

June 7, 2017

BY HAND DELIVERY AND ELECTRONIC MAIL

Luly E. Massaro, Commission Clerk Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission 89 Jefferson Boulevard Warwick, RI 02888

Docket 4699 - National Grid Electric Revenue Decoupling Mechanism Reconciliation RE: Year Ending March 31, 2017 **Responses to Division Set 1**

Dear Ms. Massaro:

On behalf of National Grid, 1 have enclosed the Company's responses to the first set of data requests issued by the Rhode Island Division of Public Utilities and Carriers in the abovereferenced docket.

Thank you for your attention to this transmittal. If you have any questions, please contact me at 781-907-2121.

Raquel J. Webster

Enclosure

Docket 4699 Service List cc:

Leo Wold, Esq.

Steve Scialabba, Division

¹ The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National Grid (National Grid or Company).

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a copy of the cover letter and any materials accompanying this certificate was electronically transmitted to the individuals listed below.

The paper copies of this filing are being hand delivered to the Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission and to the Rhode Island Division of Public Utilities and Carriers.

Joanne M. Scanlon

<u>June 7, 2017</u> Date

Docket No. 4699 - National Grid - 2017 Electric Revenue Decoupling Mechanism (RDM) Reconciliation Filing

Service List updated 5/25/17

Name/Address	E-mail Distribution	Phone
Raquel J. Webster, Esq.	Robin.pieri@nationalgrid.com;	781-907-2121
National Grid	Celia.obrien@nationalgrid.com;	
40 Sylvan Road	Joanne.scanlon@nationalgrid.com;	
Waltham, MA 02451	Raquel.webster@nationalgrid.com;	
Leo Wold, Esq.	Lwold@riag.ri.gov;	
Dept. of Attorney General	Steve.scialabba@dpuc.ri.gov;	
150 South Main St. Providence, RI 02903	Al.mancini@dpuc.ri.gov;	
	jmunoz@riag.ri.gov;	
	dmacrae@riag.ri.gov;	
File an original & 9 copies w/:	<u>Luly.massaro@puc.ri.gov</u> ;	401-780-2017
Luly E. Massaro, Commission Clerk	Cynthia.WilsonFrias@puc.ri.gov;	
Public Utilities Commission 89 Jefferson Blvd. Warwick, RI 02888	Alan.nault@puc.ri.gov;	
	Linda.george@puc.ri.gov;	
	Todd.bianco@puc.ri.gov;	

In Re: 2017 Electric Revenue Decoupling Mechanism Reconciliation Filing Responses to Division's First Set of Data Requests Issued on May 18, 2016

Division 1-1

Request:

In Witness Pieri testimony on page 10, line 11, reference is made in the question regarding the status of the 2015 RDM over-recovery. The ensuing answer discuss a 2015 RDM under-recovery. Please clarify, or correct the testimony if necessary.

Response:

On page 10, line 11 of the testimony, the question should have read as follows: "What is the status of the recovery of the 2015 RDM Year under-recovery?" The response to the question in the testimony is correct.

In Re: 2017 Electric Revenue Decoupling Mechanism Reconciliation Filing Responses to Division's First Set of Data Requests Issued on May 18, 2016

Division 1-2

Request:

What is the difference between the Rate Year Forecast of GWh Deliveries of 7,858.4 shown on page 8, Line 18 ("Total" column), and the Total Rate Year deliveries of 7,852.4 GWh shown on REP-1, Page 3, Line 14?

Response:

The Rate Year Forecast of GWh Deliveries of 7,858.4 shown on page 8, line 18 represents the forecast used in the 2012 Rate Case (Docket 4323) as taken from the sales forecast included in that proceeding. The Total Rate Year deliveries of 7,852.4 GWH shown on Schedule REP-1, Page 3, Line 14, is from the compliance filing from Docket 4323, Book 2, Compliance Attachment 3D, Schedule (JAL-4), Proposed Distribution Rate Design, and represents the kWh deliveries reflected in the rate design in the 2012 Rate Case. The difference of 5,997,979 kWh is due to using actual streetlighting inventory to forecast the streetlighting kWh deliveries for the purpose of rate design.

In Re: 2017 Electric Revenue Decoupling Mechanism Reconciliation Filing Responses to Division's First Set of Data Requests Issued on May 18, 2016

Division 1-3

Request:

On Schedule REP-1 Page 6, Column (9b) is labeled as "Refund". Doesn't column (b) reflect collections from customers, rather than refunds to customers? Please clarify.

Response:

Yes, column (b) should be labeled Charge, rather than Refund. Although the column is mislabeled, the amounts in column (b) and their reduction to the under-recovery balance during the period are correct.

In Re: 2017 Electric Revenue Decoupling Mechanism Reconciliation Filing Responses to Division's First Set of Data Requests Issued on May 18, 2016

Division 1-4

Request:

What type of further analysis exists to support Table 1 ("Components") shown on page 9 of witness Pieri's testimony? Is the analysis of the decline in KWh sales since the rate year forecast in a format that could be provided to the Division in a data response?

