### **BEFORE THE**

# PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

### OF RHODE ISLAND

WOONSOCKET	)	DOCKET NO. 4879
WATER DIVISION	)	DUCKET NO. 4879

### **DIRECT TESTIMONY**

**OF** 

LAFAYETTE K. MORGAN, JR.

# ON BEHALF OF THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES AND CARRIERS

**January 25, 2019** 



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	P	<u>age</u>
I.	INTRODUCTION	
II.	SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	4
III.	OTHER OPERATING REVENUES	7
IV.	PENSION EXPENSE	8
V.	ELECTRIC POWER EXPENSE	8
VI.	PROPERTY TAXES	9
VII.	HEALTH AND DENTAL INSURANCE	. 10
VIII.	CITY SERVICE CHARGES	. 11
IX.	RATE CASE EXPENSES	. 11
X.	DBO CONTRACT	. 13
XI.	RATE YEAR INFLATION ESCALATION	. 16
XII.	STEP INCREASES	. 17

# BEFORE THE

# PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

# OF RHODE ISLAND

Page 1

		WOONSOCKET WATER ) DOCKET NO. 4879 DIVISION )
		Direct Testimony of Lafayette K. Morgan, Jr.
1		I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
2	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS
3		ADDRESS?
4	A.	My name is Lafayette K. Morgan, Jr. My business address is 10480 Little Patuxent
5		Parkway, Columbia, Maryland, 21044. I am a Public Utilities Consultant working
6		with Exeter Associates, Inc. ("Exeter"). Exeter is a firm of consulting economists
7		specializing in issues pertaining to public utilities.
8	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
9		QUALIFICATIONS.
10	A.	I received a Master of Business Administration degree from The George Washington
11		University. The major area of concentration for this degree was Finance. I received a
12		Bachelor of Business Administration degree with concentration in Accounting from
13		North Carolina Central University. I was previously a CPA licensed in the state of
14		North Carolina but have elected to place my license in an inactive status as I pursued
15		other business interests.
16	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PROFESSIONAL
17		EXPERIENCE?
18	A.	From May 1984 until June 1990, I was employed by the North Carolina Utilities
19		Commission ("NCUC") – Public Staff in Raleigh, North Carolina. I was responsible

Direct Testimony of Lafayette K. Morgan, Jr.

for analyzing testimony, exhibits, and other data presented by parties before the
NCUC. I had the additional responsibility of performing the examinations of books
and records of utilities involved in rate proceedings and summarizing the results into
testimony and exhibits for presentation before that commission. I was also involved
in numerous special projects, including participating in compliance and prudence
audits of a major utility and conducting research on several issues affecting natural
gas and electric utilities.

From June 1990 until July 1993, I was employed by Potomac Electric Power Company ("Pepco") in Washington, D.C. At Pepco, I was involved in the preparation of the cost of service, rate base, and ratemaking adjustments supporting the company's requests for revenue increases in the State of Maryland and the District of Columbia. I also conducted research on several issues affecting the electric utility industry for presentation to management.

From July 1993 through 2010, I was employed by Exeter as a Senior Regulatory Analyst. During that period, I was involved in the analysis of the operations of public utilities, with particular emphasis on utility rate regulation. I reviewed and analyzed utility rate filings, focusing primarily on revenue requirements determination. This work involved natural gas, water, electric, and telephone companies.

In 2010, I left Exeter to focus on start-up activities of other business interests. In late 2014, I returned to Exeter to continue to work in a similar capacity to my work prior to my leave of absence.

Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED IN REGULATORY PROCEEDINGS ON UTILITY RATES?

1	A.	Yes. I have previously presented testimony and affidavits on numerous occasions
2		before the North Carolina Utilities Commission, the Pennsylvania Public Utility
3		Commission, the Virginia Corporation Commission, the Louisiana Public Service
4		Commission, the Georgia Public Service Commission, the Maine Public Utilities
5		Commission, the Kentucky Public Service Commission, the Public Utilities
6		Commission of Rhode Island, the Vermont Public Service Board, the Illinois
7		Commerce Commission, the West Virginia Public Service Commission, the Indiana
8		Utility Regulatory Commission, the Maryland Public Service Commission, the
9		Corporation Commission of Oklahoma, the Kansas Corporation Commission and the
10		Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC").
11	Q.	ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU APPEARING?
12	A.	I am presenting testimony on behalf of the Rhode Island Division of Public Utilities
13		and Carriers (the "Division").
14	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
15		PROCEEDING?
16	A.	Exeter has been retained by the Division to assist in the evaluation of the request to
17		change rates and implement a multi-year rate plan that was submitted by Woonsocket
18		Water Division ("WWD," or "Woonsocket"). In this testimony, I present my
19		findings on behalf of the Division regarding the rate year revenue increase and the
20		multi-year rate plan that WWD has requested. My colleague, and Principal at Exeter,
21		Mr. Jerome D. Mierzwa, will present the Division's recommendations regarding rate
22		design and class cost of service issues.
23	Q.	IN CONNECTION WITH THIS CASE, HAVE YOU PERFORMED AN
24		EXAMINATION AND REVIEW OF THE COMPANY'S TESTIMONY
25		AND EXHIBITS?

1	A.	Yes. I have reviewed WWD's testimony, exhibits, its rate filing, and its responses to
2		the Division's and PUC's data requests.
3	Q.	HAVE YOU PREPARED SCHEDULES TO ACCOMPANY YOUR
4		TESTIMONY?
5		Yes. I have prepared Schedules LKM-1 through LKM-4-4, which present my
6		findings and recommendations. Schedule LKM-1 provides a summary of revenues
7		and expenses under present rates after reflecting the Division's adjustments. This
8		schedule also presents the Division's recommended change in revenues for the rate
9		year. Schedule LKM-2, presents a more detailed breakdown of WWD's revenues by
10		account numbers and show my adjustments to specific revenue accounts. Schedule
11		LKM-3 presents the operating expenses (or the cost of providing service). This
12		schedule also shows the specific expense accounts that I have adjusted as a result of
13		my review. Schedule LKM-4 presents my recommendations for the change in
14		revenues for each of the years that are a part of the multi-year rate plan. The
15		remaining schedules attached to this testimony provide supporting calculations for the
16		adjustments that I am recommending.
17		II. SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS
18	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE RATE RELIEF REQUESTED BY WWD IN
19		ITS FILING.

As discussed in the direct testimony of WWD witness David G. Bebyn, WWD is

ending December 31, 2019 and was developed from adjusting the test year ended

December 31, 2017 to reflect certain known and measurable changes.

seeking an increase in rate year revenues of \$799,205. This amount would result in a

9.85 percent increase in operating revenues. This increase is based upon the rate year

20

21

22

23

24

A.

