense of approximately $\$ 3.915$ million. NBC's February 16, 2023 presentation at page 32 noted that: "NBC's rate filing assumed [a] higher C-06 rate in effect at the time of the application" and noted further that "[f]or the first time, NBC's Supply rate will be higher than the C-06 Rate, increasing 'net' electricity expense."
Q. Are you recommending an adjustment to the Company's projected FY 2024 electricity expense?
A. No. Not at this time.
Q. Do you have confidence that the amounts presented by NBC in its General Rate Filing for electricity expense and net metering credits represent a reasonably accurate forecast of NBC's net electricity expense for the FY2024 Rate Year?
A. No.
Q. Should NBC's electricity cost be updated to reflect more current information?
A. Yes. The cost of electricity is a substantial expense for NBC and is subject to volatility based on market conditions, as well as the availability or non-availability of NBC's renewable resources. The Company should provide updated amounts in its rebuttal filing, based on the most current information available, including the results of new contracts for supply and information about the C-06 rate, and updated expectations about the availability and operational output levels that can be expected from NBC's renewable resources.

## Renewable Energy Projects and Renewable Energy Credit Revenues

## Q. What Renewable Energy Projects does NBC have?

A. NBC has the FP Wind Turbines, the WED Turbines, and a Solar PPA, each of which generates renewable energy and RECs. NBC sells the RECs that are produced by its renewable energy projects. NBC also has a Bucklin Point biogas reuse project. The FP Wind Turbines are "behind the meter" projects which reduce NBC's purchases of electricity. The WED Turbines and the Solar PPA are "net metered" projects which reduce NBC's electricity expense through the application of Net Metering Credits. The renewable energy projects also generate RECs which NBC sells. NBC used the REC sales revenue to offset the cost of providing sewer service.

## Q. How did NBC determine the REC revenues for FY 2024?

A. NBC determines the RECs available for sale by using the renewable generation it estimated for FY 2024 from the FP Wind Turbines, the WED Turbines, and the Solar PPA. Each REC represents one MWh of renewable generation. NBC used an average price for RECs of $\$ 24.50$ as shown on Company Schedule DMF-12.
Q. What sales price per REC did NBC show in its February 16, 2023 Technical Session presentation?
A. Page 30 of NBC's February 16, 2023 Technical Session presentation shows "Renewable Energy Credit (REC) Sales @ \$30 per REC" and an amount of \$239,066.
Q. Are you recommending any adjustments for NBC's REC revenue?
A. No, not at this time.
Q. Do you have a recommendation concerning the per-REC sales price?
A. Yes. When NBC files its rebuttal, it should include an explanation of why it used a price of $\$ 30$ per REC in its February 16, 2023 presentation, and how that price was derived, and whether the REC price of $\$ 24.50$ as shown on Company Schedule DMF12, should be updated to reflect the higher price per REC presented by the Company on February 16, 2023.
Q. What has NBC proposed for Natural Gas Expense?
A. NBC proposes a Rate Year amount of $\$ 409,137$ as shown on Company Schedule DMF-30.
Q. Are you recommending any adjustments for NBC's proposed Natural Gas Expense?
A. No.

## Q. What has NBC proposed for Chemical Expense?

A. NBC proposes a FY 2024 Rate Year amount for chemical expense of $\$ 969,141$. This includes Chlorine/Hypochlorite and Bisulfite. As discussed on page 23 of the direct testimony of Company witness David Fox, the Company projected chemical expense by using a three-year average increase for the rate and usage. NBC witness Fox discusses proposed Rate Year adjustments for two chemicals that are traditionally used by NBC as part of the wastewater treatment process, Chlorine/Hypochlorite and Bisulfite, which are shown on NBC's Schedule DMF-31.
Q. Are you recommending an adjustment to the Company's proposed Rate Year level of chemical expense?
A. No.

UV Disinfection Expense
Q. What has NBC proposed for Rate Year UV Disinfection Expense?
A. As shown on Company Schedule DMF-20, NBC has proposed a Rate Year amount of $\$ 173,163$ for UV Disinfection expense (account 54339) expense, which is a $\$ 40,652$ increase over the test year amount of $\$ 132,511$.
Q. Are you recommending an adjustment for NBC's proposed Rate Year UV Disinfection Expense?
A. No.

## Insurance Expense

## Q. What has NBC proposed for Insurance Expense?

A. As shown on Schedule DMF-26 in NBC's rate application filing, NBC proposes a FY2024 Rate Year amount of $\$ 1,191,143$, which was derived by escalating a FY2023 amount of $\$ 1,009,443.0$ by $18 \%$.
Q. Are you recommending a different amount for FY2024 Rate Year Insurance Expense than NBC has proposed?
A. Yes. NBC's Excel file workpaper for Company Schedule DMF-26 shows a detailed analysis, by type of insurance, that NBC used to calculate a FY2024 insurance expense amount of $\$ 1,144,764$, which I have reproduced below and also shown on Schedule RCS-13:

| Coverage Type | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 2020 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\text { FY } 2021$Actual |  | $\text { FY } 2022$Actual |  | FY 2023 <br> Projected |  | Average Annual Percent Increase | FY 2024 <br> Rate Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial Auto | \$ | 98,119 | \$ | 107,303 | \$ | 115,400 | \$ | 122,633 | 7.1\% | \$ 131,393 |
| Commercial Property |  | 304,714 |  | 355,278 |  | 382,058 |  | 433,077 | 10.9\% | 480,495 |
| Commercial Umbrella |  | 45,146 |  | 47,018 |  | 48,439 |  | 53,266 | 6.6\% | 56,805 |
| Contractor's Utility Bond |  | 875 |  | 438 |  | 875 |  | - | (50.0\%) |  |
| Crime Insurance |  | 4,239 |  | 4,239 |  | 4,239 |  | 4,239 | 0.0\% | 4,239 |
| Cyber Liability |  | 23,670 |  | 23,766 |  | 155,000 |  | 62,920 | 82.4\% | 114,750 |
| ERISA Bond |  |  |  | 340 |  |  |  | - | (50.0\%) |  |
| Fiduciary Liability |  | 8,526 |  | 7,566 |  | 7,609 |  | 8,327 | 5.0\% | 8,746 |
| Flood Insurance BP (February) |  | 11,466 |  | 13,012 |  | 14,794 |  | 14,794 | 6.8\% | 15,807 |
| Flood Insurance BP (July) |  | 14,969 |  | 15,996 |  | 17,714 |  | 20,739 | 14.8\% | 23,814 |
| General Liability |  | 92,395 |  | 98,699 |  | 107,341 |  | 111,324 | 6.4\% | 118,444 |
| Hull P \& 1 |  | 10,121 |  | 10,222 |  | 9,997 |  | 11,056 | 4.1\% | 11,507 |
| Ocean marine Policy TRIA Premium |  |  |  |  |  | 532 |  |  |  |  |
| Inland Marine (Equipment) |  | 19,029 |  | 19,956 |  | 21,377 |  | 22,860 | 7.3\% | 24,523 |
| Pollution (2-year) |  | 30,662 |  | 27,579 |  | 32,451 |  | 32,451 | 8.8\% | 35,317 |
| Public Officials Excess Liability |  | 30,514 |  | 35,090 |  | 37,615 |  | 38,247 | 4.5\% | 39,968 |
| Public Officials Liability |  | 55,673 |  | 64,023 |  | 68,631 |  | 73,510 | 7.4\% | 78,956 |
| Insurance | \$ | 750,118 | \$ | 830,525 | \$ | 1,024,072 | \$ | 1,009,443 | 10.8\% | \$1,144,764 |

NBC's detailed analysis shows an average increase of $10.8 \%$ and a detailed derivation of the FY2024 amount of $\$ 1,144,764$. I recommend that the $\$ 1,144,764$ amount be used for the FY2024 Rate Year amount of Insurance Expense, rather than
the $\$ 1,191,143$ amount that NBC has used. As shown on Schedule RCS-13, this adjustment reduces NBC's proposed FY2024 Insurance Expense by $\$ 46,379$.

## Workers Compensation Expense

## Q. What has NBC proposed for Workers' Compensation Expense?

A. As described on pages 21-22 of NBC witness, David Fox's Direct Testimony and shown on Company Schedule DMF-27, NBC proposes a FY2024 Rate Year amount of Workers' Compensation Insurance of $\$ 431,318$, which is a decrease of $\$ 12,079$ from the $\$ 443,397$ adjusted FY2022 test year amount.
Q. What amount does NBC show for the actual amount of Workers' Compensation Expense paid for FY2023?
A. NBC's Schedule DMF-27 shows a FY2023 amount of $\$ 422,405$.
Q. On its Excel workpaper supporting NBC Schedule DMF-27, for what years does NBC present information for the actual amounts of Workers' Compensation Expense paid?
A. NBC's Excel file workpaper for Schedule DMF-27 shows the actual amounts of Workers' Compensation Paid for four years, 2020 through 2023, as summarized below:

| Workers Compensation | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | NBC Rate Year Adjustment | NBC <br> Proposed <br> Rate Year Expense |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actual Workers Compensation Paid | 460,968 | 449,084 | 443,397 | 422,405 | \$ (12,079) | \$ 431,318 |
| Average Annual Decrease |  | -2.6\% | -1.3\% | -4.7\% | -2.9\% |  |

Notably, in each year shown by NBC, the amount of actual Workers' Compensation paid has decreased, yet the FY2024 amount proposed by NBC represents an increase over the FY2023 amount. Because only four years of information does not necessarily indicate a trend, rather than applying the $2.9 \%$ average annual decrease to the FY2023 amount of $\$ 422,405$ to derive the FY2024 amount, I recommend that the FY2024 amount be set no higher than the actual FY2023 amount of \$422,405.
Q. Are you recommending a different amount for FY2024 Rate Year Workers, Compensation Expense than NBC has proposed?
A. Yes. As shown on Schedule RCS-14, I recommend using an amount for FY2024 that is no higher than the FY2023 amount of $\$ 422,405$. This reduces NBC's proposed expense by $\$ 8,913$.
Q. How did NBC determine its proposed amount for the Operating Allowance?
A. As described on page 25 of NBC witness David Fox's Direct Testimony, and shown on Company Schedule DMF-34, NBC determined its proposed amount for the Operating Allowance by summing the expenses for Net Personnel, Operating Supplies/Expense, and Professional Services. However, NBC then multiplied only the Operating Supplies/Expense amount by $1.5 \%$ to derive its proposed Annual Operating Allowance amount of $\$ 328,282$. NBC's calculations are reproduced on Schedule RCS-15 in columns B and C.

## Q. Is NBC's calculation of the Annual Operating Allowance consistent with how

 the Commission calculated the allowed amount in NBC's last rate case?A. No. In NBC's last rate case, the Commission's Final Order shows that an amount of $\$ 280,707$ was allowed for the Operating Allowance. On Schedule RCS-15, in column A, I have reproduced the Commission's derivation of the allowed Operating Allowance from NBC's last rate case. Notably, the $1.5 \%$ was multiplied by the sum of the amounts for Operating Supplies/Expense, and Professional Services. In NBC's calculation in the current NBC rate case, NBC did not apply the $1.5 \%$ factor to the Professional Services amount.
Q. Are you recommending an adjustment for the Operating Allowance?
A. Yes. As a result of my recommended adjustments, the base for calculating the Operating Allowance differs from the base that NBC used. As shown on Schedule RCS-15, I have replicated NBC's proposed Operating Allowance calculation as well as the calculation of the Operating Allowance from the Commission's Final Order in NBC's last rate case. As shown on Schedule RCS-15, in column D, I have calculated the adjusted Operating Allowance by applying the $1.5 \%$ rate to the sum of the amounts for Operating Supplies/Expense, and Professional Services. In comparison with NBC's proposed amount, which omitted applying the $1.5 \%$ factor to the Professional Services amount, the Division adjusted amount of $\$ 342,600$ is higher by \$14,318.

## VI. USER CHARGE CALCULATION AND PROOF OF REVENUE

## Q. What is shown on Exhibit RCS-3?

A. Exhibit RCS-3, Schedule A shows a summary of the amounts of revenue increase needed that are used in designing rates for NBC. This is similar to NBC's Schedule DMF-35, which presented the Company's proposed user charges. Exhibit RCS-3, Schedule B shows the User Charge Calculation using the Division's adjusted results. Exhibit RCS-3, Schedule B shows the related Rate Year Proof of Revenue with the Rate Increase, using the Division's adjusted results in a format that is similar to NBC's Schedule DMF-36. Exhibit RCS-3, Schedule C shows estimated bill impacts.
Q. Do both NBC's and the Division's proposal for developing new rates in the current case reflect an across-the-board percentage increase approach for rate design?
A. Yes. In this case, NBC's and the Division's proposed rate design both reflect an across-the-board percentage increase approach. A percentage increase in revenues to be collected through customer charges is calculated and that same percentage increase is applied to the adjusted customer fee revenues for each rate class at current rates. The recommended rates for NBC in the Division's proposal are lower because of the adjustments to NBC's proposed revenue requirement, not because the Division is recommending a different approach to rate design for NBC in the current case. The across-the-board percentage increase approach for developing NBC's new rates is considered reasonable for the current NBC rate case, particular since NBC did not present a class cost of service study with its rate case filing, and therefore,
information is not available to differentiate and calibrate the percentage increases applicable to different rate classes.
Q. Should NBC periodically perform and submit updated class cost of service studies?
A. Yes. NBC should periodically perform and submit an updated class cost of service study. As noted above, NBC did not submit such a study in its current rate case.
Q. Should NBC be required to present updated class cost of service study information with its next rate case?
A. Yes. Information on NBC's updated cost of serving each rate class should be presented by NBC when it files its next general rate case.
Q. Does this complete your direct testimony?
A. Yes, it does.

