KEOUGH & SWEENEY, LTD.

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW 41 MENDON AVENUE PAWTUCKET, RHODE ISLAND 02861 **TELEPHONE** (401) 724-3600 **FACSIMILE** (401) 724-9909 www.keoughsweeney.com

> JOSEPH A. KEOUGH JR.* JEROME V. SWEENEY III*

> > SEAN P. KEOUGH* STACI L. KOLB

JEROME V. SWEENEY II OF COUNSEL

*ADMITTED TO PRACTICE IN RHODE ISLAND & MASSACHUSETTS BOSTON OFFICE: 171 MILK STREET SUITE 28 BOSTON, MA 02109 TEL. (617) 574-0054 FAX (617) 451-1914

September 12, 2016

Ms. Luly Massaro, Clerk Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission 89 Jefferson Boulevard Warwick, RI 02888

Re: The Narragansett Electric Co. d/b/a National Grid - Docket 4627

Dear Ms. Massaro:

Enclosed please find an original and nine (9) copies of the following:

1. The Response of NextEra Energy Resources, LLC to the Division of Public Utilities and Carriers' Second Set of Data Requests.

Please note that an electronic copy of this document has been sent to the service list.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Jough all ph Jr

Joseph A. Keough, Jr.

JAK/kf Enclosure cc: Docket 4627 Service List (*via electronic mail*)

RAYNHAM OFFICE: 90 NEW STATE HIGHWAY RAYNHAM, MA 02109 TEL. (508) 822-2813

NEER-DPUC-2-1

Request:

2-1 Regarding NEER's response to DIV-1-10:

- a) In the attachment, do the values shown under Electric Energy Benefits for each case modeled represent the change in LSE energy costs due to the ANE project for all six New England states combined? If not, please describe in detail what these benefits represent and the geographic area that these benefits apply to.
- b) Please provide the changes in LSE energy costs for the Rhode Island load zone, based upon the impact of the ANE project on Rhode Island Load zone LMPs.
- c) Do the tables provided in this response include the increase in capacity costs that were included in Table 2 of the Massachusetts testimony of Mssrs. Kalt & Cavicchi? If not, please explain why not.
- d) In the text of the response, it states that "Note that the results presented in Attachment DPUC 4627-1-10 (HSCI) rely on an incorrect application of benefit and cost discount rates and cannot be relied upon to reach conclusions regarding the costs and benefits of National Grid's ANE proposal". Please identify all discount rates and other assumptions included in these calculations that Mssrs. Kalt & Cavicchi believe are incorrectly applied. Provide the discount rates and other assumptions Mssrs. Kalt & Cavicchi believe are correct and provide a revised version of the attachment with the correct application of discount rates and other assumptions.

Response:

a) Yes, the response to DIV-1-10 and the corresponding attachment represent all six New England states.

b) Our electricity modeling analysis uses a zonal modeling structure that does not estimate Rhode Island load zone LMPs. The modeling analysis estimates zonal prices for various regions of New England (See NEER Response to National Grid's Second Set of Information Request, NG-NEER-2-13, MA D.P.U. Docket 16-05, July 28, 2015). Thus, we are unable to provide the changes in LSE energy costs for the Rhode Island load zone

c) No. As explained in our Surrebuttal Testimony in Massachusetts D.P.U. Docket No. 16-05 at page 25: "We mistakenly included our measure of capacity market cost impacts in the social cost-benefit analysis. It properly reflects the increased amount that will have to be paid in capacity markets under National Grid's ANE proposal, but the cost in terms of societies' scarce resources of providing the subject capacity would not be altered. We note that our adverse capacity market price impacts are relatively small and our bottom line conclusion that National Grid's proposed ANE project is a loser does not change if we exclude these impacts from either the social or ratepayer perspective cost-benefit analyses." Thus, Table 2 has been revised in our Surrebuttal Testimony and no longer includes a capacity market cost impact.

d) Please see Attachment DPUC 4627 2-1(HSCI). This attachment provides Table 5 from our Direct Testimony in Massachusetts D.P.U. Docket No. 16-05. Table 5 properly evaluates the estimated energy cost savings assumed to be passed through to retail electricity customers, estimated capacity market cost impacts, retail electric ratepayer costs associated with payment of the ANE tariff, and the use of appropriate discount rates.