Woonsocket has also requested the authorization of a 5-year multi-year rate plan, which would include four additional "step" increases that would go into effect in each of the next four years following the rate year (2020 through 2023)<sup>1</sup>. In 2020 (Year 2), WWD proposes an increase of \$445,221, or a 4.99 percent increase. In 2021, WWD proposes an increase of \$633,928, or a 6.77 percent increase. In 2022, WWD proposes an increase of \$1,363,132, or 13.64 percent. Finally, in 2023, WWD proposes an increase of \$1,341,568, or 11.81 percent. In aggregate, WWD is proposing that it be granted an increase of \$4,583,054, or 56.46 percent, over the next five years. The table below summarizes the increases sought.

	Rate Year	WWD Rate Increase \$ Amount	Rate Increase % Amount
Initial Rate Increase	2019	\$799,205	9.85 %
1 <sup>st</sup> Step Increase	2020	445,221	4.99 %
2 <sup>nd</sup> Step Increase	2021	633,928	6.77 %
3 <sup>rd</sup> Step Increase	2022	1,363,132	13.64 %
4 <sup>th</sup> Step Increase	2023	<u>1,341,568</u>	11.81 %
Total Rate Increases		\$4,583,054	
Annualized Current Revenues		\$8,117,079	
Total Percentage Increase over Multi-year	Rate Plan		56.46 %

### Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.

A. As shown on Schedule LKM-1, I have determined WWD's overall revenue requirement for the rate year to be \$8,641,776. This results in a rate year increase of \$515,635. This amount is \$283,570 less than the amount WWD requested.

Regarding the multi-year rate plan, I recommend that the Commission not authorize the 5-year rate increase plan as proposed by WWD. As I discuss later, the Commission should limit the multi-year plan to a 3-year plan, and in years 4 and 5, I

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The initial rate year increase will be year 1 of the 5-year multi-year rate plan.

recommend the Commission only authorize recovery of the debt service, the DBO contract escalation and the increase in the operating reserve fund. The chart below is a summary of the Division's recommendation for WWD's change in revenues.

	Rate Year	WWD Rate Increase \$ Amount	Rate Increase % Amount
Initial Rate Increase	2019	\$515,635	6.35 %
1 <sup>st</sup> Step Increase	2020	430,822	4.99 %
2 <sup>nd</sup> Step Increase	2021	286,177	3.15 %
3 <sup>rd</sup> Step Increase	2022	1,278,687	13.66 %
4 <sup>th</sup> Step Increase	2023	1,259,520	11.84 %
Total Rate Increases		\$3,770,841	
Annualized Revenues at Current Rates		\$8,126,141	
Total Percentage Increase over Multi-year	Rate Plan		46.40 %

Based on my analysis, the change in revenue that I have calculated is \$812,213 less than WWD has proposed over the next five years. For the rate year, I have calculated a change in revenue that is \$283,570 less than WWD requested. These data are summarized below.

	Rate Year	WWD Rate Increase	Division Rate Increase	Difference
Initial Rate Increase	2019	\$799,205	\$515,635	\$(283,570)
1 <sup>st</sup> Step Increase	2020	445,221	430,822	(14,399)
2 <sup>nd</sup> Step Increase	2021	633,928	286,177	(347,751)
3 <sup>rd</sup> Step Increase	2022	1,363,132	1,278,687	(84,445)
4 <sup>th</sup> Step Increase	2023	<u>1,341,568</u>	1,259,520	<u>(82,048)</u>
Total Rate Increases		\$4,583,054	\$3,770,841	\$(812,213)

8 Q. WHAT TIME PERIODS HAVE YOU USED IN MAKING YOUR DETERMINATION OF WWD'S REVENUE REQUIREMENTS?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

1	A.	Consistent with WWD's filing, I have used the same test year ended December 31,
2		2017 and rate year ending December 31, 2019 as the basis for determining WWD's
3		rate year revenue requirements and the revenue increase necessary to recover those
4		requirements.

Q. HOW IS THE REMAINDER OF YOUR TESTIMONY ORGANIZED?

A.

A.

The remainder of my testimony is organized into sections corresponding to the issue or topic being addressed. I have also separated my discussion of the issues by rate year and step increase. Specifically, under the rate year section, I discuss the issues that I have adjusted in determining the rate year revenue requirement. Similarly, under the step increase section, I address those issues that affect the revenue increases for the step increase years. These sections are set forth in the Table of Contents of this testimony.

### III. OTHER OPERATING REVENUES

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR ADJUSTMENT TO OTHER OPERATING
 REVENUES.

Yes. In WWD's filing, an adjustment was made to the test year other operating revenues (i.e., Service & Extensions Income, Miscellaneous Income and Interest on Bills) to reflect a 4-year average of these revenues. The 4-year average, as presented by WWD, was derived based upon the Fiscal Years 2014, 2015, 2016 and calendar year 2017. WWD maintains its books on a fiscal year basis, which runs from July of one year to June of the following year. As I reviewed the responses to data requests, there were two instances where the response to the data request<sup>2</sup> suggested that the data presented on a calendar year basis did not capture all the activity during the calendar year. To avoid any potential distortion of the annual level of these revenues,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See WWD's response to DIV-2-25(a) and DIV-2-26(a).

1		I have recalculated the 4-year average on a fiscal year basis for Fiscal Years 2014
2		through 2017. I then adjusted Other Operating Revenues to reflect the 4-year average
3		determined on a fiscal year basis. On Schedules LKM-2-1 through 2-3, I present the
4		calculation of the average other operating revenues and my adjustment to each of the
5		categories. As presented on these schedules, I am recommending increases in Service
6		and Extension Revenue, Miscellaneous Revenues and Interest on Bills of \$1,610,
7		\$4,031 and \$3,421, respectively.
8		IV. <u>PENSION EXPENSE</u>
9	Q.	WHAT ADJUSTMENT ARE YOU RECOMMENDING TO PENSION
10		EXPENSE?
11	A.	The level of pension expense WWD included in the cost of service was derived by
12		applying a pension expense rate of 12.20 percent to the total permanent services
13		salaries and longevity pay of \$979,338. In the response to Division data request DIV
14		2-14, WWD provided documentation which shows that the contribution rate is 10.77
15		percent. Therefore, I have recalculated the pension expense on Schedule LKM-3-1
16		using the 10.77 percent contribution rate. I then calculated the annual pension
17		expense using WWD's salaries and longevity pay and the 10.77 percent contribution
18		rate. The use of this rate results in a decrease in pension expense of \$14,005.
19		V. <u>ELECTRIC POWER EXPENSE</u>
20	Q.	WHAT ADJUSTMENT ARE YOU RECOMMENDING TO ELECTRIC
21		POWER EXPENSE?
22	A.	In WWD's filing, it derived the rate year level of electric power expense based upon
23		an adjustment to increase power purchased from National Grid by 10.21 percent.
24		This 10.21 percent increase was based upon a rate increase filing by National Grid
25		that was before the Commission. During the discovery phase, WWD indicated that

National Grid was authorized a 4.74 percent rate increase. Therefore, I am
recommending an adjustment to reflect the 4.74 percent increase in National Grid's
rates rather than the 10.21 percent contained in WWD's filing. On Schedule
LKM-3-2, I present this adjustment which decreases operating expenses by \$8,519.