# Exhibit RCS-1 <br> QUALIFICATIONS OF RALPH C. SMITH 

## Accomplishments

Mr. Smith's professional credentials include being a Certified Financial Planner ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ professional, a Certified Rate of Return Analyst, a licensed Certified Public Accountant and attorney. He functions as project manager on consulting projects involving utility regulation, regulatory policy and ratemaking and utility management. His involvement in public utility regulation has included project management and in-depth analyses of numerous issues involving telephone, electric, gas, and water and sewer utilities.

Mr. Smith has performed work in the field of utility regulation on behalf of industry, public service commission staffs, state attorney generals, municipalities, and consumer groups concerning regulatory matters before regulatory agencies in Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Nevada, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, Washington DC, West Virginia, Canada, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and various state and federal courts of law. He has presented expert testimony in regulatory hearings on behalf of utility commission staffs and intervenors on several occasions.

Project manager in Larkin \& Associates' review, on behalf of the Georgia Commission Staff, of the budget and planning activities of Georgia Power Company; supervised 13 professionals; coordinated over 200 interviews with Company budget center managers and executives; organized and edited voluminous audit report; presented testimony before the Commission. Functional areas covered included fossil plant O\&M, headquarters and district operations, internal audit, legal, affiliated transactions, and responsibility reporting. All of our findings and recommendations were accepted by the Commission.

Key team member in the firm's management audit of the Anchorage Water and Wastewater Utility on behalf of the Alaska Commission Staff, which assessed the effectiveness of the Utility's operations in several areas; responsible for in-depth investigation and report writing in areas involving information systems, finance and accounting, affiliated relationships and transactions, and use of outside contractors. Testified before the Alaska Commission concerning certain areas of the audit report. AWWU concurred with each of Mr. Smith's 40 plus recommendations for improvement.

Co-consultant in the analysis of the issues surrounding gas transportation performed for the law firm of Cravath, Swaine \& Moore in conjunction with the case of Reynolds Metals Co. vs. the Columbia Gas System, Inc.; drafted in-depth report concerning the regulatory treatment at both state and federal levels of issues such as flexible pricing and mandatory gas transportation.

Lead consultant and expert witness in the analysis of the rate increase request of the City of Austin - Electric Utility on behalf of the residential consumers. Among the numerous ratemaking issues addressed were the economies of the Utility's employment of outside services; provided both written and oral testimony outlining recommendations and their bases. Most of Mr. Smith's recommendations were adopted by the City Council and Utility in a settlement.

Key team member performing an analysis of the rate stabilization plan submitted by the Southern Bell Telephone \& Telegraph Company to the Florida PSC; performed comprehensive analysis of the Company's projections and budgets which were used as the basis for establishing rates.

Lead consultant in analyzing Southwestern Bell Telephone separations in Missouri; sponsored the complex technical analysis and calculations upon which the firm's testimony in that case was based. He has also assisted in analyzing changes in depreciation methodology for setting telephone rates.

Lead consultant in the review of gas cost recovery reconciliation applications of Michigan Gas Utilities Company, Michigan Consolidated Gas Company, and Consumers Power Company. Drafted recommendations regarding the appropriate rate of interest to be applied to any over or under collections and the proper procedures and allocation methodology to be used to distribute any refunds to customer classes.

Lead consultant in the review of Consumers Power Company's gas cost recovery refund plan. Addressed appropriate interest rate and compounding procedures and proper allocation methodology.

Project manager in the review of the request by Central Maine Power Company for an increase in rates. The major area addressed was the propriety of the Company's ratemaking attrition adjustment in relation to its corporate budgets and projections.

Project manager in an engagement designed to address the impacts of the Tax Reform Act of 1986 on gas distribution utility operations of the Northern States Power Company. Analyzed the reduction in the corporate tax rate, uncollectibles reserve, ACRS, unbilled revenues, customer advances, CIAC, and timing of TRA-related impacts associated with the Company's tax liability.

Project manager and expert witness in the determination of the impacts of the Tax Reform Act of 1986 on the operations of Connecticut Natural Gas Company on behalf of the Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control - Prosecutorial Division, Connecticut Attorney General, and Connecticut Department of Consumer Counsel.

Lead Consultant for The Minnesota Department of Public Service ("DPS") to review the Minnesota Incentive Plan ("Incentive Plan") proposal presented by Northwestern Bell Telephone Company ("NWB") doing business as U S West Communications ("USWC"). Objective was to express an opinion as to whether current rates addressed by the plan were appropriate from a Minnesota intrastate revenue requirements and accounting perspective, and to assist in developing recommended modifications to NWB's proposed Plan.

Performed a variety of analytical and review tasks related to our work effort on this project. Obtained and reviewed data and performed other procedures as necessary (1) to obtain an understanding of the Company's Incentive Plan filing package as it relates to rate base, operating income, revenue requirements, and plan operation, and (2) to formulate an opinion concerning the reasonableness of current rates and of amounts included within the Company's Incentive Plan filing. These procedures included requesting and reviewing extensive discovery, visiting the Company's offices to review data, issuing follow-up information requests in many instances, telephone and on-site discussions with Company representatives, and frequent discussions with counsel and DPS Staff assigned to the project.

Lead Consultant in the regulatory analysis of Jersey Central Power \& Light Company for the Department of the Public Advocate, Division of Rate Counsel. Tasks performed included on-site review and audit of Company, identification and analysis of specific issues, preparation of data requests, testimony, and cross examination questions. Testified in Hearings.

Assisted the NARUC Committee on Management Analysis with drafting the Consultant Standards for Management Audits.

Presented training seminars covering public utility accounting, tax reform, ratemaking, affiliated transaction auditing, rate case management, and regulatory policy in Maine, Georgia, Kentucky, and Pennsylvania. Seminars were presented to commission staffs and consumer interest groups.

## Previous Positions

With Larkin, Chapski and Co., the predecessor firm to Larkin \& Associates, was involved primarily in utility regulatory consulting, and also in tax planning and tax research for businesses and individuals, tax return preparation and review, and independent audit, review and preparation of financial statements.

Installed computerized accounting system for a realty management firm.

## Education

Bachelor of Science in Administration in Accounting, with distinction, University of Michigan, Dearborn, 1979.

Master of Science in Taxation, Walsh College, Michigan, 1981. Master's thesis dealt with investment tax credit and property tax on various assets.

Juris Doctor, cum laude, Wayne State University Law School, Detroit, Michigan, 1986. Recipient of American Jurisprudence Award for academic excellence.

Continuing education required to maintain CPA license and CFP® certificate.
Passed all parts of CPA examination in first sitting, 1979. Received CPA certificate in 1981 and Certified Financial Planning certificate in 1983. Admitted to Michigan and Federal bars in 1986.

Michigan Bar Association.
American Bar Association, sections on public utility law and taxation.

## Partial list of utility cases participated in:

| 79-228-EL-FAC | Cincinnati Gas \& Electric Company (Ohio PUC) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 79-231-EL-FAC | Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (Ohio PUC) |
| 79-535-EL-AIR | East Ohio Gas Company (Ohio PUC) |
| 80-235-EL-FAC | Ohio Edison Company (Ohio PUC) |
| 80-240-EL-FAC | Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (Ohio PUC) |
| U-1933 | Tucson Electric Power Company (Arizona Corp. Commission) |
| U-6794 | Michigan Consolidated Gas Co. --16 Refunds (Michigan PSC) |
| 81-0035TP | Southern Bell Telephone Company (Florida PSC) |
| 81-0095TP | General Telephone Company of Florida (Florida PSC) |
| 81-308-EL-EFC | Dayton Power \& Light Co.- Fuel Adjustment Clause (Ohio PUC) |
| 810136-EU | Gulf Power Company (Florida PSC) |
| GR-81-342 | Northern States Power Co. -- E-002/Minnesota (Minnesota PUC) |
| Tr-81-208 | Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (Missouri PSC)) |
| U-6949 | Detroit Edison Company (Michigan PSC) |
| 8400 | East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. (Kentucky PSC) |
| 18328 | Alabama Gas Corporation (Alabama PSC) |
| 18416 | Alabama Power Company (Alabama PSC) |
| 820100-EU | Florida Power Corporation (Florida PSC) |
| 8624 | Kentucky Utilities (Kentucky PSC) |
| 8648 | East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. (Kentucky PSC) |
| U-7236 | Detroit Edison - Burlington Northern Refund (Michigan PSC) |
| U6633-R | Detroit Edison - MRCS Program (Michigan PSC) |
| U-6797-R | Consumers Power Company -MRCS Program (Michigan PSC) |
| U-5510-R | Consumers Power Company - Energy conservation Finance Program (Michigan PSC) |
| 82-240E | South Carolina Electric \& Gas Company (South Carolina PSC) |
| 7350 | Generic Working Capital Hearing (Michigan PSC) |
| RH-1-83 | Westcoast Transmission Co., (National Energy Board of Canada) |
| 820294-TP | Southern Bell Telephone \& Telegraph Co. (Florida PSC) |
| 82-165-EL-EFC |  |
| (Subfile A) | Toledo Edison Company(Ohio PUC) |
| 82-168-EL-EFC | Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (Ohio PUC) |
| 830012-EU | Tampa Electric Company (Florida PSC) |
| U-7065 | The Detroit Edison Company - Fermi II (Michigan PSC) |
| 8738 | Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc. (Kentucky PSC) |
| ER-83-206 | Arkansas Power \& Light Company (Missouri PSC) |
| U-4758 | The Detroit Edison Company - Refunds (Michigan PSC) |
| 8836 | Kentucky American Water Company (Kentucky PSC) |
| 8839 | Western Kentucky Gas Company (Kentucky PSC) |
| 83-07-15 | Connecticut Light \& Power Co. (Connecticut DPU) |
| 81-0485-WS | Palm Coast Utility Corporation (Florida PSC) |
| U-7650 | Consumers Power Co. (Michigan PSC) |
| 83-662 | Continental Telephone Company of California, (Nevada PSC) |
| U-6488-R | Detroit Edison Co., FAC \& PIPAC Reconciliation (Michigan PSC) |
| U-15684 | Louisiana Power \& Light Company (Louisiana PSC) |
| 7395 \& U-7397 | Campaign Ballot Proposals (Michigan PSC) |
| 820013-WS | Seacoast Utilities (Florida PSC) |
| U-7660 | Detroit Edison Company (Michigan PSC) |
| 83-1039 | CP National Corporation (Nevada PSC) |
| U-7802 | Michigan Gas Utilities Company (Michigan PSC) |
| 83-1226 | Sierra Pacific Power Company (Nevada PSC) |
| 830465-EI | Florida Power \& Light Company (Florida PSC) |
| U-7777 | Michigan Consolidated Gas Company (Michigan PSC) |
| U-7779 | Consumers Power Company (Michigan PSC) |


| U-7480-R | Michigan Consolidated Gas Company (Michigan PSC) |
| :---: | :---: |
| U-7488-R | Consumers Power Company - Gas (Michigan PSC) |
| U-7484-R | Michigan Gas Utilities Company (Michigan PSC) |
| U-7550-R | Detroit Edison Company (Michigan PSC) |
| U-7477-R | Indiana \& Michigan Electric Company (Michigan PSC) |
| 18978 | Continental Telephone Co. of the South Alabama (Alabama PSC) |
| R-842583 | Duquesne Light Company (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| R-842740 | Pennsylvania Power Company (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| 850050-EI | Tampa Electric Company (Florida PSC) |
| 16091 | Louisiana Power \& Light Company (Louisiana PSC) |
| 19297 | Continental Telephone Co. of the South Alabama (Alabama PSC) |
| 76-18788AA |  |
| \&76-18793AA | Detroit Edison - Refund - Appeal of U-4807 (Ingham County, Michigan Circuit Court) |
| 85-53476AA |  |
| \& 85-534785AA | Detroit Edison Refund - Appeal of U-4758 (Ingham County, Michigan Circuit Court) |
| U-8091/U-8239 | Consumers Power Company - Gas Refunds (Michigan PSC) |
| TR-85-179 | United Telephone Company of Missouri (Missouri PSC) |
| 85-212 | Central Maine Power Company (Maine PSC) |
| ER-85646001 |  |
| \& ER-85647001 | New England Power Company (FERC) |
| 850782-EI \& |  |
| 850783-EI | Florida Power \& Light Company (Florida PSC) |
| R-860378 | Duquesne Light Company (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| R-850267 | Pennsylvania Power Company (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| 851007-WU |  |
| \& 840419-SU | Florida Cities Water Company (Florida PSC) |
| G-002/GR-86-160 | Northern States Power Company (Minnesota PSC) |
| 7195 (Interim) | Gulf States Utilities Company (Texas PUC) |
| 87-01-03 | Connecticut Natural Gas Company (Connecticut PUC)) |
| 87-01-02 | Southern New England Telephone Company (Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control) |
| 3673- | Georgia Power Company (Georgia PSC) |
| 29484 | Long Island Lighting Co. (New York Dept. of Public Service) |
| U-8924 | Consumers Power Company - Gas (Michigan PSC) |
| Docket No. 1 | Austin Electric Utility (City of Austin, Texas) |
| Docket E-2, Sub 527 | Carolina Power \& Light Company (North Carolina PUC) |
| U-87-47 | Anchorage Water and Wastewater Utility (Alaska PUC) |
| 870853 | Pennsylvania Gas and Water Company (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| 880069 | Southern Bell Telephone Company (Florida PSC) |
| U-1954-88-102 | Citizens Utilities Rural Company, Inc. \& Citizens Utilities |
| T E-1032-88-102 | Company, Kingman Telephone Division (Arizona CC) |
| 89-0033 | Illinois Bell Telephone Company (Illinois CC) |
| U-89-2688-T | Puget Sound Power \& Light Company (Washington UTC)) |
| R-891364 | Philadelphia Electric Company (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| F.C. 889 | Potomac Electric Power Company (District of Columbia PSC) |
| Case No. 88/546 | Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, et al Plaintiffs, v. Gulf+Western, Inc. et al, defendants (Supreme Court County of Onondaga, State of New York) |
| 87-11628 | Duquesne Light Company, et al, plaintiffs, against Gulf+ Western, Inc. et al, defendants (Court of the Common Pleas of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania Civil Division) |
| 890319-EI | Florida Power \& Light Company (Florida PSC) |
| 891345-EI | Gulf Power Company (Florida PSC) |
| ER 8811 0912J | Jersey Central Power \& Light Company (BPU) |