Response:

No further analysis exists to support Table 1 ("Components"). However, there is additional backup to the data that was presented. Please see the Excel version of Attachment DIV 1-4 on CD-ROM, which shows the analysis of the decline in GWh sales from the rate year forecast and the backup data used in the analysis.

The Narragansett Electric Company
d/b/a National Grid
RIPUC Docket No.4699
In Re: 2017 Electric Revenue Decoupling
Mechanism Reconciliation Filing
Attachment DIV 1-4
Page 1 of 2

Actual 2017 RDM GWh Variance from Rate Year GWh Estimated Components of Variance

		<u>Total</u>	Residential	Commercial	<u>Industrial</u>
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
(1)	Actual RDM Year Actual	7,441.354	3,051.638	3,654.203	735.513
(2)	Rate Year Forecast	7,858.419	3,113.433	3,871.825	873.161
(3)	Decrease	(417.065)	(61.795)	(217.622)	(137.648)
(4)	Decrease	-5.3%	-2.0%	-5.6%	-15.8%

	Component	Total	Residential	Commercial	Industrial .	
(5)	Energy Efficiency	-8.1%	-8.2%	-8.2%	-8.2%	
(6)	Solar PV	-0.5%	-0.4%	-0.6%	-0.6%	
(7)	Weather	1.3%	1.9%	1.0%	0.7%	
(8)	Days Billed	-0.2%	-0.2%	-0.2%	-0.2%	
(9)	Rate Year Forecast Variance	-0.7%	2.3%	-4.1%	3.3%	
(10)	Underlying Growth	3.0%	2.7%	6.5%	-10.7%	
(11)	Total	-5.3%	-2.0%	-5.6%	-15.8%	

Column and Line Notes:

- (1) (a): Sum of Line (1) columns (b) through (d)
- (1) (b) Page 2, Column (a) Line (4)
- (1) (c) Page 2, Column (b) Line (4) + Page 2, Column (d) Line (4)
- (1) (d) Page 2, Column (c) Line (4)
- (2) (a): Sum of Line (2) columns (b) through (d)
- (2) (b) Page 2, Column (a) Line (1)
- (2) (c) Page 2, Column (b) Line (1) + Page 2, Column (d) Line (1)
- (2) (d) Page 2, Column (c) Line (1)
- (3) Line (1) Line (2)
- (4) Line (3) ÷ Line (2)
- $(5)\ (a)\quad Page\ 2,\ -[Column\ (c),\ Line\ (11)\ -\ Column\ (c),\ Line\ (10)]\ \div\ Column\ (e),\ Line\ (1)$
- $(5)\ (b)\quad Page\ 2,\ -[Column\ (a),\ Line\ (11)\ -\ Column\ (a),\ Line\ (10)]\ \div\ Column\ (a),\ Line\ (1)$
- $(5) (c) \quad Page \ 2, -[Column \ (b), Line \ (11) Column \ (b), Line \ (10)] \\ \div [Column \ (b), Line \ (1) + Column \ (c), Line \ (1)] \\ + (1)$
- (5) (d) Page 2, -[Column (b), Line (11) Column (b), Line (10)] ÷ [Column (b), Line (1) + Column (c), Line (1)]
- (6) (a) Page 2, -[Column (c), Line (14) Column (c), Line 13] ÷ Column (e), Line (1)
- (6) (b) Page 2, -[Column (a), Line (14) Column (a), Line 13] ÷ Column (a), Line (1)
- $(6) \ (c) \quad Page \ 2, \ -[Column \ (b), Line \ (14) \ \ Column \ (b), Line \ (13) \ \div \ [Column \ (b), Line \ (1) \ + \ Column \ (c), Line \ (1)]$
- (6) (d) Page 2, -[Column (b), Line (14) Column (b), Line 13] ÷ [Column (b), Line (1) + Column (c), Line (1)]
- (7) (a) Page 2, [Column (a), Line (22) ÷ Column (b), Line (22)] 1
- (7) (b) Page 2, [Column (a), Line (16) ÷ Column (b), Line (16)] 1
- (7) (c) Page 2, [Column (a), Line (18) ÷ Column (b), Line (18)] 1
- $(7) \ (d) \quad Page \ 2, \left[Column \ (a), Line \ (20) \div Column \ (b), Line \ (20) \right] \text{--} 1$
- (8) Page 2, [Column (a), Line (8) ÷ Column (a), Line (6)] 1
- $(9) \ (a) \quad \text{Page 2, [Column (a), Line (21)} \div \text{Column (b), Line (21)] 1 }$
- $(9)\ (b)\quad Page\ 2, [Column\ (a), Line\ (15) \div Column\ (b), Line\ (15)] 1$
- (9) (c) Page 2, [Column (a), Line (17) ÷ Column (b), Line (17)] 1
- (9) (d) Page 2, [Column (a), Line (19) ÷ Column (b), Line (19)] 1
- (10) Line (11) sum (Lines (5) through (9))
- (11) (a) Page 2, [Column (a), Line (22) ÷ Column (c), Line (21)] 1
- (11) (b) Page 2, [Column (a), Line (16) ÷ Column (c), Line (16)] 1
- $(11)\ (c)\ \ Page\ 2,\ [Column\ (a),\ Line\ (18)\ \div\ Column\ (c),\ Line\ (17)]\ -\ 1$
- (11) (d) Page 2, [Column (a), Line (20) \div Column (c), Line (19)] 1