There is one other item of concern that relates to electricity expense. It is my understanding that WWD received a proposal for a new power supply contract. However, to my knowledge, the proposal has not yet been approved nor has a contract been executed. Given that WWD's current power supply contract is expiring and it is probable that a new contract will be executed, WWD should update its filing to reflect the change in its power supply cost.

### VI. PROPERTY TAXES

- Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR ADJUSTMENT TO WWD'S PROPERTY TAXES.
- A. WWD calculated property taxes by first escalating the test year (12/31/17) to derive the 12/31/18 (referred to as the interim year) level of property taxes and then escalating the interim year amount to derive the rate year amount.

Since WWD's rate year is a calendar year, the rate year property tax amount is composed of two semi-annual amounts from two different fiscal years. Hence, the test year amount is composed of the amount from January to June 2017 ("FY 2017") and the amount from July to December 2017 ("FY 2018"). The escalation rate used to calculate the annual property taxes for both the interim year and the rate year is based upon the change in the level of property taxes between these two semi-annual periods. This amounts to approximately a 3 percent increase in property taxes when viewed on a total basis. This 3 percent is not only used to determine the rate year

property taxes but is also used as the basis for escalating property taxes during t	he
multi-year rate plan.	

I disagree with this approach because one 6-month period is not an adequate period of time to determine an estimate of future expense growth. In fact, when property taxes are viewed over several years, the account fluctuates from year to year. There are years when property taxes have decreased from the previous year. Hence, the change in the account between one 6-month period, less than one year, is insufficient to determine the growth or escalation rate to be used for five years or more in the future. I have calculated the average growth rate based on a three-year average and a five-year average to be 1.14 percent and 1.09 percent respectively.

To derive my adjustment to property taxes on Schedule LKM-3-3, I have used the three-year average increase of 1.14 percent as the escalation rate. This results in a decrease in the rate year property tax expense of \$5,204. Additionally, this escalation rate is also used in the multi-year plan to escalate property taxes.

### VII. HEALTH AND DENTAL INSURANCE

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT YOU HAVE MADE TO HEALTH AND DENTAL INSURANCE EXPENSE.

A. Woonsocket has made an adjustment to medical and dental insurance with the intent of reflecting a projected increase in the cost of these insurances. First, WWD calculates the percentage increase in the health and dental insurance by comparing the FY 2017 rates to the FY 2019 rates. Woonsocket presents increases of 9 percent for medical insurance and 6% for dental insurance. Next, WWD applies the calculated increases to the adjusted test year amounts to derive its adjustment to health and dental insurance.

1		From my review of the calculation, I discovered that WWD did not subtract
2		the cost of the water treatment plant employees that are expected to be transferred
3		from WWD as a result of the DBO contract. Although those costs were removed in
4		determining the personnel costs, they were not removed when calculating the
5		healthcare costs. On Schedule LKM-3-4, I have recalculated the healthcare cost by
6		removing costs related to the transferred employees first before applying the increase
7		in the insurance rates. Additionally, in the response to DIV 2-18, WWD points out
8		that the health insurance increase is 8.56 percent rather than 9 percent. Although a
9		minor issue, I carried both the health and dental insurance escalation rates out two
10		decimal points to match the data request response, since I was already recalculating
11		the expense.
12		VIII. <u>CITY SERVICE CHARGES</u>
13	Q.	WHAT ADJUSTMENT HAVE YOU MADE TO CITY SERVICES
14		EXPENSES?
15	A.	During discovery it was determined by WWD that the amount included in the cost of
16		service for City Service Charges was overstated because it contained more than 12
17		months activity. WWD has identified the amount of the overstatement. On Schedule
18		LKM-3-5, I present my adjustment which reduces operating expenses by \$63,167.
19		IX. <u>RATE CASE EXPENSE</u>
20	Q.	WHY HAVE YOU MADE AN ADJUSTMENT TO RATE CASE
21		EXPENSE?
22	A.	According to the presentation of rate case expense by WWD on Schedule
23		DGB-RY-3, the annual amount included in the cost of service was determined based
24		upon a 3-year amortization of \$200,000 of rate case expense. However, from my
25		examination of the electronic version of Schedule DGB-RY-3, WWD's claim is

based upon a 4-year amortization of \$250,000 in rate case expense. I have made an adjustment to reduce the level of rate case expense from \$250,000 to \$150,000 and to increase the amortization period from four years to five years.

I have reduced the total rate case expense from \$250,000 to \$150,000 for two reasons. First, the amount WWD claimed for total rate case expense appears to be excessive when compared to the rate case expenses claimed by Pawtucket Water Supply Board ("PWSB") and Kent County Water Authority ("KCWA") in their most recent rate cases before this Commission. Therefore, the amount that I have included for rate case expense is more inline with the claim by PWSB and KCWA. Both utilities are larger than WWD. Hence, one would not expect WWD's rate case expense claim to be significantly higher than both utilities.

Additionally, as I indicated above, the amount WWD disclosed as its rate case expense claim is not the same as the actual amount that has been included in this proceeding. Therefore, I have concluded that it is best not to place reliance on either of the two amounts. Instead, I recommend the Commission adopt the \$150,000 as a reasonable level of rate case expense unless WWD is able to adequately support its claim of \$250,000.

Regarding the amortization period, I have used a five-year period to be consistent with the proposed multi-year rate plan. If the Commission accepts the multi-year rate plan, the benefit period of the rates established from this proceeding will be the next five years. Therefore, it is appropriate to spread the cost over that period. Moreover, WWD's recent history of general rate case filings supports a five-year amortization period rather than a three-year or four-year period. WWD prior rate case, Docket No. 4320, was adjudicated during 2012 and the case prior to that,

1	Docket No. 3800, was adjudicated during 2007. Based upon this history, I believe
2	the five-year period is appropriate.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A.

On Schedule LKM 3-6, I present my adjustment to rate case expense. This adjustment reduces rate case expense by \$32,500.

### X. <u>DBO CONTRACT</u>

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT YOU HAVE MADE TO THE DBO CONTRACT COSTS.