| 6531 | Hawaiian Electric Company (Hawaii PUCs) |
| :---: | :---: |
| R-901595 | Equitable Gas Company (Pennsylvania Consumer Counsel) |
| 90-10 | Artesian Water Company (Delaware PSC) |
| 89-12-05 | Southern New England Telephone Company (Connecticut PUC) |
| 900329-WS | Southern States Utilities, Inc. (Florida PSC) |
| 90-12-018 | Southern California Edison Company (California PUC) |
| 90-E-1185 | Long Island Lighting Company (New York DPS) |
| R-911966 | Pennsylvania Gas \& Water Company (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| I.90-07-037, Phase II | (Investigation of OPEBs) Department of the Navy and all Other Federal Executive Agencies (California PUC) |
| U-1551-90-322 | Southwest Gas Corporation (Arizona CC) |
| U-1656-91-134 | Sun City Water Company (Arizona RUCO) |
| U-2013-91-133 | Havasu Water Company (Arizona RUCO) |
| 91-174 | Central Maine Power Company (Department of the Navy and all Other Federal Executive Agencies) |
| U-1551-89-102 | Southwest Gas Corporation - Rebuttal and PGA Audit (Arizona |
| \& U-1551-89-103 | Corporation Commission) |
| Docket No. 6998 | Hawaiian Electric Company (Hawaii PUC) |
| TC-91-040A and | Intrastate Access Charge Methodology, Pool and Rates |
| TC-91-040B | Local Exchange Carriers Association and South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition |
| 9911030-WS \& | General Development Utilities - Port Malabar and |
| 911-67-WS | West Coast Divisions (Florida PSC) |
| U-91-091 | Anchorage Telephone Utility (Alaska PUC) |
| 922180 | The Peoples Natural Gas Company (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| 7233 and 7243 | Hawaiian Nonpension Postretirement Benefits (Hawaiian PUC) |
| R-00922314 |  |
| \& M-920313C006 | Metropolitan Edison Company (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| R00922428 | Pennsylvania American Water Company (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| E-1032-92-083 \& |  |
| U-1656-92-183 | Citizens Utilities Company, Agua Fria Water Division (Arizona Corporation Commission) |
| 92-09-19 | Southern New England Telephone Company (Connecticut PUC) |
| E-1032-92-073 | Citizens Utilities Company (Electric Division), (Arizona CC) |
| UE-92-1262 | Puget Sound Power and Light Company (Washington UTC)) |
| 92-345 | Central Maine Power Company (Maine PUC) |
| R-932667 | Pennsylvania Gas \& Water Company (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| U-93-60 | Matanuska Telephone Association, Inc. (Alaska PUC) |
| U-93-50 | Anchorage Telephone Utility (Alaska PUC) |
| U-93-64 | PTI Communications (Alaska PUC) |
| 7700 | Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. (Hawaii PUC) |
| E-1032-93-111 \& | Citizens Utilities Company - Gas Division |
| U-1032-93-193 | (Arizona Corporation Commission) |
| R-00932670 | Pennsylvania American Water Company (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| U-1514-93-169/ | Sale of Assets CC\&N from Contel of the West, Inc. to |
| E-1032-93-169 | Citizens Utilities Company (Arizona Corporation Commission) |
| 7766 | Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. (Hawaii PUC) |
| 93-2006- GA-AIR | The East Ohio Gas Company (Ohio PUC) |
| 94-E-0334 | Consolidated Edison Company (New York DPS) |
| 94-0270 | Inter-State Water Company (Illinois Commerce Commission) |
| 94-0097 | Citizens Utilities Company, Kauai Electric Division (Hawaii PUC) |
| PU-314-94-688 | Application for Transfer of Local Exchanges (North Dakota PSC) |
| 94-12-005-Phase I | Pacific Gas \& Electric Company (California PUC) |
| R-953297 | UGI Utilities, Inc. - Gas Division (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| 95-03-01 | Southern New England Telephone Company (Connecticut PUC) |
| 95-0342 | Consumer Illinois Water, Kankakee Water District (Illinois CC) |


| 94-996-EL-AIR | Ohio Power Company (Ohio PUC) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 95-1000-E | South Carolina Electric \& Gas Company (South Carolina PSC) |
| Non-Docketed | Citizens Utility Company - Arizona Telephone Operations |
| Staff Investigation | (Arizona Corporation Commission) |
| E-1032-95-473 | Citizens Utility Co. - Northern Arizona Gas Division (Arizona CC) |
| E-1032-95-433 | Citizens Utility Co. - Arizona Electric Division (Arizona CC) |
|  | Collaborative Ratemaking Process Columbia Gas of Pennsylvania (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| GR-96-285 | Missouri Gas Energy (Missouri PSC) |
| 94-10-45 | Southern New England Telephone Company (Connecticut PUC) |
| A.96-08-001 et al. | California Utilities' Applications to Identify Sunk Costs of NonNuclear Generation Assets, \& Transition Costs for Electric Utility Restructuring, \& Consolidated Proceedings (California PUC) |
| 96-324 | Bell Atlantic - Delaware, Inc. (Delaware PSC) |
| 96-08-070, et al. | Pacific Gas \& Electric Co., Southern California Edison Co. and San Diego Gas \& Electric Company (California PUC) |
| 97-05-12 | Connecticut Light \& Power (Connecticut PUC) |
| R-00973953 | Application of PECO Energy Company for Approval of its Restructuring Plan Under Section 2806 of the Public Utility Code (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| 97-65 | Application of Delmarva Power \&Light Co. for Application of a Cost Accounting Manual and a Code of Conduct (Delaware PSC) |
| 16705 | Entergy Gulf States, Inc. (Cities Steering Committee) |
| E-1072-97-067 | Southwestern Telephone Co. (Arizona Corporation Commission) |
| Non-Docketed | Delaware - Estimate Impact of Universal Services Issues |
| Staff Investigation | (Delaware PSC) |
| PU-314-97-12 | US West Communications, Inc. Cost Studies (North Dakota PSC) |
| 97-0351 | Consumer Illinois Water Company (Illinois CC) |
| 97-8001 | Investigation of Issues to be Considered as a Result of Restructuring of Electric Industry (Nevada PSC) |
| U-0000-94-165 | Generic Docket to Consider Competition in the Provision of Retail Electric Service (Arizona Corporation Commission) |
| 98-05-006-Phase I | San Diego Gas \& Electric Co., Section 386 costs (California PUC) |
| 9355-U | Georgia Power Company Rate Case (Georgia PUC) |
| 97-12-020 - Phase I | Pacific Gas \& Electric Company (California PUC) |
| U-98-56, U-98-60, | Investigation of 1998 Intrastate Access charge filings |
| U-98-65, U-98-67 | (Alaska PUC) |
| (U-99-66, U-99-65, | Investigation of 1999 Intrastate Access Charge filing |
| U-99-56, U-99-52) | (Alaska PUC) |
| Phase II of |  |
| 97-SCCC-149-GIT | Southwestern Bell Telephone Company Cost Studies (Kansas CC) |
| PU-314-97-465 | US West Universal Service Cost Model (North Dakota PSC) |
| Non-docketed | Bell Atlantic - Delaware, Inc., Review of New Telecomm. |
| Assistance | and Tariff Filings (Delaware PSC) |
| Contract Dispute | City of Zeeland, MI - Water Contract with the City of Holland, MI (Before an arbitration panel) |
| Non-docketed Project | City of Danville, IL - Valuation of Water System (Danville, IL) |
| Non-docketed Project | Village of University Park, IL - Valuation of Water and Sewer System (Village of University Park, Illinois) |


| E-1032-95-417 | Citizens Utility Co., Maricopa Water/Wastewater Companies <br> et al. (Arizona Corporation Commission) <br> Proposed Merger of the Parent Corporation of Qwest <br> T-1051B-99-0497 <br>  <br>  <br> Communications Corporation, LCI International Telecom Corp., <br> and US West Communications, Inc. (Arizona CC) <br> T-01051B-99-0105 |
| :--- | :--- |
| AS West Communications, Inc. Rate Case (Arizona CC) |  |
| T-0105-043 B-99-0499 | Pacific Gas \& Electric - 2001 Attrition (California PUC) |
| 99-419/420 | US West/Quest Broadband Asset Transfer (Arizona CC) |
| PU314-99-119 | US West, Inc. Toll and Access Rebalancing (North Dakota PSC) |
|  | US West, Inc. Residential Rate Increase and Cost Study Review |
| (North Dakota PSC |  |


| 97-12-020 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Phase II | Pacific Gas \& Electric Company Rate Case (California PUC) |
| 01-10-10 | United Illuminating Company (Connecticut OCC) |
| 13711-U | Georgia Power FCR (Georgia PSC) |
| 02-001 | Verizon Delaware § 271(Delaware DPA) |
| 02-BLVT-377-AUD | Blue Valley Telephone Company Audit/General Rate Investigation (Kansas CC) |
| 02-S\&TT-390-AUD | S\&T Telephone Cooperative Audit/General Rate Investigation (Kansas CC) |
| 01-SFLT-879-AUD | Sunflower Telephone Company Inc., Audit/General Rate Investigation (Kansas CC) |
| 01-BSTT-878-AUD | Bluestem Telephone Company, Inc. Audit/General Rate Investigation (Kansas CC) |
| P404, 407, 520, 413 |  |
| 426, 427, 430, 421/ |  |
| CI-00-712 | Sherburne County Rural Telephone Company, dba as Connections, Etc. (Minnesota DOC) |
| U-01-85 | ACS of Alaska, dba as Alaska Communications Systems (ACS), Rate Case (Alaska Regulatory Commission PAS) |
| U-01-34 | ACS of Anchorage, dba as Alaska Communications Systems (ACS), Rate Case (Alaska Regulatory Commission PAS) |
| U-01-83 | ACS of Fairbanks, dba as Alaska Communications Systems (ACS), Rate Case (Alaska Regulatory Commission PAS) |
| U-01-87 | ACS of the Northland, dba as Alaska Communications Systems (ACS), Rate Case (Alaska Regulatory Commission PAS) |
| U-01-84 | ACS of Fairbanks dba Alaska Communications Systems (ACS), Rate Case (Alaska Regulatory Commission PAS) |
| U-01-82 | ACS of Anchorage dba Alaska Communications Systems (ACS), Rate Case (Alaska Regulatory Commission PAS) |
| U-01-86 | ACS of Alaska dba Alaska Communications Systems (ACS), Rate Case (Alaska Regulatory Commission PAS) |
| 96-324, Phase II | Verizon Delaware, Inc. UNE Rate Filing (Delaware PSC) |
| 03-WHST-503-AUD | Wheat State Telephone Company (Kansas CC) |
| 04-GNBT-130-AUD | Golden Belt Telephone Association (Kansas CC) |
| Docket 6914 | Shoreham Telephone Company, Inc. (Vermont BPU) |
| Docket No. |  |
| E-01345A-06-009 | Arizona Public Service Company (Arizona Corporation Commission) |
| Case No. |  |
| 05-1278-E-PC-PW-42T | Appalachian Power Company and Wheeling Power Company both d/b/a American Electric Power (West Virginia PSC) |
| Docket No. 04-0113 | Hawaiian Electric Company (Hawaii PUC) |
| Case No. U-14347 | Consumers Energy Company (Michigan PSC) |
| Case No. 05-725-EL-UNC | Cincinnati Gas \& Electric Company (PUC of Ohio) |
| Docket No. 21229-U | Savannah Electric \& Power Company (Georgia PSC) |
| Docket No. 19142-U | Georgia Power Company (Georgia PSC) |
| Docket No. |  |
| 03-07-01RE01 | Connecticut Light \& Power Company (CT DPUC) |
| Docket No. 19042-U | Savannah Electric \& Power Company (Georgia PSC) |
| Docket No. 2004-178-E | South Carolina Electric \& Gas Company (South Carolina PSC) |
| Docket No. 03-07-02 | Connecticut Light \& Power Company (CT DPUC) |
| Docket No. EX02060363, |  |
| Phases I\&II | Rockland Electric Company (NJ BPU) |
| Docket No. U-00-88 | ENSTAR Natural Gas Company and Alaska Pipeline Company (Regulatory Commission of Alaska) |
| Phase 1-2002 IERM, Docket No. U-02-075 Docket No. 05-SCNT- | Interior Telephone Company, Inc. (Regulatory Commission of Alaska) |

