

Docket No. 4770
Thirtieth Set of Data Requests of the
Division of Public Utilities and Carriers to National Grid
March 2, 2018

AMI

- 30-1. Referring to the response to DIV 23-5, please explain why and how the Company calculated the AMI Study for Rhode Island to be \$2 million, when the cost of the study for Niagara Mohawk alone is estimated to be \$2.988 million and adding Rhode Island to the project results only in an incremental cost of approximately \$1.057 million. Why wouldn't the estimated cost of the AMI Study to Rhode Island for its share of the study be \$1.057?
- 30-2. Referring to the response to DIV 23-5, why wouldn't the estimated cost of the combined AMI study not be allocated using the same allocator as identified in the response to DIV 23-3 (for the combined AMI project with New York), which would result in Rhode Island being allocated only 25.12% of the combined cost of \$4.045?
- 30-3. Referring to the response to DIV 23-5, does the Joint Proposal in the Niagara Mohawk rate case provide for Niagara Mohawk recovering all or any portion of the estimated cost of \$2.988 for the proposed AMI Study? If so, please explain how the cost recovery will be implemented. If not, please indicate whether Niagara Mohawk will perform the study without cost recovery.