Pursuant to the construction of its new water treatment plant ("WTP"), WWD has entered into a contractual arrangement with Woonsocket Water Services LLC (whose members are AECOM Technical Services, Inc. and Suez Water, Inc.) to design, build, and operate the new treatment facility. This contract (the DBO contract), also includes the costs for the DBO contractor to continue to operate the current old treatment facility until the new WTP is completed. The operations contract fee covers all expenses (labor, supplies, material, chemicals and renewal & replacement) to run the WTP. The arrangement that has been established is that the DBO contractor will operate the current facility while the new facility is being constructed. Upon completion of the new facility, the current facility will shut down and the DBO contractor will begin operating the new facility. The DBO contractor was to have started operating the current WTP on January 1, 2019 until the new facility is completed and operational in December of 2020. One of the provisions of the contract is an escalation clause that requires an annual escalation of the service costs. The escalation rate is derived from a blended index. Sixty percent of the index based upon the U.S. Department of Labor, Consumer Price Index ("CPI") for all urban consumers in the Northeast Area and the remaining forty percent of the index is based upon the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Employment Cost

1		Index ("ECI"). This annual escalation is intended to reflect changes in cost resulting
2		from inflation.
3		My concerns with the level of expenses included in the cost of service related
4		to the DBO contract has to do with the level of costs included for renewal &
5		replacement and the annual inflation escalation rate used by WWD.
6		WWD has included \$105,733 as the annual cost of renewal & replacement as
7		of the beginning of the contract. This amount overstates the DBO contract expense
8		and does not correspond to the expected annual renewal & replacement expenditures
9		related to the new water treatment facility. As indicated in the response to DIV 4-10,
10		in the first year of the DBO contract there is no expected outlay for renewal &
11		replacement. In years two through five of the operation of the new facility, the
12		annual outlay for renewal and replacement is less than \$30,000 in each of those years
13	Q.	DID YOU MAKE AN ADJUSTMENT TO THE RATE YEAR EXPENSES
14		TO REDUCE THE DBO CONTRACT AMOUNT RELATED TO THE
15		RENEWAL & REPLACEMENT COSTS?
16	A.	No. The rate year DBO contract expense does not include any renewal and
17		replacement costs for the new water treatment facility. Even though the DBO
18		contractor was to have taken over operation of the water treatment facility as of
19		January 2019, the new facility to which the renewal & replacement costs relate will
20		not become operational until December 2020. Therefore, the renewal and
21		replacement costs are included after the new facility becomes operational during the
22		step period. Therefore, my adjustment to the DBO contract affects the step period
23		rather than the rate year.
24	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW YOU REFLECTED THE DBO CONTRACT
25		ADJUSTMENT IN THE STEP PERIOD.

A.	Since the new treatment facility is expected to be completed in December 2020, the
	DBO contract costs related to the new facility begins in January 2021. On Schedule
	LKM-3-7, line 5, I have restated the renewal and replacement costs consistent with
	the expected annual costs as of calendar year 2021. Those changes flow through the
	total annual costs which is then reflected in the cost of service for the step period.

A.

# Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE OTHER ADJUSTMENT TO THE DBO CONTRACT EXPENSE.

As I explained above, the DBO contract includes a clause that escalates the service costs annually to recognize inflation using a blended rate that is based upon the CPI and the ECI. Since the blended escalation rate is based upon published CPI and ECI, the 2.62 percent rate used to calculate the annual cost escalation is a historical inflation rate. I disagree with using the historical inflation rates as the future escalation rate because past inflation rates are not necessarily a good predictor of future inflation. Therefore, I have recalculated the blended inflation rate using forecasted components. For the ECI, I obtained the forecasted values from the Congressional Budget Office ("CBO") August 2018 report, *An Update to the Economic Outlook: 2018 to 2028.* For the CPI, I have used the projected core price index for personal consumption expenditure ("PCE") as reported by the Federal Reserve Open Market Committee ("FOMC") December 2018 meeting minutes.

The chart below summarizes my calculation of the DBO contract escalation rate. Additionally, in my discussion of the inflation escalation (below), I explain the reason why I have chosen to use the PCI instead of the CPI used by WWD.

	Rate Year	Division DBO Inflation Rate	WWD DBO Inflation Rate
1 <sup>st</sup> Step Increase	2020	2.70	2.62
2 <sup>nd</sup> Step Increase	2021	2.70	2.62
3 <sup>rd</sup> Step Increase	2022	2.62	2.62
4 <sup>th</sup> Step Increase	2023	2.54	2.62

### XI. <u>INFLATION ESCALATION</u>

Q. DID WWD MAKE AN ADJUSTMENT TO ESCALATE ITS EXPENSES
 TO REFLECT INFLATION?

1

4

5

6

7

8

17

18

19

20

- A. WWD did not include an inflation escalation in the determination of the rate year cost of service. However, for the multi-year step increases, WWD has applied an escalation rate of 2.5 percent to the non-labor operating expenses, excluding expenses that were separately adjusted. The 2.5 percent increase was derived from the CPI based upon the change from June 2017 to June 2018.
- 9 Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH WWD'S INFLATION ESCALATION?
- 10 A. No. While conceptually I will accept the use of an inflation factor to escalate costs
  11 during the multi-year step period, I disagree with the use of the historical CPI rate as
  12 the basis of the escalation rate because past inflation rates are not necessarily a good
  13 predictor of future inflation. For instance, from 2012 through 2017, the U.S.
  14 economy experienced a period of low inflation. During that period annual inflation
  15 averaged 1.17 percent. However, in recent years, national priorities have changed,
  16 and inflation rates have increased to over 2.0 percent.

The approach taken by WWD, uses only one 12-month period to calculate its inflation escalation rate. If the Commission were to accept the use of historical inflation rates as the basis for the future inflation estimates, it would be appropriate to use a multi-year average because historical inflation rates have not been constant.

1		Instead, they have fluctuated from year to year. Consequently, one 12-month period
2		would not be a good basis to estimate inflation. However, if an historical average
3		were used, the average inflation rate would result in an inflation rate of less than 1.5
4		percent.
5	Q.	HOW HAVE YOU DETERMINED THE INFLATION RATE?
6	A.	I have used the projected core price index for PCE as reported by the FOMC
7		December 2018 meeting minutes. The data showed projected inflation in the range of
8		1.9 percent to 2.3 percent. I have chosen to use 2.1 percent, based upon the median of
9		the range.
10		XII. <u>STEP INCREASES</u>
11	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE DISCUSS THE STEP INCREASES PROPOSED
12		BY WWD?
13	A.	As I indicated earlier, WWD has proposed a multi-year plan that includes four step
14		increases after the rate year. According to WWD, Rhode Island statutes provide the
15		opportunity for a water utility to propose a future rate plan of up to six years. The
16		four step increases in this proceeding are proposed to become effective January 1,
17		2020, January 1, 2021, January 1, 2022, and January 1, 2023. The step increases are
18		driven by the following components: Debt Reserve Funding, the DBO contract
19		Adjustments, Property Tax Increases, Non-labor inflation, Labor rate increases, and
20		the operating reserve.
21	Q.	DO YOU AGREE WITH THE MULTI-YEAR RATE PLAN AS
22		PROPOSED BY WWD?
23	A.	No. I disagree with the five-year multi-year rate plan as proposed. Based upon my
24		review of the filing, I believe any multi-year rate plan granted should be limited to a
25		three-year plan (the rate year increase and two subsequent step increases). However,

1	as I explain later, the Division is willing to make an exception to this position in this
2	proceeding.

# Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR OPPOSITION TO THE FIVE-YEAR RATE PLAN AS PROPOSED BY WWD.

A.

There are several reasons why I disagree with the five-year rate plan. First, with the exception of the debt reserve funding, the cost increases included in the multi-year rate plan are all based upon general price increase indices like the CPI. There is very little data or analysis of WWD's cost of service that is provided to validate the cost increases. However, for short-term rate plans, this type of cost projection is generally not opposed because the impact is relatively moderate. WWD's multi-year proposal is very different in this regard. WWD is proposing to increase its rates by over 50 percent by use of the 5-year multi-year rate plan. Out of the \$4,583,054 that is being sought, \$2,704,700 relates to years four and five of the multi-year plan. This is a significant increase for a utility this size. Such an increase should not be granted without a full review of the cost of service to determine whether other costs have declined or if revenues have increased. For example, retiring the old water treatment plant could result in a reduction to maintenance expense. Such decreases will not be recognized given the approach of applying an inflation escalation to the operating expenses.

A five-year period is a long enough period that costs and revenues will begin to differ from the costs and revenues that are presented in the rate case. Hence, implementing a significant rate increase without first reviewing costs and revenues puts ratepayers at risk of being overcharged.

Another reason why the five-year rate plan should not be authorized is that the proposed rate plan does not include any provision to recognize changes in

consumption or customers. WWD's customer count has increased since December
2015 <sup>3</sup> and that is likely to continue. Therefore, the number of customers over which
the costs are to be recovered is likely to increase and should be recognized.

Finally, the last two increases are largely driven by significant changes in the debt reserve funding. The changes to the debt reserve funding are so significant that they change WWD's cost structure and should not be allowed without a full review of WWD's revenues and expenses. Moreover, WWD's witness Maureen E. Gurghigian indicates that several of the items that are calculated based on interest cost (such as insurance and Debt Service Reserve Fund) are subject to change with interest rates. Therefore, some of the costs are not yet finalized.

# Q. ARE YOU OFFERING AN ALTERNATIVE TO WWD'S PROPOSED FIVE-YEAR MULTI-YEAR PLAN?

Yes. The role of the regulator and intervenors in utility rate cases is not limited to determining the rates and charges. As regulators, we have the additional responsibility to ensure that the utility is able to provide safe and reliable service. The construction and operation of the new water treatment facility is a contributing factor to providing safe and reliable service. Providing safe, clean and reliable water service is in the customers' interest. Therefore, the Division does not want to be put in the position where its recommendation may have a negative impact on safe and reliable customer service.

Earlier, I indicated that the multi-year rate plan should be limited to a threeyear plan. In making that recommendation, I was concerned about cost projections being based solely on price indices and the lack of consideration for any growth in revenue that could offset the impact of the cost increases. However, as I have

A.

Direct Testimony of Lafayette K. Morgan, Jr.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See the response to DIV 1-5.

indicated, a major component of the last two step increases is the cost of the debt
funding for the new water treatment plant. Being able to service the debt, is an
integral part of constructing and operating the new water treatment plant. I am also
mindful that filing a rate case is costly, and that those costs are ultimately borne by
customers.

Therefore, I believe there is justification in this proceeding to recommend an exception which would allow WWD to adjust its rates in steps three and four to collect the additional debt funding costs. These costs are directly related to putting the new water treatment in service, and cost recovery critical to the provision of service. Because of this crucial aspect of the new WTP cost, the Division is willing not to oppose recognizing these costs through the step increases.

It is important to recognize that WWD is a municipal-owned utility rather than an investor-owned utility. As such, it is allowed to accumulate funds in the operating reserve account. Hence, in the event of a short fall during the five-year period, funds in the operating reserve account are available for use.

Q. BASED ON YOUR RECOMMENDATION WHAT ARE THE COSTS
WWD WILL BE ALLOWED TO RECOVER IN YEARS FOUR AND
FIVE OF THE STEP INCREASES?

- A. In years four and five of the step increases, WWD will be allowed to recover the increases in the debt funding reserve, the contractual increases in the DBO contract and the corresponding increases in the operating reserve fund.
- 22 Q. DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- A. Yes, it does.

### **BEFORE THE**

# PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF RHODE ISLAND

WOONSOCKET WATER	)	DOCKET NO. 4879
DIVISION	)	DOCKET NO. 46/9

# SCHEDULES ACCOMPANYING THE DIRECT TESTIMONY

**OF** 

LAFAYETTE K. MORGAN, JR.

# ON BEHALF OF THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES AND CARRIERS

**January 25, 2019** 



Revenue Requirement For the Rate Year Ending December 31, 2019

		WWD				
Line		Adjusted	Division	Rate Year		Rate Year
No.	Description	Test Year 1/	Adjustments	Old Rates	Rate Increase	New Rates
1	Revenue	\$ 8,117,079	\$ 9,062 2/	\$ 8,126,141	\$ 515,635	\$ 8,641,776
2						
3	Expenses	8,916,284	(274,508) 3/	8,641,776		8,641,776
4						
5	Net Profit	\$ (799,205)	\$ 283,570	\$ (515,635)	\$ 515,635	\$ -

### Notes:

<sup>1/</sup> Schedule DGB-RY-1.

<sup>2/</sup> Schedule LKM-2.

<sup>3/</sup> Schedule LKM-3.