Docket No. 05 -SNT

| 1048-AUD | South Central Telephone Company (Kansas CC) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Docket No. 05-TRCT- |  |
| 607-KSF | Tri-County Telephone Company (Kansas CC) |
| Docket No. 05-KOKT- |  |
| 060-AUD | Kan Okla Telephone Company (Kansas CC) |
| Docket No. 2002-747 | Northland Telephone Company of Maine (Maine PUC) |
| Docket No. 2003-34 | Sidney Telephone Company (Maine PUC) |
| Docket No. 2003-35 | Maine Telephone Company (Maine PUC) |
| Docket No. 2003-36 | China Telephone Company (Maine PUC) |
| Docket No. 2003-37 | Standish Telephone Company (Maine PUC) |
| Docket Nos. U-04-022, |  |
|  | Anchorage Water and Wastewater Utility (Regulatory Commission of Alaska) |
| U-04-81 | Protest by Aurora Gas, LLC against Beluga Pipe Line Company (Regulatory Commission of Alaska) |
| Case 05-116-U/06-055-U | Entergy Arkansas, Inc. EFC (Arkansas Public Service Commission) |
| Case 04-137-U | Southwest Power Pool RTO (Arkansas Public Service Commission) |
| Case No. 7109/7160 | Vermont Gas Systems (Department of Public Service) |
| Case No. ER-2006-0315 | Empire District Electric Company (Missouri PSC) |
| Case No. ER-2006-0314 | Kansas City Power \& Light Company (Missouri PSC) |
| Docket No. U-05-043,44 | Golden Heart Utilities/College Park Utilities (Regulatory Commission of Alaska) |
| A-122250F5000 | Equitable Resources, Inc. and The Peoples Natural Gas Company, d/b/a Dominion Peoples (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| E-01345A-05-0816 | Arizona Public Service Company (Arizona CC) |
| Docket No. 05-304 | Delmarva Power \& Light Company (Delaware PSC) |
| 05-806-EL-UNC | Cincinnati Gas \& Electric Company (Ohio PUC) |
| U-06-45 | Anchorage Water Utility (Regulatory Commission of Alaska) |
| 03-93-EL-ATA, |  |
| 06-1068-EL-UNC | Duke Energy Ohio (Ohio PUC) |
| PUE-2006-00065 | Appalachian Power Company (Virginia Corporation Commission) |
| G-04204A-06-0463 et. al | UNS Gas, Inc. (Arizona CC) |
| U-06-134 | Chugach Electric Association, Inc. (Regulatory Commission of Alaska) |
| Docket No. 2006-0386 | Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc (Hawaii PUC) |
| E-01933A-07-0402 | Tucson Electric Power Company (Arizona CC) |
| G-01551A-07-0504 | Southwest Gas Corporation (Arizona CC) |
| Docket No. 07-186 | Chesapeake Utilities Corporation (Delaware PSC) |
| Docket No. UE-072300 | Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (Washington UTC) |
| PUE-2008-00009 | Virginia-American Water Company (Virginia SCC) |
| PUE-2008-00046 | Appalachian Power Company (Virginia SCC) |
| E-01345A-08-0172 | Arizona Public Service Company (Arizona CC) |
| A-2008-2063737 | Babcock \& Brown Infrastructure Fund North America, LP. and The Peoples Natural Gas Company, d/b/a Dominion Peoples (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| 08-1783-G-42T | Hope Gas, Inc., dba Dominion Hope (West Virginia PSC) |
| 08-1761-G-PC | Hope Gas, Inc., dba Dominion Hope, Dominion Resources, Inc., and Peoples Hope Gas Companies (West Virginia PSC) |
| Docket No. 2008-0083 | Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. (Hawaii PUC) |
| Docket No. 2008-0266 | Young Brothers, Limited (Hawaii PUC) |
| G-04024A-08-0571 | UNS Gas, Inc. (Arizona CC) |
| Docket No. 09-29 | Tidewater Utilities, Inc. (Delaware PSC) |
| Docket No. UE-090704 | Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (Washington UTC) |
| 09-0878-G-42T | Mountaineer Gas Company (West Virginia PSC) |
| 2009-UA-0014 | Mississippi Power Company (Mississippi PSC) |
| Docket No. 09-0319 | Illinois-American Water Company (Illinois CC) |
| Docket No. 09-414 | Delmarva Power \& Light Company (Delaware PSC) |
| R-2009-2132019 | Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc. (Pennsylvania PUC) |

Docket Nos. U-09-069, U-09-070
Docket Nos. U-04-023, U-04-024

W-01303A-09-0343 \&
SW-01303A-09-0343
09-872-EL-FAC \& 09-873-EL-FAC

2010-00036
E-04100A-09-0496
E-01773A-09-0472
R-2010-2166208, R-2010-2166210, R-2010-2166212, \&
R-2010-2166214
PSC Docket No. 09-0602

10-0713-E-PC
Docket No. 31958
Docket No. 10-0467
PSC Docket No. 10-237
U-10-51

10-0699-E-42T

10-0920-W-42T
A.10-07-007

A-2010-2210326
09-1012-EL-FAC

10-268-EL FAC et al. Financial Audit of the FAC of the Columbus Southern Power Company and the
Ohio Power Company - Audit II (Ohio PUC)
Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. (Hawaii PUC)
Southwest Gas Corporation (Arizona CC)
Kansas City Power \& Light Company - Remand (Kansas CC)
Virginia Appalachian Power Company (Commonwealth of Virginia SCC)
Pennsylvania-American Water (Pennsylvania PUC)
Power Purchase Agreement between Chugach Association, Inc. and Fire Island Wind, LLC (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)
San Diego Gas \& Electric Company (California PUC)
Artesian Water Company, Inc. (Delaware PSC)
Indiana-American Water Company, Inc. (Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission)
Management Audit of Tidewater Utilities, Inc. Affiliate Transactions (Delaware Public Service Commission)
UNS Gas, Inc. (Arizona Corporation Commission)
Arizona Public Service Company (Arizona CC)
Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission)
Commonwealth Edison Company (Illinois CC)
Public Service Company of Colorado (Colorado PSC)
Golden Heart Utilities, Inc. and College Utilities Corporation (The Regulatory Commission of Alaska)

| Docket No. 11-0767 | Illinois-American Water Company (Illinois CC) |
| :---: | :---: |
| PSC Docket No. 11-397 | Tidewater Utilities, Inc. (Delaware PSC) |
| Cause No. 44075 | Indiana Michigan Power Company (Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission) |
| Docket No. 12-0001 | Ameren Illinois Company (Illinois CC) |
| 11-5730-EL-FAC | Financial, Management, and Performance Audit of the FAC for Dayton Power and Light - Audit 2 (Ohio PUC) |
| PSC Docket No. 11-528 | Delmarva Power \& Light Company (Delaware PSC) |
| 11-281-EL-FAC et al. | Financial Audit of the FAC of the Columbus Southern Power Company and the Ohio Power Company - Audit III (Ohio PUC) |
| Cause No. 43114-IGCC- |  |
| 4S1 | Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission) |
| Docket No. 12-0293 | Ameren Illinois Company (Illinois CC) |
| Docket No. 12-0321 | Commonwealth Edison Company (Illinois CC) |
| 12-02019 \& 12-04005 | Southwest Gas Corporation (Public Utilities Commission of Nevada) |
| Docket No. 2012-218-E | South Carolina Electric \& Gas (South Carolina PSC) |
| Docket No. E-72, Sub 479 | Dominion North Carolina Power (North Carolina Utilities Commission) |
| 12-0511 \& 12-0512 | North Shore Gas Company and The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company (Illinois CC) |
| E-01933A-12-0291 | Tucson Electric Power Company (Arizona CC) |
| Case No. 9311 | Potomac Electric Power Company (Maryland PSC) |
| Cause No. 43114-IGCC-10 | Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission) |
| Docket No. 36498 | Georgia Power Company (Georgia PSC) |
| Case No. 9316 | Columbia Gas of Maryland, Inc. (Maryland PSC) |
| Docket No. 13-0192 | Ameren Illinois Company (Illinois CC) |
| 12-1649-W-42T | West Virginia-American Water Company (West Virginia PSC) |
| E-04204A-12-0504 | UNS Electric, Inc. (Arizona CC) |
| PUE-2013-00020 | Virginia and Electric Power Company (Virginia SCC) |
| R-2013-2355276 | Pennsylvania-American Water Company (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| Formal Case No. 1103 | Potomac Electric Power Company (District of Columbia PSC) |
| U-13-007 | Chugach Electric Association, Inc. (The Regulatory Commission of Alaska) |
| 12-2881-EL-FAC | Financial, Management, and Performance Audit of the FAC for Dayton Power and Light - Audit 3 (Ohio PUC) |
| Docket No. 36989 | Georgia Power Company (Georgia PSC) |
| Cause No. 43114-IGCC-11 | Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission) |
| UM 1633 | Investigation into Treatment of Pension Costs in Utility Rates (Oregon PUC) |
| 13-1892-EL FAC | Financial Audit of the FAC and AER of the Ohio Power Company - Audit I (Ohio PUC) |
| E-04230A-14-0011 \& |  |
| E-01933A-14-0011 | Reorganization of UNS Energy Corporation with Fortis, Inc. (Arizona CC) |
| 14-255-EL RDR | Regulatory Compliance Audit of the 2013 DIR of Ohio Power Company (Ohio PUC) |
| U-14-001 | Chugach Electric Association, Inc. (The Regulatory Commission of Alaska) |
| U-14-002 | Alaska Power Company (The Regulatory Commission of Alaska) |
| PUE-2014-00026 | Virginia Appalachian Power Company (Commonwealth of Virginia SCC) |
| 14-0117-EL-FAC | Financial, Management, and Performance Audit of the FAC and Purchased Power Rider for Dayton Power and Light - Audit 1 (Ohio PUC) |
| 14-0702-E-42T | Monongahela Power Company and The Potomac Edison Company (West Virginia PSC) |
| Formal Case No. 1119 | Merger of Exelon Corporation, Pepco Holdings, Inc., Potomac Electric Power Company, Exelon Energy Delivery Company, LLC, and New Special Purpose Entity, LLC (District of Columbia PSC) |
| R-2014-2428742 | West Penn Power Company (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| R-2014-2428743 | Pennsylvania Electric Company (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| R-2014-2428744 | Pennsylvania Power Company (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| R-2014-2428745 | Metropolitan Edison Company (Pennsylvania PUC) |


| $12 / 13$ | Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 14-1152-E-42T | Appalachian Power Company and Wheeling Power Company (West Virginia PSC) |
| WS-01303A-14-0010 | EPCOR Water Arizona, Inc. (Arizona CC) |
| 2014-000396 | Kentucky Power Company (Kentucky PSC) |
| 15-03-45 | Iberdrola, S.A. Et Al, and UIL Holdings Corporation merger (Connecticut PURA) |
| A.14-11-003 | San Diego Gas \& Electric Company (California PUC) |
| U-14-111 | ENSTAR Natural Gas Company (Regulatory Commission of Alaska) |
| 2015-UN-049 | Atmos Energy Corporation (Mississippi PSC) |
| 15-0003-G-42T | Mountaineer Gas Company (West Virginia PSC) |
| PUE-2015-00027 | Virginia Electric and Power Company (Commonwealth of Virginia SCC) |
| Docket No. 2015-0022 | Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc., Hawaii Electric Light Company, Inc., Maui Electric Company Limited, and NextEra Energy, Inc. (Hawaii PUC) |
| 15-0676-W-42T | West Virginia-American Water Company (West Virginia PSC) |
| 15-07-38 | Iberdrola, S.A. Et Al, and UIL Holdings Corporation merger (Connecticut PURA) |
| 15-26 | Iberdrola, S.A. Et Al, and UIL Holdings Corporation merger (Massachusetts DPU) |
| 15-042-EL-FAC | Management/Performance and Financial Audit of the FAC and Purchased Power Rider for Dayton Power and Light (Ohio PUC) |
| U-15-016 | Cook Inlet Natural Gas Storage Alaska, LLC (Regulatory Commission of Alaska) |
| 2015-UN-0080 | Mississippi Power Company (Mississippi PSC) |
| Docket No. 15-00042 <br> WR-2015-0301/SR-2015 | B\&W Pipeline, LLC (Tennessee Regulatory Authority) |
| -0302 | Missouri American Water Company (Missouri PSC) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { U-15-089, U-15-091, } \\ & \& \text { U-15-092 } \end{aligned}$ | Golden Heart Utilities, Inc. and College Utilities Corporation (The Regulatory Commission of Alaska) |
| Docket No. 16-00001 | Kingsport Power Company d/b/a AEP Appalachian Power (Tennessee Regulatory Authority) |
| PUE-2015-00097 | Virginia-American Water Company (Commonwealth of Virginia SCC) |
| 15-1854-EL-RDR | Management/Performance and Financial Audit of the Alternative Energy Recovery Rider of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Ohio PUC) |
| P-15-014 | PTE Pipeline LLC (Regulatory Commission of Alaska) |
| P-15-020 | Swanson River Oil Pipeline, LLC (Regulatory Commission of Alaska) |
| U-15-127 through |  |
| U-15-138 | Doyon Utilities, LLC (Regulatory Commission of Alaska) |
| Docket No. 40161 | Georgia Power Company - Integrated Resource Plan (Georgia PSC) |
| Formal Case No. 1137 | Washington Gas Light Company (District of Columbia PSC) |
| 160021-EI, et al. | Florida Power Company (Florida PSC) |
| R-2016-2537349 | Metropolitan Edison Company (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| R-2016-2537352 | Pennsylvania Electric Company (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| R-2016-2537355 | Pennsylvania Power Company (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| R-2016-2537359 | West Penn Power Company (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| 16-0717-G-390P | Hope Gas, Inc., dba Dominion Hope (West Virginia PSC) |
| 15-1256-G-390P |  |
| (Reopening)/16-0922- |  |
| G-390P | Mountaineer Gas Company (West Virginia PSC) |
| 16-0550-W-P | West Virginia-American Water Company (West Virginia PSC) |
| CEPR-AP-2015-0001 | Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority (Puerto Rico Energy Commission) |
| E-01345A-16-0036 | Arizona Public Service Company (Arizona CC) |
| Docket No. 4618 | Providence Water Supply Board (Rhode Island PUC) |