The Narragansett Electric Company
d/b/a National Grid
RIPUC Docket No. 4699
In Re: 2017 Electric Revenue Decoupling
Mechanism Reconciliation Filing
Attachment DIV 1-4
Page 2 of 2

			GWh			I
	Res	Comm	<u>Ind</u>	SL	<u>Total</u>	
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	
(1) Rate Year Forecast	3,113.433	3,807.848	873.161	63.977	7,858.419	
(2) Rate Year Actual Ended 1/2014	3,183.800	3,655.423	902.253	59.152	7,800.628	
(3) Rate Year Weather-Normalized	3,157.336	3,644.477	901.408	59.152	7,762.373	
(4) RDM Year Actual Ended Mar 2017	3,051.638	3,612.323	735.513	41.880	7,441.354	
(5) RDM Year Weather-Normalized	2,994.779	3,577.920	730.554	41.880	7,345.133	
	Days Billed					
(6) Rate Year Forecast	365.37					
(7) Rate Year	365.45					
(8) RDM Year	364.55					
	Cumulative Energy	Efficiency (EE) Savings				
	Res	C&I	Total			
(9) Rate Year Forecast	244.693	734.591	979.284 (as filed)		
(10) Rate Year Actual	332.667	613.333			m fall 2016 RI Forecast report pdf, pp.	
(11) RDM Year Actual	588.750	997.500	1,586.250 (as calculated from	m fall 2016 RI Forecast report pdf, pp.	134-135)
CumulativeSolar (PV) Savings						
	Res	C&I	<u>Total</u>			
(12) Rate Year Forecast	0.000	0.000	0.000			
(13) Rate Year Actual	0.083	10.583	10.667			
(14) RDM Year Actual	11.000	38.500	49.500			
	GWh					
	Residential					
	<u>Actual</u>	Weather-Normalized	Rate Year Forecast			
(15) Rate Year Ending Jan 2014	3,183.800	3,157.336	3,113.433			
(16) RDM Year Ending Mar 2017	3,051.638	2,994.779				
	Commercial					
	Actual	Weather-Normalized	Rate Year Forecast			
(17) Rate Year Ending Jan 2014	3,714.575	3,703.629	3,871.825			
(18) RDM Year Ending Mar 2017	3,654.203	3,619.800				
	Industrial					
	Actual	Weather-Normalized	Rate Year Forecast			
(19) Rate Year Ending Jan 2014	902.253	901.408	873.161			
(20) RDM Year Ending Mar 2017	735.513	730.554				
	T 1					
	Total	Weather-Normalized	Pata Vaar Earagest			
(21) Rate Year Ending Jan 2014	Actual 7,800.628	7,762.373	Rate Year Forecast 7,858.419			
(22) RDM Year Ending Mar 2017	7,441.354	7,762.373	7,030.419			
(22) North Four Ending Man 2017	7,441.554	7,545.155				

In Re: 2017 Electric Revenue Decoupling Mechanism Reconciliation Filing Responses to Division's First Set of Data Requests Issued on May 18, 2016

Division 1-5

Request:

Does the 8.2% decline in Industrial sales attributable to Energy Efficiency take into account Toray's self-generation through its CHP units?

Response:

Yes, reduction in Industrial sales takes into account Toray's self-generation although Toray's CHP units began in December of 2014 with a 12.5 MW nameplate capacity. This is included in the Energy Efficiency numbers.

In Re: 2017 Electric Revenue Decoupling Mechanism Reconciliation Filing Responses to Division's First Set of Data Requests Issued on May 18, 2016

Division 1-6

Request:

In Table 1 on page 9, what is meant by the term "Rate Year Forecast Variance" and what do the percentages shown in that row represent? Please provide the same information for the term "Underlying Growth" as it is used in that table.

Response:

The row labeled "Rate Year Forecast Variance" is the difference between the rate year forecasted kWh deliveries for the 12-month period ending January 2014 upon which the current base distribution rates are based, and the actual kWh deliveries for the same period. In total, the kWh deliveries in the Rate Year were 0.7% lower than the forecasted kWh deliveries for the rate year.

The row labeled "underlying growth" is the variance due to growth (or decline) of the customer class as a whole. For example in the industrial customer class, negative underlying growth reflects the continued decline of manufacturing activity in Rhode Island. This downturn in the manufacturing environment in Rhode Island has led to a 10.7% decline in the kWh deliveries for the industrial customer class during this RDM year as compared to the rate year forecast.