CITY OF WOONSOCKET WATER DIVISION Summary of Operating Revenues For the Rate Year Ending December 31, 2019

Line No.	Account No.	Description	Ac	ljusted Test Year	1/	Ra	WWD ate Year ustments		ter WWD	Division Rate Year Adjustments		Adjusted 12/31/19 Rate Year Amounts	
	REVENUE												
1	Revenue	from Rates and Charges											
2	41010	Water Sales	\$	5,915,529		\$	-	\$ 5	5,915,529	\$	-	\$	5,915,529
3		Wholesale Sales		419,565			-		419,565		-		419,565
4		Customer Service Revenue		668,064			-		668,064		-		668,064
5		Customer Service Woon. Fire Prot.		662,026			-		662,026		-		662,026
6		Public Fire Service Revenue		26,746			-		26,746		-		26,746
7		Private Fire Service Revenue		112,358			<u> </u>		112,358		_		112,358
8			\$	7,804,289		\$	_	\$ 7	7,804,289	\$	_	\$	7,804,289
9	Miscellar	eous Revenue											
10	41030	Service & Extensions	\$	121,513		\$	-	\$	121,513	\$	1,610 <sup>2/</sup>	\$	123,123
11	41035	Repairs		-			-		-		_		-
12	41040	Miscellaneous Income		34,625			-		34,625		4,031 <sup>3/</sup>		38,656
13	41070	Water Surcharge		21,170			-		21,170		_		21,170
14	42310	Interest on Bills		106,652			-		106,652		3,421 4/		110,073
15	42320	Interest on Investments		28,830			_		28,830		· -		28,830
16		Interest on Restricted Accounts		-			-		· -		-		-
17			\$	312,790		\$	-	\$	312,790	\$	9,062	\$	321,852
18			*	,		•		*	- ,	•	-,	*	- 1,000
19		TOTAL REVENUE	\$	8,117,079		\$	<u>-</u>	\$ 8	8,117,079	\$	9,062	\$	8,126,141

Notes: 1/ Schedule DGB-RY-2.

<sup>2/</sup> Schedule LKM-2-1.

<sup>3/</sup> Schedule LKM-2-2.

<sup>4/</sup> Schedule LKM-2-3.

Adjustment to Service & Extension Revenue For the Rate Year Ending December 31, 2019

Line No.	Description	Amount				
1	Revenue History					
2	FY 2014	\$	97,394			
3	FY 2015		117,056			
4	FY 2016		90,418			
5	FY 2017		187,623	1/		
6		<u></u>				
7	4 year average	\$	123,123			
8						
9						
10						
11	Adjusted Test Year Service & Extension Revenue per Division	\$	123,123			
12						
13	Adjusted Test Year Service & Extension Revenue per WWD		121,513	2/		
14	·		·	•		
15	Test Year Adjustment	\$	1,610			

 $<sup>\</sup>underline{\text{Notes:}}$  1/ Attachment to the Response to DIV 2-25b.

<sup>2/</sup> Schedule DGB-TY-1c.

Adjustment to Miscellaneous Revenue For the Rate Year Ending December 31, 2019

Line				
No.	Description	<u> </u>	Amount	
1	Revenue History			
2	FY 2015		39,712	
3	FY 2016		43,244	
4	FY 2017		32,322	1/
5	FY 2018		39,346	1/
6				
7	4 year average	\$	38,656	
8				
9				
10				
11	Adjusted Test Year Miscellaneous Revenue per Division	\$	38,656	
12				
13	Adjusted Test Year Miscellaneous Revenue per WWD		34,625	2/
14				•
15	Test Year Adjustment	\$	4,031	_

### <u>Notes</u>

<sup>1/</sup> Attachment to the Response to DIV 2-26.

<sup>2/</sup> Schedule DGB-TY-1c.

Adjustment to Interest on Bills Revenue For the Rate Year Ending December 31, 2019

Line			
No.	Description	 Amount	_
1	Revenue History		
2	FY 2015	112,649	
3	FY 2016	94,446	
4	FY 2017	96,607	1/
5	FY 2018	 136,590	1/
6			
7	4 year average	\$ 110,073	
8			
9			
10			
11	Adjusted Test Year Interest on Bills Revenue per Division	\$ 110,073	
12			
13	Adjusted Test Year Interest on Bills Revenue per WWD	 106,652	2/
14			
15	Test Year Adjustment (C)	\$ 3,421	_

Notes
1/ Attachment to the Response to DIV 2-27.

2/ Schedule DGB-TY-1c.

CITY OF WOONSOCKET WATER DIVISION Summary of Cost of Service For the Rate Year Ending December 31, 2019

Line No.	Account No.	Description	Ac	ljusted Test Year	WWD Rate Yea Adjustmer		, ,	fter WWD djustments		ate Year justment s	12/	Adjusted 31/19 Rate ar Amounts
	<b>EXPENSES</b>											
1	Personnel Exp	pense										
2	51110	Permanent Services	\$	1,388,854	\$ (446,25	59)	\$	942,595	\$	-	\$	942,595
3	51122	Temporary Labor		20,173		-		20,173		-		20,173
4	51141	Overtime Pay		200,286	(125,17	79)		75,107		-		75,107
5	51144	Out of Class		367		-		367		-		367
6	51145	Longevity Pay		53,989	(17,24	46)		36,743		-		36,743
7	51146	Medical Buy Back		15,756	(6,75	56)		9,000		-		9,000
8	51147	Sick Leave Reimbursement		1,920		-		1,920		-		1,920
9	51149	Shift Differential		9,262	(4,63	31)		4,631		-		4,631
10	51153	Non-sick/Injury Bonus		1,080				1,080		-		1,080
11	51155	Bonus for Course		17,439	(7,12	24)		10,315		-		10,315
12	51160	Retirement		535				535		-		535
13	Total Personn	el Expenses	\$	1,709,661	\$ (607,19	95)	\$	1,102,465	\$	-	\$	1,102,465
14												
15	Maintenance d	& Servicing Expenses										
16	52211	Postage	\$	16,135			\$	16,135	\$	-	\$	16,135
17	52212	Telephone		30,734		-		30,734		-		30,734
18	52213	Dues & Subscriptions		2,726		-		2,726		-		2,726
19	52214	Advertising		3,631		-		3,631		-		3,631
20	52216	Travel Out of City		184		-		184		-		184
21	52219	Educational Training		8,585	(4,29	92)		4,292		-		4,292
22	52221	Printing & Reproducing		20,937		-		20,937		-		20,937
23	52231	General Maint. & Upkeep		40,496	(40,49	96)		(0)		-		(0)
24	52234	Vehicle & Outside Equip. Upkeep		34,489		-		34,489		-		34,489
25	52236	Maintenance - Office Equipment		116		-		116		-		116
26	52238	Maintenance - Roads & Walks		42,982		-		42,982		-		42,982
27	52239	Computer Software		4,653		-		4,653		-		4,653
28	52244	Land Rental Charges		2,862				2,862		-		2,862
29	52249	Other Rentals		17,926				17,926		-		17,926
30	52251	Heating		7,061		-		7,061		-		7,061
31	52252	Light & Power		374,530	15,90			390,432		(8,519)		381,913
32	52255	Property & Fire Taxes		169,237	10,8			180,055		(5,204)		174,851
33	52256	Sewer Assessment		83,899	(83,89	99)		0		-		0
34	52258	State Pollution Monitoring Program		21,477		-		21,477		-		21,477
35	52260	Regulatory Assessments		59,724	5,52	26		65,250		-		65,250
36 37	52261 52266	Conservation Services		1,263				4 060		-		1,263
		Police Details				-		1,263		-		•
38 39	52281 52282	Other Independent Service		51,656		-		51,656		-		51,656
		Audit Service		3,750				3,750		-		3,750
40	52283 52289	Legal Service		6,148				6,148		-		6,148
41 42	52289 52290	Medical Examinations		- 825		-		825		-		825
		Engineering Service	<u></u>		r (00.4	40\	Φ.		Φ.	(40.700)	Φ.	
43 44	ı otal ivlainteni	ance & Servicing Expenses	\$	1,006,026	\$ (96,44	4 <i>2)</i>	\$	909,584	\$	(13,723)	\$	895,862