Docket No. 46238

U-16-066
Case No. 2016-00370
Case No. 2016-00371
P-2015-2508942
P-2015-2508936
P-2015-2508931
P-2015-2508948
E-04204A-15-0142
E-01933A-15-0322
UE-170033 \& UG-170034
Case No. U-18239
Case No. U-18248
Case No. 9449
Formal Case No. 1142
Case No. 2017-00179
Docket No. 29849
Docket No. 2017-AD-112
Docket No. D2017.9.79
SW-01428A-17-0058 et al
U-18-021 \& U-18-033
Docket No. 4800
General Order No. 236.1

20180047-EI
20180046-EI
20180048-EI
20180052-GU
20180054-GU
20180051-GU
20180053-GU
Cause No. 45032 S4

Docket No. D2018.1.6
Docket No. D2018.4.24
Docket No. D2018.4.22
18-0573-W-42T \& 18-
0576-S-42T
18-0646-E-42T \& 18-0645
E-D

18-0049-GA-ALT,
18-0298-GA-AIR, \&
18-0299-GA-ALT
R-2018-3003558, R-20183003561

Cause No. 45142

U-18-043

T-03214-17-0305

Docket No. D2018.9.6

Joint Report and Application of Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC and NextEra Energy Inc. (Texas State Office of Administrative Hearings; Texas PUC)
ENSTAR Natural Gas Company (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)
Kentucky Utilities Company (Kentucky PSC)
Louisville Gas and Electric Company (Kentucky PSC)
Metropolitan Edison Company (Pennsylvania PUC)
Pennsylvania Electric Company (Pennsylvania PUC)
Pennsylvania Power Company (Pennsylvania PUC)
West Penn Power Company (Pennsylvania PUC)
UNS Electric, Inc. (Arizona CC)
Tucson Electric Power Company (Arizona CC)
Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (Washington UTC)
Consumers Energy Company (Michigan PSC)
DTE Electric Company (Michigan PSC)
Merger of AltaGas Ltd. and WGL Holdings (Maryland PSC)
Merger of AltaGas Ltd. and WGL Holdings (District of Columbia PSC)
Kentucky Power Company (Kentucky PSC)
Georgia Power Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4, VCM 17 (Georgia PSC)
Mississippi Power Company (Mississippi PSC)
Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. (Montana PSC)
Liberty Utilities (Litchfield Park Water \& Sewer) Corp. (Arizona CC)
Chugach Electric Association, Inc. (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)
Suez Water Rhode Island Inc. (Rhode Island PUC)
In the Matter of the Effects on Utilities of the 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act
(West Virginia PSC)
Duke Energy Florida, LLC. (Florida PSC)
Florida Power \& Light Company (Florida PSC)
Florida Public Utilities Company - Electric (Florida PSC)
Florida Public Utilities Company - Indiantown (Florida PSC)
Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation (Florida PSC)
Florida Public Utilities Company - Gas Division (Florida PSC)
Florida Public Utilities Company - Fort Meade (Florida PSC)
Indiana American Water Company, Inc. Phase 2 (Indiana Utility Regulatory
Commission)
Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. (Montana PSC)
NorthWestern Energy (Montana PSC)
Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. (Montana PSC)
West Virginia-American Water Company (West Virginia PSC)
Appalachian Power Company and Wheeling Power Company (West Virginia PSC)

Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc. (Ohio PUC)
Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc. and Aqua Pennsylvania Wastewater, Inc.
(Pennsylvania PUC)
Indiana-American Water Company, Inc. (Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission)
Cook Inlet Natural Gas Storage Alaska, LLC (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)
Citizens Telecommunications Company of The White Mountains, Inc. d/b/a Frontier Communications of The White Mountains (Arizona CC)
Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. (Montana PSC)

| Docket No. 4890 | Narragansett Bay Commission (Rhode Island PUC) |
| :---: | :---: |
| PUR-2018-00131 | Columbia Gas of Virginia (Virginia SCC) |
| EL18-152-000 | Louisiana PSC v. System Energy Resources, Inc. and Entergy Services, Inc. (FERC) |
| PUR-2018-00175 | Virginia-American Water Company (Virginia SCC) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A-2018-3006061, A-2018- } \\ & 3006062 \text { and A-2018- } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 3006063 | Aqua America, Inc., Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc., Aqua Pennsylvania Wastewater Inc., Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC, Peoples Gas Company LLC (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| Docket No. D2018.2.12 | NorthWestern Energy (Montana PSC) |
| Docket No. 42310 | Georgia Power Company - Integrated Resource Plan (Georgia PSC) |
| U-18-102 | Municipality of Anchorage $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{a}$ Municipal Light \& Power Department (Regulatory Commission of Alaska) |
| PUC Docket No. 49494 | AEP Texas, Inc. (Texas PUC) |
| Application 18-12-009 | Pacific Gas and Electric Company (California PUC) |
| 19-0316-G-42T | Mountaineer Gas Company (West Virginia PSC) |
| U-19-020 | Chugach Electric Association, Inc. and Municipality of Anchorage d/b/a Municipal Light \& Power Department (Regulatory Commission of Alaska) |
| 19-0051-EL-RDR | Management/Performance and Financial Audit of the Alternative Energy Recovery Rider of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Ohio PUC) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A-2018-3006061, } \\ & \text { A-2018-3006062, and } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| A-2018-3006063 | Joint Application of Aqua America, Inc., Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc. Aqua Pennsylvania Wastewater, Inc., Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC, and Peoples Gas Company LLC (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| ER-18-1182-001 | System Energy Resources, Inc. (FERC) |
| E-01933A-19-0028 | Tuscon Electric Power Company (Arizona CC) |
| G-01551A-19-0055 | Southwest Gas Corporation (Arizona CC) |
| 2018-UN-205 | Entergy Mississippi, LLC (Mississippi PSC) |
| W-03039A-17-0295, W-03039A-19-0092, and |  |
| WS-01303A-19-0092 | Brooke Water, LLC and EPCOR Water Arizona (Arizona CC) |
| Docket No. 4975 | Block Island Utility District d/b/a Block Island Power Company (Rhode Island PUC) |
| A-2019-3014248 | Pennsylvania-American Water Company and Wastewater System Assets of Kane Borough (Pennsylvania PUC) |
| Docket No. 4994 | Providence Water Supply Board (Rhode Island PUC) |
| 19-0791-GA-ALT | Plant in Service and Capital Spending Prudence Audit of Duke Energy Ohio (Ohio PUC) |
| U-19-070/U-19-071/ |  |
| U-19-087/U-19-088 | Golden Heart Utilities, Inc. and College Utilities Corporation (Regulatory Commission of Alaska) |
| Docket No. 42516 | Georgia Power Company (Georgia PSC) |
| 20200070-EI | Gulf Power Company (Florida PSC) |
| 20200071-EI | Florida Power \& Light Company (Florida PSC) |
| 20200092-EI | Florida Power \& Light Company and Gulf Power Company (Florida PSC) |
| 20-GREC-01 | Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company d/b/a Unitil (Massachusetts DPU) |
| 20-GREC-03 | Boston Gas Company and Colonial Gas Company d/b/a National Grid (Massachusetts DPU) |
| 20-GREC-04 | Liberty Utilities (New England Natural Gas Company) Corp d/b/a Liberty Utilities (Massachusetts DPU) |
| PUR-2020-00015 | Appalachian Power Company (Virginia SCC) |
| 20-0414-G-390P | Hope Gas, Inc. dba Dominion Energy West Virginia (West Virginia PSC) |
| Cause No. 45032-S16 | Hamilton Southeastern Utilities, Inc. (Indiana URC) |

R-2020-3019369 and
R-2020-3019371
2020.06.076

P-20-005
2020.05.055
2020.05.066

PUR-2020-00169
20-0746-G-42T
20-553-EL-RDR

E-01345A-19-0236
U-20-012

Docket No. 20-01-31

P-2020-3021191
A-2021-3026523, A-2021-3026515, and A-2021-3026522

A-2021-3026794 and A-2021-3026796

A-2021-3026774 and A-2021-3026775

A-2021-3024267
R-2021-3027385 and R-2021-3027386

21-0595-WW-AIR
21-0596-ST-AIR
21-0369-W-42T
U-21-058

E-01345A-21-0056

SW-20445A-20-0214 et al
PUR-2021-00058
U-21-070/U-21-071

U-22-001

Docket No. 44160
22-620-GA-RDR

PUR-2021-00255
22-0304-E-P

R-2022-3031672 and
R-2022-3031673

NorthWestern Energy (Montana PSC)
Aqua Pennsylvania Wastewater Inc. and Wastewater System Assets of the Delaware County Regional Water Quality Control Authority (Pennsylvania PUC)

Pennsylvania American Water Company (Pennsylvania PUC)<br>Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. (Montana PSC)<br>Cook Inlet Pipeline LLC (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)<br>Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. (Montana PSC)<br>NorthWestern Energy (Montana PSC)<br>Virginia Electric and Power Company (Virginia SCC)<br>Hope Gas, Inc., d/b/a Dominion Energy West Virginia (West Virginia PSC)<br>Management/Performance and Financial Audit of the Alternative Energy Rider<br>of the Dayton Power and Light Company (Ohio PUC)<br>Arizona Public Service Company (Arizona CC)<br>Cook Inlet Natural Gas Storage Alaska, LLC (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)<br>The Southern New England Telephone Company d/b/a Frontier<br>Communications of Connecticut (SNET) (Connecticut PURA)<br>Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC (Pennsylvania PUC)

Joint Application of Veolia Environnement S.A., Veolia North America, Inc., SUEZ S.A., SUEZ Water Pennsylvania Inc. and SUEZ Water Bethel Inc. (Pennsylvania PUC)

Joint Application of Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC and Peoples Gas Company (Pennsylvania PUC)

Joint Application of Aqua Pennsylvania Inc., and Aqua Pennsylvania Wastewater Inc. (Pennsylvania PUC)
Aqua Pennsylvania Wastewater Inc. (Pennsylvania PUC)
Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc, and Aqua Pennsylvania Wastewater, Inc. (Pennsylvania PUC)
Aqua Ohio, Inc. (Ohio PUC)
Aqua Ohio Wastewater, Inc. (Ohio PUC)
West Virginia-American Water Company (West Virginia PSC)
Cook Inlet Natural Gas Storage Alaska, LLC (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)
Fuel and Purchased Power Audit of Arizona Public Service Company (Arizona CC)

Global Water Resources, Inc. (Arizona CC)
Virginia Electric and Power Company (Virginia SCC)
Golden Heart Utilities, Inc. and College Utilities Corporation (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)
Railbelt Reliability Council for Certification of an Electric Reliability
Organization (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)
Georgia Power Company Integrated Resource Plan (Georgia PSC)
Plant in Service and Capital Spending Prudence Audit of Vectren Energy
Delivery of Ohio, Inc. d/b/a CenterPoint Energy Ohio (Ohio PUC)
Virginia-American Water Company (Virginia SCC)
Appalachian Power Company and Wheeling Power Company (West Virginia PSC)

Pennsylvania-American Water Company (Pennsylvania PUC)

## Narragansett Bay Commission <br> Docket No. 22-47-WW

Exhibit RCS-2
Division Revenue Requirement and Adjustment Schedules Accompanying the Direct Testimony of Ralph Smith

| Schedule <br> Number | Description | No. of <br> Pages | Confi- <br> dential | Page <br> No. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RCS-1 | Summary of Revenues and Expenses at Present and Proposed Rates | 5 | No | $2-6$ |  |  |  |  |
| RCS-2 | Summary of Division Adjustments | 2 | No | $7-8$ |  |  |  |  |
| RCS-3 | Operating Capital Correction | 1 | No | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| RCS-4 | Fiscal Year 2024 Debt Service | 1 | No | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| RCS-5 | Residential Consumption Fee Revenue | 1 | No | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| RCS-6 | Commercial Consumption Fee Revenue | 1 | No | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| RCS-7 | Industrial Consumption Fee Revenue | 1 | No | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| RCS-8 | PUC Assessment | 1 | No | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| RCS-9 | NBC "Trend Accounts" Expense Adjustment | 2 | No | $15-16$ |  |  |  |  |
| RCS-10 | Wages and Salaries Expense | 2 | No | $17-18$ |  |  |  |  |
| RCS-11 | Payroll Tax Expense | 1 | No | 19 |  |  |  |  |
| RCS-12 | Biosolids Disposal | 2 | No | $20-21$ |  |  |  |  |
| RCS-13 | Insurance Expense | 1 | No | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| RCS-14 | Workers Compensation Expense | 1 | No | 23 |  |  |  |  |
| RCS-15 | Operating Allowance | 1 | No | 24 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Narragansett Bay Commission
Docket No. 22-47-WW
Schedule RCS-1
Page 1 of 5
 $+$


| Line <br> No. | Account | Description |  | justed Rate <br> Amount Per <br> NBC |  | ivision ustments | Rate Year at <br> Present Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (A) |  | (B) |  | (C) |  |
| 1 |  | Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 41000 | Flat Fees Residential | \$ | 29,303,597 |  |  | \$ | 29,303,597 |
| 3 | 41100 | Consumption Fee - Residential | \$ | 30,570,181 | \$ | 385,084 | \$ | 30,955,265 |
| 4 | 4150141502 | Flat Fees Commercial/Industrial | \$ | 15,379,331 |  |  | \$ | 15,379,331 |
| 5 | 41510 | Consumption Fee - Commercial | \$ | 24,085,628 | \$ | 1,170,370 | \$ | 25,255,998 |
| 6 | 41511 | Consumption Fee - Industrial | \$ | 1,971,103 | \$ | 81,128 | \$ | 2,052,231 |
| 7 | 42001 | Pretreatment Application Fees | \$ | 61,280 |  |  | \$ | 61,280 |
| 8 | 42500 | Connection Permit Fees | \$ | 43,850 |  |  | \$ | 43,850 |
| 9 | 42595 | Capacity Charge Fees | \$ | 274,144 |  |  | \$ | 274,144 |
| 10 | 42599 | Real Estate Closing Fee | \$ | 165,390 |  |  | \$ | 165,390 |
| 11 | 42600 | Abatement Application Fee | \$ | 3,710 |  |  | \$ | 3,710 |
| 12 | 42596 | Sewer Tie-in Revenue | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | - |
| 13 | 42602 | Return Check Fee Revenue | \$ | 21,685 |  |  | \$ | 21,685 |
| 14 | TBD | Stormwater Permit Application Fee | \$ | 29,353 |  |  | \$ | 29,353 |
| 15 | 42604 | REC Income FP Turbines | \$ | 167,688 |  |  | \$ | 167,688 |
| 16 | 42605 | REC Income Coventry | \$ | 180,495 |  |  | \$ | 180,495 |
| 17 | 42606 | REC Income Green PPA | \$ | 315,236 |  |  | \$ | 315,236 |
| 18 | 42607 | REC Income Biogas Electric | \$ | 440 |  |  | \$ | 440 |
| 19 | 43500 | Septage Income | \$ | 355,825 |  |  | \$ | 355,825 |
| 20 | 45100 | Interest Income Revenue | \$ | 196,200 |  |  | \$ | 196,200 |
| 21 | 45101 | Interest Income EEF | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | - |
| 22 | 45156 | Interest Income Restricted | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | - |
| 23 | 45200 | Interest Income O \& M | \$ | 250,944 |  |  | \$ | 250,944 |
| 24 | 45302 | Interest Income Operating Capital | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | - |
| 25 | 45303 | Interest Income Grant / Capital Reimb | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | - |
| 26 | 45309 | Interest Income Revbond Series C | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | - |
| 27 | 45412 | Interest Income Debt Service Payment | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | - |
| 28 | 45413 | Interest Income Debt Service Redemption | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | - |
| 29 | 45414 | Interest Income Stabilization | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | - |
| 30 | 45417 | Interest Income 2008 Debt Service Payment | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | - |
| 31 | 45419 | Interest Income Operating Reserve for Revenue Stability | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | - |
| 32 | 45423 | Interest Income 2020 Series B | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | - |
| 33 | 45424 | Interest Income 2020 Series C | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | - |
| 34 | 45500 | Late Charge Revenue | \$ | 860,196 |  |  | \$ | 860,196 |
| 35 | 49000 | Discounts Earned | \$ | 3,564 |  |  | \$ | 3,564 |
| 36 | 49002 | Miscellaneous Income | \$ | 64,527 |  |  | \$ | 64,527 |
| 37 | 49003 | Rental Revenue | \$ | 12,000 |  |  | \$ | 12,000 |
| 38 | 49004 | Gain on Sale of Asset | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | - |
| 39 | 49005 | Contributed Capital | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | - |
| 40 |  | TOTAL REVENUE | \$ | 104,316,368 | \$ | 1,636,582 | \$ | 105,952,949 |
|  |  | SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  | User Charge Revenue | \$ | 101,309,840 | \$ | 1,636,582 | \$ | 102,946,422 |
| 42 |  | Miscellaneous Revenue | \$ | 3,006,527 | \$ | - | \$ | 3,006,527 |
| 43 |  | Total Revenue | \$ | 104,316,368 | \$ | 1,636,582 | \$ | 105,952,949 |

Notes and Source
Column A: Company Schedule 1 from NBC Filing
Column B: Schedule RCS-2
Docket No. 22-47-WW
Sche

Narragansett Bay Commission
Summary of Net Personnel Expenses at Present and Proposed Rates
Test Year Ended June 30, 2022 and Rate Year Ending June 30, 2024
Summary of Net Personnel Expenses at Present and Proposed Rates
Test Year Ended June 30, 2022 and Rate Year Ending June 30, 2024


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Line } \\ & \text { No. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Account | Description |  | usted Rate <br> Amount Per NBC |  | ivision <br> ustments |  | te Year at sent Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Supplies/Expense $\quad$ (A) (B) (C) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 52610 | Medical Svcs. | \$ | 10,318 |  |  | \$ | 10,318 |
| 2 | 53200 | Bad Debt Expense | \$ | 70,917 |  |  | \$ | 70,917 |
| 3 | 53210 | Postage | \$ | 452,744 |  |  | \$ | 452,744 |
| 4 | 53240 | Dues \& Subscriptions | \$ | 73,243 |  |  | \$ | 73,243 |
| 5 | 53250 | Freight | \$ | 36,232 |  |  | \$ | 36,232 |
| 6 | 53310 | Printing \& Binding | \$ | 158,116 |  |  | \$ | 158,116 |
| 7 | 53320 | Advertising | \$ | 3,020 |  |  | \$ | 3,020 |
| 8 | 53330 | Rental- Equipment | \$ | 34,323 |  |  | \$ | 34,323 |
| 9 | 53340 | Rental- Clothing | \$ | 36,123 |  |  | \$ | 36,123 |
| 10 | 53350 | Rental-Outside Property | \$ | 1,500 |  |  | \$ | 1,500 |
| 11 | 53360 | Miscellaneous Expense | \$ | 6,478 |  |  | \$ | 6,478 |
| 12 | 53370 | Public Outreach Ed. | \$ | 33,362 |  |  | \$ | 33,362 |
| 13 | 53380 | EEF | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | - |
| 14 | 53410 | Local Travel | \$ | 947 |  |  | \$ | 947 |
| 15 | 53420 | Long Distance Travel | \$ | 21,714 |  |  | \$ | 21,714 |
| 16 | 53470 | Bldg. \& Grnd. Maint. | \$ | 231,882 |  |  | \$ | 231,882 |
| 17 | 53480 | Biosolids Disposal | \$ | 6,243,887 | \$ | $(385,293)$ | \$ | 5,858,594 |
| 18 | 53490 | Screening \& Grit Disposal | \$ | 333,431 |  |  | \$ | 333,431 |
| 19 | 53510 | Vehicle Fuel \& Maintenance | \$ | 489,333 | \$ | $(166,232)$ | \$ | 323,101 |
| 20 | 53610 | Repairs Bldg, Struct, Equip. | \$ | 395,599 |  |  | \$ | 395,599 |
| 21 | 53615 | Repairs Process Equipment | \$ | 827,258 |  |  | \$ | 827,258 |
| 22 | 53620 | Repair-Highway \& Walks | \$ | 22,819 |  |  | \$ | 22,819 |
| 23 | 53630 | Maintenance Contracts | \$ | 792,740 |  |  | \$ | 792,740 |
| 24 | 53635 | Maint. Contracts - Office Equip. | \$ | 118,083 |  |  | \$ | 118,083 |
| 25 | 53640 | Service Agreements | \$ | 344,574 | \$ | 125,600 | \$ | 470,174 |
| 26 | 53645 | Software Subscriptions | \$ | 1,076,502 | \$ | $(324,414)$ | \$ | 752,088 |
| 27 | 53650 | Highway \& Landscape | \$ | 11,077 |  |  | \$ | 11,077 |
| 28 | 53660 | Insurance | \$ | 1,191,143 | \$ | $(46,379)$ | \$ | 1,144,764 |
| 29 | 53680 | Work. Comp. Insurance | \$ | 431,318 | \$ | $(8,913)$ | \$ | 422,405 |
| 30 | 53900 | Central Phone Svcs. | \$ | 616 |  |  | \$ | 616 |
| 31 | 54000 | Telephone | \$ | 326,136 |  |  | \$ | 326,136 |
| 32 | 54020 | Fuel Oil \#2-Diesel | \$ | 12,004 |  |  | \$ | 12,004 |
| 33 | 54060 | Fuel-Gas | \$ | 409,137 |  |  | \$ | 409,137 |
| 34 | 54090 | Electricity | \$ | 6,919,434 |  |  | \$ | 6,919,434 |
| 35 | 54091 | Net Metering Credit | \$ | $(2,168,169)$ |  |  | \$ | $(2,168,169)$ |
| 36 | 54092 | Field's Point Turbines | \$ | 268,490 |  |  | \$ | 268,490 |
| 37 | 54093 | Coventry Wind | \$ | 244,645 |  |  | \$ | 244,645 |
| 38 | 54095 | Green PPA | \$ | 2,840,045 |  |  | \$ | 2,840,045 |
| 39 | 54096 | Green PPA Net Metering Credits | \$ | $(3,786,726)$ |  |  | \$ | $(3,786,726)$ |
| 40 | 54097 | Biogas | \$ | 1,700 |  |  | \$ | 1,700 |
| 41 | 54110 | Water | \$ | 119,644 |  |  | \$ | 119,644 |
| 42 | 54200 | Clothing | \$ | 48,644 |  |  | \$ | 48,644 |
| 43 | 54332 | Chlorine/Hypochlorite | \$ | 575,912 |  |  | \$ | 575,912 |
| 44 | 54333 | Carbon Feed | \$ | 37,340 |  |  | \$ | 37,340 |
| 45 | 54335 | Polymer | \$ | 123,773 |  |  | \$ | 123,773 |
| 46 | 54336 | Sodium Hydroxide | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | - |
| 47 | 54337 | Sodium Bisulfite | \$ | 393,229 |  |  | \$ | 393,229 |
| 48 | 54338 | Soda Ash | \$ | 62,256 |  |  | \$ | 62,256 |
| 49 | 54339 | UV Disinfection | \$ | 173,163 |  |  | \$ | 173,163 |
| 50 | 54340 | Lab Supplies | \$ | 750,415 | \$ | $(292,398)$ | \$ | 458,017 |
| 51 | 54345 | Lab Chemicals \& Gases | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | - |
| 52 | 54370 | Supplies Building \& Maint. | \$ | 750,356 | \$ | $(118,584)$ | \$ | 631,772 |
| 53 | 54410 | Educational Supp. \& Exp. | \$ | 30,110 |  |  | \$ | 30,110 |
| 54 | 54420 | Computer Supplies | \$ | 93,451 |  |  | \$ | 93,451 |
| 55 | 54430 | Other Op. Supplies \& Exp. | \$ | 12,695 |  |  | \$ | 12,695 |
| 56 | 54440 | Safety Equipment | \$ | 82,005 |  |  | \$ | 82,005 |
| 57 | 54500 | Office Expense | \$ | 116,468 |  |  | \$ | 116,468 |
| 58 | 57800 | Bond And Note Fees | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | - |
| 59 |  | Total Operating Supplies/Expense | \$ | 21,885,456 | \$ | 1,216,613) | \$ | 20,668,843 |

Notes and Source
Column A: Company Schedule 1 from NBC Filing
Column B: Schedule RCS-2

Summary of Professional Services Expense, Debt Service, Operating Allowance and Harship Fund
Test Year Ended June 30, 2022 and Rate Year Ending June 30, 2024


Notes and Source
Column A: Company Schedule 1 from NBC Filing
Column B: Schedule RCS-2

(LZO'9LL) \$




[^3]
Total Revenue
Operation \& Maintenance Expense
Net Personnel Expense
Operating Supplies/Expense
Total: Operations \& Maintenance Expense
Depreciation Expense
Operating Capital
Debt Service
Debt Service
Debt Service Coverage
Operating Allowance
Hardship Fund
Total Revenue Requirement
0
0
0
0
0

## Narragansett Bay Commission

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Line } \\ \text { No. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Description | Wages and Salaries Expense |  | Payroll Tax Expense |  | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Biosolids } \\ \text { Disposal } \end{array} \\ & \hline \text { RCS-12 } \end{aligned}$ |  | Insurance Expense |  | Con | kers nsation ense |  | ating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Total Revenue | RCS-10 |  | RCS-11 |  |  |  | RCS-13 |  | RCS-14 |  | RCS-15 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Operation \& Maintenance Expense Net Personnel Expense | \$ | $(263,477)$ | \$ | $(23,221)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Operating Supplies/Expense |  |  |  |  | \$ | $(385,293)$ | \$ | $(46,379)$ | \$ | $(8,913)$ |  |  |
| 4 | Professional Services Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Total: Operations \& Maintenance Expense | \$ | $(263,477)$ | \$ | $(23,221)$ | \$ | $(385,293)$ | \$ | $(46,379)$ | \$ | $(8,913)$ | \$ | - |
| 6 | Depreciation Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Operating Capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Debt Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Debt Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Debt Service Coverage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Operating Allowance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 14,318 |
| 11 | Hardship Fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Total Revenue Requirement | \$ | $(263,477)$ | \$ | $(23,221)$ | \$ | $(385,293)$ | \$ | $(46,379)$ | \$ | $(8,913)$ | \$ | 14,318 |
| 13 | Net Income | \$ | 263,477 | \$ | 23,221 | \$ | 385,293 | \$ | 46,379 | \$ | 8,913 | \$ | $(14,318)$ |