CITY OF WOONSOCKET WATER DIVISION Summary of Cost of Service For the Rate Year Ending December 31, 2019

Line No.	Account No.	Description	Ac	ljusted Test Year		WWD Rate Year <sup>1</sup>		After WWD Adjustments	Division Rate Year Adjustment	12	Adjusted /31/19 Rate ar Amounts
45	Operating Sup	oplies & Expenses									
46	53311	Office Supplies	\$	2,699	\$	-	\$	2,699	\$ -	\$	2,699
47	53321	Gas & Diesel		22,611		-		22,611	-		22,611
48	53322	Tires & Batteries		2,506		-		2,506	-		2,506
49	53336	Chemicals - Water Supply		-		-		-	-		-
50	Operating	Supplies & Expenses (Continued)				4 044 000		-			4 044 000
51 52		Plant Operating Contract existing		-		1,941,000		1,941,000	-		1,941,000
52 53	53344	Plant Operating Contract (Chem Crdt) Tools & Implements		2,484		(145,717)		(145,717) 2,484	-		(145,717) 2,484
53 54	53344	Cleaning & Housekeeping Supplies		2,464 1,563		-		2,464 1,563	-		2,464 1,563
55	53349	Other Supplies		39,261		(19,631)		19,631	-		19,631
56	53351	Lab Supplies		30,129		(30,129)		(0)	_		(0)
57	53363	Clothing & Footwear		6,082		(3,041)		3,041	_		3,041
58	53366	Drug & Medical Supplies		402		(3,041)		402	_		402
59	53369	Clothing Allowance		4,091		(2,046)		2,046	_		2,046
60		ng Supplies & Expenses	\$	111,828	\$	1,740,437	\$	1,852,265	\$ -	\$	1,852,265
61	Total Operatio	ig dupplies & Experises	Ψ	111,020	Ψ	1,740,437	Ψ	1,002,200	Ψ	Ψ	1,002,200
62	General Expe	nses									
63	54413	Fiscal Certification	\$	7,750			\$	7,750	\$ -	\$	7,750
64	54433	Pensions	Ψ	178,142		(58,663)	٣	119,479	(14,005)	Ψ	105,475
65	54434	FICA Employer Cost		130,789		(46,450)		84,339	-		84,339
66	54446	City Service Charges		372,060		1		372,060	(63,167)		308,893
67	54451	Insurance - Vehicles & Equipment		75,501		-		75,501	-		75,501
68	54452	Insurance - Workmen's Comp		131,800		(50,084)		81,716	-		81,716
69	54453	Insurance - Liability		122,819		(998)		121,821	-		121,821
70	54456	Insurance - Group Life		13,787		`549 <sup>´</sup>		14,336	-		14,336
71	54471	Health Insurance		533,637		49,081		582,718	(141,007)		441,711
72	54472	Dental Insurance		27,860		1,610		29,470	(10,107)		19,363
73	54493	Bad Debt Exp		<u>-</u>		_		<u>-</u>	<u> </u>		<u>-</u>
74	Total General	Expenses	\$	1,594,145	\$	(104,955)	\$	1,489,190	\$ (228,285)	\$	1,260,904
75		,				, , ,			,		
76	Restricted Acc	count Expenses									
77	53336	Chemicals - Water Supply	\$	396,000	\$	(396,000)	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-
78	54417	Operating Reserve		63,180		17,100		80,280	-		80,280
79	54463	Infrastructure Replacement		1,700,000		(365,000)		1,335,000	-		1,335,000
80	54464	Rate Case Expense (Unrestricted)		65,864		(3,364)		62,500	(32,500)		30,000
81	54467	Debt Service Reimbursement		1,600,000		365,000		1,965,000	-		1,965,000
82	54473	Renewal & Replace Fund		120,000		<u> </u>	_	120,000		_	120,000
83 84	Total Other M	iscellaneous Expenses	\$	3,945,044	\$	(382,264)	\$	3,562,780	\$ (32,500)	\$	3,530,280
85		TOTAL EXPENSES	\$	8,366,703	\$	549,581	\$	8,916,284	\$ (274,508)	\$	8,641,776

Adjustment to Pension Expense For the Rate Year Ending December 31, 2019

Line						
No.	Description	 Amount				
1	Total Permanent Services + Longevity	\$ 979,338 <sup>1/</sup>				
2	Pension Rate	 10.77% 2/				
3						
4	Pension Expense per Division	\$ 105,475				
5	Pension Expense per WWD	 119,479				
6						
7	Adjustment to Pension Expense	\$ (14,005)				

### Notes:

1/ WWD Filing, Schedule WEE-4.

2/ Response to DIV-2-14.

Adjustment to Power Expense
For the Rate Year Ending December 31, 2019

Line	ne Adjusted Test								
No.	Description	Year		_	Adjustment			Rate Year	
1	Light & Power								
2	National Grid	\$	155,750	1/	\$	7,383	2/	\$	163,133
3	Direct Energy Business		218,780	1/					218,780
4									
5	Total Power Expense per Division	\$	374,530		\$	7,383		\$	381,913
6	Total Power Expense per WWD								390,432
7									
8	Adjustment to Power Expense							\$	(8,519)

### Notes:

<sup>1/</sup> WWD filing Schedule DGB-RY-6

<sup>2/</sup> Per response to DIV 2-15, National Grid was authorized a 4.74% increase in Docket No. 4770.

Adjustment to Property Taxes
For the Rate Year Ending December 31, 2019

Line				
No.	Description	Amount		
1	Property Taxes per Division Property Taxes per WWD	\$	174,851 <sup>1/</sup> 180,055 <sup>2/</sup>	
3 4	Adjustment to Property Taxes	\$	(5,204)	

Notes:

1/ Company Filing Schedule DGB-RY-7.

Adjustment to Medical Insurance Expense For the Rate Year Ending December 31, 2019

Line		Health	Dental
No.	Description	Insurance 1/	Insurance 1/
1	Adjusted Test Year Health & Dental Expenses	\$ 533,637	\$ 27,860
2	Health & Dental Expenses for Employees not included in Rate Year	(126,755)	(9,554)
3	Net Health & Dental Insurance Expense	406,882	18,306
4	Increase in Insurance Costs	108.56% 2/	105.78%
5			
6	Adjusted Health & Dental Insurance Expense per Division	\$ 441,711	\$ 19,363
7	Health & Dental Insurance Expense per WWD	<u>582,718</u>	29,470
8			
9	Adjustment to Insurance Expense	<b>\$</b> (141,007)	\$ (10,10 <del>7</del> )

### Notes:

<sup>1/</sup> Company Filing Schedule DGB-RY-8.