Docket No. 22-47-WW
Schedule RCS-3
Page 1 of 1

|  | ® |  <br> 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |

## Narragansett Bay Commission

Operating Capital Correction
Test Year Ended June 30, 2022 and Rate Year Ending June 30, 2024 $\begin{array}{ccl}1 & 16515 & \text { Automotive Equipment Replacement } \\ 2 & 16520 & \text { Building and Plant Equipment } \\ 3 & 16525 & \text { Building and Plant Equipment Replacement } \\ 4 & 16550 & \text { Computer Equipment } \\ 5 & 16555 & \text { Computer Equipment Replacement } \\ 6 & 16570 & \text { Lab Equipment } \\ 7 & 16575 & \text { Lab and Sampling Equipment Replacement } \\ 8 & 16586 & \text { Office Furniture Equipment } \\ 9 & 16615 & \text { Building \& Other Structure Replacement } \\ 10 & & \text { Total Operating Capital }\end{array}$

[^4]Docket No. 22-47-WW
Schedule RCS-4
Page 1 of 1

| NBC Amount | Division Amount |  | Division <br> Adjustment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (A) |  | (B) |  | (C) |
| \$ 47,470,140 | \$ | 46,470,174 | S | $(999,966)$ |
| 1.25 |  | 1.25 |  |  |
| \$ 59,337,675 | \$ | 58,087,718 | \$ | (1,249,958) |
| \$ 11,867,535 | \$ | 11,617,544 | \$ | $(249,992)$ |


Col. A: Amount from Schedule 1, page 3 of 46 from NBC's filing
Col. B: Exhibit KG-1 from Docket No. D-23-04

## 



Narragansett Bay Commissior
Residential Consumption Fee Revenus
Test Year Ended June 30, 2022 and Rate Year Ending June 30, 2024
$\xlongequal{\begin{array}{l}\text { Line } \\ \text { No. }\end{array}} \xlongequal{\text { Account }}$ Description
$\begin{array}{r}\text { Calendar } \\ 2022 \\ \text { Actual }^{*} \\ \hline\end{array}$


| Percent |
| ---: |
| $0.2 \%$ |
| $0.6 \%$ |
| $0.1 \%$ | | $\begin{array}{c}\text { FY } 2022 \\ \text { Actual }\end{array}$ |
| :---: |
|  |
| $8,080,205$ |
| $-0.4 \%$ |
| From FY2021 |



| HCF |
| :---: |
| $8,080,205$ |
| $8,095,144$ |
| $8,130,086$ |
| $8,084,844$ |


| FY 2021 |
| :---: |
| Actual |




| Iempl |
| :---: |
| $z 02 \mathrm{AJ}$ |




| NBC Amount | Division Amount |  | Division <br> Adjustment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (A) |  | B) |  | (C) |
| \$ (1,076,994) | \$ | 93,376 |  | 1,170,370 |
|  |  | -8.7\% |  | 108.7\% |



| Month | Hcf |
| :---: | :---: |
| Jan-22 | 320,533 |
| Feb-22 | 333,151 |
| Mar-22 | 379,267 |
| Apr-22 | 324,475 |
| May-22 | 317,517 |
| Jun-22 | 261,268 |
| Jul-22 | 403,094 |
| Aug-22 | 395,297 |
| Sep-22 | 435,136 |
| Oct-22 | 383,212 |
| Nov-22 | 416,142 |
| Dec-22 | 420,202 |
| Total 2022 | 4,389,294 |

Narragansett Bay Commission
Industrial Consumption Fee Revenue
Test Year Ended June 30, 2022 and Rate Year Ending June 30, 2024
$\xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c}\text { Line } \\ \text { No. }\end{array}} \xrightarrow{\text { Account }}$ Description


Notes and Source
Col. A: Amount from Company Schedule 6-Rate Year Revenue by Source
Col. B: Division recommended adjustment amount calculated below:
Description
Industrial Consumption Fee Revenue Adjustment Per NBC
Division Adjustment Percentage Division Adjustment
Division Adjustment

|  |  | FY 2018 <br> Actual | FY 2019 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 2020 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 2021 \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 2022 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | Calendar <br> 2022 <br> Actual* | FY 2022 Adjusted Test Year | FY 2023 <br> Estimated | Rate Year <br> FY 2024 <br> Estimated |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Docket } \\ 4890 \end{gathered}$ | Docket 4890 vs. Adjusted Rate Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Customer Usage (Hef) Per Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Industrial | 655,659 | 662,824 | 540,383 | 524,473 | 568,219 |  | 568,219 | 545,057 | 522,839 |  | 673,807 | $(150,968)$ |
| 7 | Change |  | 1.1\% | -18.5\% | -2.9\% | 5.2\% |  | 0.0\% | -4.1\% | -4.1\% |  |  | -22.4\% |
|  |  |  | From FY2018 | From FY2019 | From FY2020 | From FY2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Change fro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(45,380)$ |  |  |  |
|  | Customer | Per Division |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Industrial | 655,659 | 662,824 | 540,383 | 524,473 | 568,219 | 536,617 | 568,219 |  | 544,358 | [A] | 673,807 | $(129,449)$ |
| 10 | Change |  | 1.1\% | -18.5\% | -2.9\% | 8.3\% | 2.3\% |  |  |  |  |  | -19.2\% |
|  |  | Change from TY to RY in Hcf From FY2018 |  | From FY2019 | From FY2020 | From FY2021 | From FY2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Change from TY to RY in Hcf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(23,861)$ |  |  |  |
| 12 | Proportion of Divison Change to Company ChReciprocal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52.6\% |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47.4\% |  |  |  |
| 14 | Division Rate Year Usage (Hcf) versus NBC Rate Year Usage (Hcf) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21,519 Line 9-Line 1 |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 3.770 |  |  |  |
| 16 | Consumption Charges at Current Rate Adjustment to Consumption Fee Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 81,128 |  |  |  |
|  | [A] Average of FY 2020, FY 2021 and FY 2022 |  |  | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | Average | Average |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2019-2022 | 2020-2022 |  |  |  |  |
|  | * 2022 Calendar Year Industrial Consumption Per DIV 2-3 |  |  | 590,231 | 503,848 | 580,116 | 536,617 | 552,703 | 540,194 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Change in HCFPercentage Change |  |  |  | $-86,383$ | 76,268 | -43,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | -14.6\% | 15.1\% | -7.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |

##  <br> 

Narragansett Bay Commission
Docket No. 22-47-WW
Schedule RCS-8
Page 1 of 1

| Line No. | Account | Description | NBC Amount |  | Division Amount |  | Division Adjustment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (A) |  | (B) |  | (C) |
| 1 | 52600 | PUC Assessment | \$ | 627,742 | \$ | 600,225 | \$ | $(27,517)$ |
| Notes and Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Company proposed amount from Schedule DMF-23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | Total regulatory expense | \$ | 677,742 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  | Less rate case expense annual amount | \$ | 50,000 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | PUC Assessment for FY2024 | \$ | 627,742 |  |  |  |  |
| Division Proposed Amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  | PUC Assessment for FY 2023 |  |  | \$ | 564,646 |  |  |
| 6 |  | PUC Assessment for FY 2022 |  |  | \$ | 529,067 |  |  |
| 7 |  | Increase |  |  | \$ | 35,579 |  |  |
| 8 |  | Estimated PUC Assessment for FY 2024 |  |  | \$ | 600,225 |  |  |

Narragansett Bay Commission
NBC "Trend Accounts" Expens
Docket No. 22-47-WW
Schedule RCS
Page 1 of 2


Narragansett Bay Commission
NBC "Trend Accounts" Expense Adjustment

Docket No. 22-47-WW
Schedule RCS-10
Page 1 of 2


Narragansett Bay Commission
Wages and Salaries Expense
Test Year Ended June 30, 2022 and Rate Year Ending June 30, 2024
Line No. Description

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lccc} 
& \begin{array}{c}
\text { Union } \\
\text { Salary }
\end{array} \\
\text { Account } 52100
\end{array}\right)
$$

s 6731,116
 Docket No. 22-47-WW
Schedule RCS-10
Page 2 of 2

| Line No. | Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Union } \\ \text { Salary } \\ \text { Account } 52100 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-Union } \\ & \text { Regular } \\ & \text { Account } 52300 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Union } \\ \text { Overtime } \\ \text { Account } 52150 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Non-Union <br> Overtime Account 52350 |  | Non-UnionLimitedAccount52400 |  | Total |  | Salary <br> Reimbursement Account 59000 |  | Fringe <br> Reimbursement <br> Account 59001 |  | Net <br> Adjustment <br> (I) | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | (B) |  | (C) |  | (D) |  | (E) |  | (F) |  | (G) |  | H) |  |  |
|  | Per NBC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Adjusted Test Year FY 2022 | \$ | 6,356,106 | \$ | 11,711,353 | \$ | 674,868 | \$ | 246,531 | \$ | 30,073 | \$ | 19,018,931 | \$ | (1,705,911) | \$ | (925,478) |  |  |
| 2 | 7/1/2022 Contracted Steps Percentage |  | 1.645\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 1.645\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  |  |
| 3 | 7/1/2022 Contracted Step Increase | \$ | 104,558 | \$ | - | \$ | 11,102 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 115,660 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | L1 x L2 |
| 4 | Base Salary | \$ | 6,460,664 | \$ | 11,711,353 | \$ | 685,970 | \$ | 246,531 | \$ | 30,073 | \$ | 19,134,591 | \$ | (1,705,911) | \$ | $(925,478)$ |  | L1 + L3 |
| 5 | 7/1/2022 COLA/Merit Percent |  | 2.5\% |  | 2.5\% |  | 2.5\% |  | 2.5\% |  | 2.5\% |  |  |  | 2.5\% |  | 2.5\% |  |  |
| 6 | 7/1/2022 COLA/Merit \$ | \$ | 161,517 | \$ | 292,784 | \$ | 17,149 | \$ | 6,163 | \$ | 752 | \$ | 478,365 | \$ | $(42,648)$ | \$ | $(23,137)$ |  | L4 x L5 |
| 7 | 7/1/2022 Total Salaries | \$ | 6,622,181 | \$ | 12,004,137 | \$ | 703,119 | \$ | 252,694 | \$ | 30,825 | \$ | 19,612,956 | \$ | $(1,748,559)$ | \$ | (948,615) |  | L4 + L6 |
| 8 | 7/1/2023 Contracted Steps Percentage |  | 1.645\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 1.645\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  |  |
| 9 | 7/1/2023 Contracted Step Increase | \$ | 108,935 | \$ | - | \$ | 11,566 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 120,501 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | L7 x L8 |
| 10 | Base Salary | \$ | 6,731,116 | \$ | 12,004,137 | \$ | 714,685 | \$ | 252,694 | \$ | 30,825 | \$ | 19,733,457 | \$ | (1,748,559) | \$ | (948,615) |  | L7 + L9 |
| 11 | 7/1/2023 COLA/Merit Percent |  | 2.5\% |  | 50.0\% |  | 2.5\% |  | 5.0\% |  | 5.5\% |  |  |  | 57.0\% |  | 5.0\% |  |  |
| 12 | 7/1/2023 COLA/Merit Increase | \$ | 168,278 | \$ | 600,207 | \$ | 17,867 | \$ | 12,635 | \$ | 1,541 | \$ | 800,528 | \$ | $(87,428)$ | \$ | $(47,431)$ |  | L10 x L11 |
| 13 | Rate Year Payroll Expense Per NBC | \$ | 6,899,394 | \$ | 12,604,344 | \$ | 732,552 | \$ | 265,329 | \$ | 32,366 | \$ | 20,533,985 | \$ | $(1,835,987)$ | \$ | $(996,046)$ |  | L10 + L12 |
|  | Using a $2.5 \%$ Non-Union Merit Increase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Base Salary | \$ | 6,731,116 | \$ | 12,004,137 | \$ | 714,685 | \$ | 252,694 | \$ | 30,825 | \$ | 19,733,457 | \$ | $(1,748,559)$ | \$ | (948,615) |  | Line 10 |
| 15 | 7/1/2023 COLA/Merit Percent |  | 2.50\% |  | 2.50\% |  | 2.50\% |  | 2.50\% |  | 2.50\% |  |  |  | 2.50\% |  | 2.50\% |  |  |
| 16 | 7/1/2023 COLA/Merit Increase | \$ | 168,278 | \$ | 300,103 | \$ | 17,867 | \$ | 6,317 | \$ | 771 |  | 493,336 | \$ | $(43,714)$ | \$ | (23,715) |  | L14 x L15 |
| 17 | Rate Year Payroll Expense per Division | \$ | 6,899,394 | \$ | 12,304,240 | \$ | 732,552 | \$ | 259,011 | \$ | 31,596 | \$ | 20,226,793 | \$ | $(1,792,273)$ | \$ | $(972,330)$ |  | L14 + L16 |
| 18 | Rate Year Payroll Expense per NBC | \$ | 6,899,394 | \$ | 12,604,344 | \$ | 732,552 | \$ | 265,329 | \$ | 32,366 | \$ | 20,533,985 | \$ | $(1,835,987)$ | \$ | $(996,046)$ |  | Line 13 |
| 18a | Add Back Difference Between Schedule 1 and Schedule DMF-16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 23,715 |  |  |
| 18b | Subtotal Net Adjustment Account 59001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | $(972,331)$ |  |  |
| 19 | Division Adjustment to Payroll Expense |  | (0) |  | (300,104) |  | (0) |  | (6,318) |  | (770) | \$ | (307,192) |  | 43,714 |  | 1 | (263,477) | L17-L18 |
| Notes and Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Line 15: | See the testimony of Division witness Ralph Smith |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  shows the amount of $(\$ 972,331)$, or a difference of $\$ 23,715$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ASSUMPTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Union Steps 7/1/2022 |  | 1.645\% |  | verage $2.35 \%$ with | 70\% | Eligible) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Union Steps 7/1/2023 |  | 1.645\% |  | verage $2.35 \%$ with | 70\% | Eligible) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Union COLA 7/1/2022 |  | 2.50\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Union COLA 7/1/2023 |  | 2.50\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Non-Union Merits 7/1/2022 |  | 2.50\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Non-Union Merits 7/1/2023 |  | 5.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Non-Union Merits 7/1/2023 - Adjusted |  | 2.50\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\underset{\substack{\text { (Average } 2.35 \% \text { with } 70 \% \text { Elifible) } \\ \text { (Average } 2.35 \% \text { wih } 70 \% \text { Eligile) }}}{\text {. }}$