<sup>2/</sup> Response to Division 2-18

Adjustment to City Services
For the Rate Year Ending December 31, 2019

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
1	FY 2014	\$ 63,167
2 3	Rate Year Adjustment	\$ (63,167)
4		

### **Notes**

1/ Attachment to the Response to DIV 4-14.

Adjustment to Rate Case Expenses For the Rate Year Ending December 31, 2019

Line					
No.	Description	/	Amount		
1 2 3	Division Estimated Rate Case Expense Normalization Period	\$	150,000 <u>5</u>		
4 5	Division Annual Rate Case Expense			\$	30,000
6 7 8	WWD Estimated Rate Case Expense Normalization Period	\$	250,000 4		
9	WWD Annual Rate Case Expense				62,500
10 11	Adjustment to Rate Case Expense			\$	(32,500)

Adjustment to DBO Contract Service Fees For the Rate Year Ending December 31, 2019

				1 <sup>st</sup> Step		2 <sup>nd</sup> Step		3 <sup>rd</sup> Step	4 <sup>th</sup> Step
Line		Rate Year		Increase		Increase		Increase	Increase
No.	Description	CY 2019		CY 2020		CY 2021		CY 2022	CY 2023
1 2	Fixed O&M Component	\$ 1,881,000	\$	1,931,787	\$	1,852,771	\$	1,901,314	\$1,949,607
3 4	Fixed Corrective Maintenance and Repair	60,000	\$	61,620		32,496		33,347	34,194
5 6	Fixed Renewal and Replacement Plan 1/		_	<u>-</u>	_	<u>-</u>	_	3,500	13,000
7 8	Annual Expense per Division	\$ 1,941,000	<u>\$</u>	1,993,407	<u>\$</u>	1,885,267	<u>\$</u>	1,938,161	\$1,996,801

### **Notes**

1/ Attachment to the Response to DIV 4-14.

Summary of Step Increases For the Rate Year Ending December 31, 2019

Line		WWD	Division			
No.	Description	 Amount	 Amount		Difference	
1	Rate Year Increase (CY 2019)	\$ 799,205	\$ 515,635	\$	(283,570)	
2						
3	1 <sup>st</sup> Step Increase (CY 2020)	445,221	430,822		(14,399)	
4						
5	2 <sup>nd</sup> Step Increase (CY 2021)	633,928	286,177		(347,751)	
6						
7	3 <sup>rd</sup> Step Increase (CY 2020)	1,363,132	1,278,687		(84,444)	
8						
9	4 <sup>th</sup> Step Increase (CY 2021)	 1,341,568	1,259,520	_	(82,048)	
10						
11	Total	\$ 4,583,054	\$ 3,770,841	\$	(812,213)	

Calculation of 1st Step Increase For the Rate Year Ending December 31, 2019

Line			
No.	Description	Amount	Amount
1	YEAR 2 - RY 2020		
2			
3	Rate Year (FYE 12/31/19) Revenue Requirement		\$ 8,641,776
4			
5	Step Increases for 2020		
6	New Debt Reserve Funding	\$ 170,000	
7	Plant Op Contract (existing plant)	52,407	
8	Remove one time Chemical Credit	145,717	
9	Light & Power Increase	-	
10	Property & Fire Taxes Increase	1,998	
11	Inflation Labor @ 2%	22,049	
12	Inflation Non-Labor @ 2.1%	34,797	
13	Operating Reserve Increase 1.5%	3,855	
14		\$ 430,822	
15			
16	Rate Year (FYE 12/31/20) Revenue Requirement		<u>\$9,072,598</u>
17			
18	Proposed Step Increase (FYE 12/31/20) Revenue Requirement		4.99%

Calculation of 2<sup>nd</sup> Step Increase For the Rate Year Ending December 31, 2019

Line			
No.	Description	Amount	Amount
	·		
1	YEAR 3 - RY 2021		
2			
3	Rate Year (FYE 12/31/20) Revenue Requirement		\$9,072,598
4			
5	Step Increases for 2021		
6	New Debt Reserve Funding	\$ 335,000	
7	Plant Op Contract (New plant)	(108,140)	
8	Remove one time Chemical Credit	-	
9	Light & Power Increase	-	
10	Property & Fire Taxes Increase	2,020	
11	Inflation Labor @ 2%	22,490	
12	Inflation Non-Labor @ 2.1%	35,527	
13	Operating Reserve Increase 1.5%	(722)	
14		\$ 286,177	
15			
16	Rate Year (FYE 12/31/21) Revenue Requirement		\$9,358,775
17			
18	Proposed Step Increase (FYE 12/31/21) Revenue Requirement		3.15%

Calculation of 3<sup>rd</sup> Step Increase For the Rate Year Ending December 31, 2019

Line			
No.	Description	Amount	Amount
1	YEAR 4 - RY 2022		
2			
3	Rate Year (FYE 12/31/21) Revenue Requirement		\$ 9,358,775
4			
5	Step Increases for 2022		
6	New Debt Reserve Funding	1,225,000	
7	Plant Op Contract (New plant)	52,894	
8	Remove one time Chemical Credit	-	
9	Light & Power Increase	-	
10	Property & Fire Taxes Increase	-	
11	Inflation Labor @ 2%	-	
12	Inflation Non-Labor @ 2.1%	-	
13	Operating Reserve Increase 1.5%	793	
14		1,278,687	
15			
16	Rate Year (FYE 12/31/22) Revenue Requirement		\$10,637,462
17			
18	Proposed Step Increase (FYE 12/31/22) Revenue Requirement		13.66%

Calculation of 4<sup>th</sup> Step Increase For the Rate Year Ending December 31, 2019

Line			
No.	Description	Amount	Amount
	·		
1	YEAR 5 - RY 2023		
2			
3	Rate Year (FYE 12/31/22) Revenue Requirement		\$ 10,637,462
4			
5	Step Increases for 2023		
6	New Debt Reserve Funding	1,200,000	
7	Plant Op Contract (New plant)	58,640	
8	Remove one time Chemical Credit	-	
9	Light & Power Increase	-	
10	Property & Fire Taxes Increase	-	
11	Inflation Labor @ 2%	-	
12	Inflation Non-Labor @ 2.1%	-	
13	Operating Reserve Increase 1.5%	880	
14		1,259,520	
15			
16	Rate Year (FYE 12/31/23) Revenue Requirement		\$ 11,896,982
17			
18	Proposed Step Increase (FYE 12/31/23) Revenue Requirement		11.84%