Narragansett Bay Commission
Payroll Tax Expense
Docket No. 22-47-WW
Schedule RCS-11
Page 1 of 1

| Line No. | Description |  | Social Security Amount |  | Medicare Amount | Total Payroll Tax Expense |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (A) |  | (B) | (C) |  |
|  | Per NBC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Rate Year Salaries | \$ | 20,533,985 |  | 20,533,985 |  | Sch. RCS-10 |
| 2 | Wages Over FICA Limit* | \$ | $(189,338)$ | \$ | - |  | Col. A, Line 16 |
| 3 | Wages Subject to FICA | \$ | 20,344,647 |  | 20,533,985 |  |  |
| 4 | Payroll Tax Rates |  | 6.20\% |  | 1.45\% |  |  |
| 5 | Adjustment to Payroll Tax Expense Per Company | \$ | 1,261,368 | \$ | 297,743 | \$ 1,559,111 | L3 x L4 |
|  | Per Division |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Rate Year Salaries | \$ | 20,226,793 |  | 20,226,793 |  | Sch. RCS-10 |
| 7 | Wages Over FICA Limit* | \$ | $(184,830)$ | \$ | - |  | Col. B, Line 16 |
| 8 | Wages Subject to FICA | \$ | 20,041,963 |  | 20,226,793 |  |  |
| 9 | Payroll Tax Rates |  | 6.20\% |  | 1.45\% |  |  |
| 10 | Adjustment to Payroll Tax Expense Per Division | \$ | 1,242,602 | \$ | 293,288 | \$ 1,535,890 | L8x L9 |
| 11 | Division Adjustment to Payroll Tax Expense | \$ | $(18,766)$ | \$ | $\underline{(4,455)}$ | \$ (23,221) | L10-L5 |
| Notes and Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Amounts for wages over the FICA limit calculated below: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Description | Per NBC |  | Per Division |  |  |  |
| 12 | FY 2022 Over FICA | \$ | 175,924 | \$ 175,924 |  |  |  |
| 13 | 2022 Wage Increase Percentage |  | 1.025 |  | 1.025 |  |  |
| 14 | 7/1/2022 Merit Adjustment | \$ | 180,322 | \$ | 180,322 |  |  |
| 15 | 2023 Wage Increase Percentage |  | 1.05 |  | 1.025 |  |  |
| 16 | 7/1/2023 Merit Adjustment | \$ | 189,338 |  | 184,830 |  |  |

Docket No. 22-47-WW
Schedule RCS-12
Page 1 of 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{cc}
\begin{array}{c}
\text { Rate Year } \\
\text { Amount }
\end{array} & \\
\cline { 1 - 1 }(\mathrm{C}) & \text { Reference } \\
\$ 6,243,887 & \mathrm{~A} \\
\hline \$ 5,858,594 & \mathrm{~B} \\
\hline \$(385,293) & \text { Col. C, L2 - L1 }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { A: Amounts from Schedule DMF-24 from NBC's filing } \\
\text { B: See page } 2 \text { for the calculation of the recommended rate in column B }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Narragansett Bay Commission
Biosolids Disposal
Test Year Ended June 30, 2022 and Rate Year Ending June 30, 2024


[^5]Docket No. 22-47-WW
Schedule RCS-13
Page 1 of 1

> Narragansett Bay Commission
> Insurance Expense
> Test Year Ended June 30, 2022 and Rate Year Ending June 30, 2024

$\frac{\text { Notes and Source }}{\text { A: Per Company a }}$

Docket No. 22-47-WW
Schedule RCS-14
Page 1 of 1
Narragansett Bay Commission
Workers Compensation Expense
Test Year Ended June 30, 2022 and Rate Year Ending June 30, 2024
Line
No. Description
$1 \quad$ Workers Compensation Expense
Notes and Source
Cols. A-B: Amounts from Schedule DMF-27 from NBC's filing
Docket No. 22-47-WW
Schedule RCS-15
Page 1 of 1


[^6]> Narragansett Bay Commission
Test Year Ended June 30, 2022 and Rate Year Ending June 30, 2024
No.

## Narragansett Bay Commission

Docket No. 22-47-WW
Exhibit RCS-3
Division Rate Design and Proof of Revenue Schedules Accompanying the Direct Testimony of Ralph Smith

## CONTENTS

| Schedule <br> Number | Description | No. of <br> Pages | Confi- <br> dential | Page <br> No. |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Presentation of Revenue Deficiency |  |  |  |
| A | Pre | 1 | No | 2 |
| B | Rate Year Revenue Proof with Rate Increase | 1 | No | 3 |
| C | Annual Bill Impacts | 1 | No | 4 |
|  | Total Pages (including Contents page) | 4 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Narragansett Bay Commission
Docket No. 22-47-WW

| ne No. | Description | Projected Rate Year per |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Projected Rate Year per NBC |  | Division |  | Difference |  |
|  |  | (A) |  | (B) |  | (C) |  |
| 1 | Projected Rate Year Revenue Requirement | \$ | 115,574,327 | \$ | 109,058,401 | \$ | $(6,515,926)$ |
| 2 | Less: Projected Rate Year Miscellaneous Revenues |  | $(3,006,527)$ |  | $(3,006,527)$ |  | - |
| 3 | Net Revenue Requirement to be Recovered through User Charges |  | 112,567,800 |  | 106,051,873 |  | $(6,515,926)$ |
| 4 | Rate Year User Charge Revenue without Increase |  | 101,309,840 |  | 102,946,422 |  | 1,636,582 |
| 5 | Revenue Increase | \$ | 11,257,959 | \$ | 3,105,451 | \$ | $(8,152,508)$ |
| 6 | Calculation of Across the Board Increase Percentage |  | 11.11\% |  | 3.02\% |  | -8.10\% |


| Narragansett Bay Commission |  | Rate Year Revenue Proof with Rate Increase |  |  |  |  |  | Docket No. 22-47-WW |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summary of Revenues and Expenses at Present and Proposed Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Line No. | Revenue Item Description | Current Rate | Increase | Rate Year Rates | Per NBC <br> Rate Year Units | Division Adjustment | Division Adjusted |  | ar Revenues |
|  |  | (A) | (B) | $(\mathrm{C})=(\mathrm{A}) \times(1+\mathrm{B})$ | (D) | (E) | (F) |  | (C) $\times$ (F) |
| User Fee Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Customer Charges (per dwelling unit) | \$ 238.74 | 3.02\% | \$ 245.94 | 121,952 |  | 121,952 | \$ | 29,993,090 |
| 2 | Residential Wells | 542.46 | 3.02\% | \$ 558.82 | 348 |  | 348 | \$ | 194,471 |
| 3 | Consumption Charges (per HCF) | 3.831 | 3.02\% | \$ 3.947 | 7,979,687 | 100,518 | 8,080,205 | \$ | 31,889,053 |
| 4 | Total Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 62,076,613 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer Charges (per Meter Size) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 5/8" | 566.00 | 3.02\% | \$ 583.07 | 3,498 |  | 3,498 | \$ | 2,039,883 |
| 6 | 3/4" | 849.00 | 3.02\% | \$ 874.61 | 1,018 |  | 1,018 | \$ | 890,354 |
| 7 | $1{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 1,415.00 | 3.02\% | \$ 1,457.68 | 1,283 |  | 1,283 | \$ | 1,870,209 |
| 8 | $11 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ | 2,831.00 | 3.02\% | \$ 2,916.40 | 839 |  | 839 | \$ | 2,446,859 |
| 9 | $2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 4,529.00 | 3.02\% | \$ 4,665.62 | 1,223 |  | 1,223 | \$ | 5,706,054 |
| 10 | $3 "$ | 8,492.00 | 3.02\% | \$ 8,748.17 | 87 |  | 87 | \$ | 761,091 |
| 11 | $4 "$ | 14,154.00 | 3.02\% | \$ 14,580.97 | 35 |  | 35 | \$ | 510,334 |
| 12 | $6{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 28,308.00 | 3.02\% | \$ 29,161.93 | 34 |  | 34 | \$ | 991,506 |
| 13 | 8" | 45,292.00 | 3.02\% | \$ 46,658.27 | 12 |  | 12 | \$ | 559,899 |
| 14 | $10^{\prime \prime}$ | 65,108.00 | 3.02\% | \$ 67,072.03 | 1 |  | 1 | \$ | 67,072 |
| 15 | Subtotal Customer Charges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15,843,260 |
| 16 | Commercial Consumption Charges (per HCF) | 5.754 | 3.02\% | \$ 5.928 | 4,185,893 | 203,401 | 4,389,294 | \$ | 26,017,863 |
| 17 | Industrial Consumption Charges (per HCF) | 3.770 | 3.02\% | \$ 3.884 | 522,839 | 21,519 | 544,358 | \$ | 2,114,138 |
| 18 | Total Non-Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43,975,260 |
| 19 | Total User Fee Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 6 , 0 5 1 , 8 7 3}$ |
|  |  | Non-User Charge Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  | Pretreatment Application Fees |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 61,280 |
| 21 |  | Connection Permit Fees |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43,850 |
| 22 |  | Capacity Charge Fees |  |  |  |  |  |  | 274,144 |
| 23 |  | Real Estate Closing Fee |  |  |  |  |  |  | 165,390 |
| 24 |  | Abatement Application Fee |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,710 |
| 25 |  | Sewer Tie-in Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 26 |  | Return Check Fee Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21,685 |
| 27 |  | Stormwater Permit Application Fee |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29,353 |
| 28 |  | REC Income FP Turbines |  |  |  |  |  |  | 167,688 |
| 29 |  | REC Income WED Wind |  |  |  |  |  |  | 180,495 |
| 30 |  | REC Income WED Solar |  |  |  |  |  |  | 315,236 |
| 31 |  | REC Income Biogas Electric |  |  |  |  |  |  | 440 |
| 32 |  | Septage Income |  |  |  |  |  |  | 355,825 |
| 33 |  | Interest Income Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  | 196,200 |
| 34 |  | Interest Income EEF |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 35 |  | Interest Income Restricted |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 36 |  | Interest Income O \& M |  |  |  |  |  |  | 250,944 |
| 37 |  | Interest Income Operating Capital |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 38 |  | Interest Income Grant / Capital Reimb |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 39 |  | Interest Income Revbond Series C |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 40 |  | Interest Income Debt Service Payment |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 41 |  | Interest Income Debt Service Redemption |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 42 |  | Interest Income Stabilization |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 43 |  | Interest Income 2008 Debt Service Payment |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 44 |  | Interest Income Operating Reserve for Revenue Stability |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 45 |  | Interest Income 2020 Series B |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 46 |  | Interest Income 2020 Series C |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 47 |  | Late Charge Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  | 860,196 |
| 48 |  | Discounts Earned |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,564 |
| 49 |  | Miscellaneous Income |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64,527 |
| 50 |  | Rental Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12,000 |
| 51 |  | Gain on Sale of Asset |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 52 |  | Contributed Capital |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 53 |  | Total Non-User Fee Revenues |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 3,006,527 |
| 54 |  | Total Revenues |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 109,058,401 |
| 55 |  | Total Revenue Requirement |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 109,058,401 |
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Notes and Source:
Schedule 37 from NBC filing


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ NBC's Fiscal Year 2022 and 2023 Budgets were provided in response to Division 1-11. NBC's response to Division 1-11 states that NBC has not prepared its budgets for fiscal years 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027 and 2028.

    Direct Testimony of Ralph C. Smith
    Page 13

[^1]:    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{HCF}$ indicates hundred cubic feet.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ As described below, NBC's adjusted Rate Year amount for this account on NBC Schedule 1, page 2, shows $\$ 344,574$ for the Rate Year in the "Rate Year (Step 1) 6/30/24" column, which was apparently the result of NBC erroneously failing to reflect its calculated adjustment of $\$ 168,693$ in the "Rate Year Adjustments" column on that Schedule.

[^3]:    Narragansett Bay Commission
    Summary of Division Adjustments
    Test Year Ended June 30, 2022 and Rate Year Ending June 30, 2024

[^4]:    Col. A: Amount from Schedule 1, page 3 of 46 from NBC's filing
    Col. B: Company's response to Comm. 1-1

[^5]:    The CPI adjustment percentages shown on lines 5-6 and the Division recommended rate on line 9 are discussed in the testimony of Division witness Ralph Smith

[^6]:    Col. A: Amounts from the Commission's Report and Order from Docket No. 4890, Rate Year ASJ-12 Joint Settlement Operating Reserve and AJS-1A Rate Yea Cols. B-C: Amount from Schedule DMF-26 from NBC's filing Col. D: see Schedule RCS-1, pages 4-5