The benefits in Table 5 reflect the net present value of the estimated reduced electricity costs to retail electricity ratepayers. The costs in Table 5 reflect the certain costs that would be incurred by retail electricity ratepayers to pay the ANE tariff. The discount rates we use to place these cash flows on a present value basis are explained in our Direct Testimony in Massachusetts D.P.U. Docket No. 16-05.

First, when selecting the discount rate for estimated retail ratepayer benefits, we explain in our Direct Testimony in Massachusetts D.P.U. Docket No. 16-05 at 117-118: "The fact and magnitude, if any, of hoped-for ratepayer benefits from suppression of wholesale electricity prices in New England depend upon the evolution over a 20-year horizon of such matters as natural gas and oil prices, electricity demand in New England and beyond, and the technologies of the various means of generating electricity. As a result, National Grid's proposal subjects hoped-for ratepayer benefits to risks that are driven by factors similar to those that a merchant generation facility would face, although the degree of uncertainty, depending on limited, extreme winter-time events is greater than those facing a merchant generator overall. Accordingly, we conservatively select a discount rate associated with the risk that wholesale power market merchant generators face based on ISO-NE's most recent analysis of merchant generator wholesale market risk." Table 5 uses a 9% discount rate to discount the estimated annual retail electric ratepayer electricity cost reductions.

Next, when selecting the discount rate for estimated retail ratepayer costs, we explain in our Direct Testimony in Massachusetts D.P.U. Docket No. 16-05 at 119-120: "Any discount rate can be decomposed into two components: a pure time value of money component and a risk component. The pure time value of money is measured by the risk-free rate of interest. Today, that is approximately 2% looking over a 20-year period. Now, consider the risk component. What is uncertain or risky about whether ratepayers would have to pay at least the \$526 million per year that National Grid requests under the ANE contracts? Certainly, the risk is not related to National Grid's management of an investment used to serve customers, because National Grid cannot use the capacity facilitated by the contracts..." "In fact, the very reason that National

State of Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket # 4627 Response of NextEra Energy Resources to DPUC's Second Set of Data Requests

Grid says the LGTSC [ANE] tariff is needed is because National Grid says the investors need certainty of payment in order to be induced to make the investment in the ANE project [See Massachusetts D.P.U. Docket No. 16-05 Exhibit NG-MJV-1at 2]. In other words, it is elimination of risk by having retail customers paying for the project that is the essence of the proposal before the DPU. Thus, the only identifiable uncertainty is that maybe someday the regulators will relieve ratepayers from having to pay the full \$526+ million per year. We, thus, employ a discount rate of 3.75% consistent with high-grade corporate bond debt yield, and a level greater than that for a "riskless" treasury bond of similar term." In calculating Table 5 we applied a 3.75% discount rate for the certain costs borne by retail ratepayers. This rate is supported by National Grid's own expert, Dr. Vilbert, who states that: "it is imperative that the Department provide the most rigorous assurance of full cost recovery for the duration of the Proposed Agreement, so that a zero or near-zero risk-factor is appropriate"(See Massachusetts D.P.U. Docket No. 16-05, Exhibit NG-MJV-1 at 28).

Prepared by or under the supervision of: A. Joseph Cavicchi and Joseph P. Kalt

Attachment DPUC 4627 2-1(REDACTED).pdf CASE: Futures Market Forecasts

	Benefits	Estimated Costs
Change in Electricity		
Production Costs		
Electric Energy	\$3,471	
Electric Capacity		-\$229
Pipeline Cost		-\$5,892
Total Present Value	\$3,471	-\$6,121
Total Net Present Value		-\$2,650

REDACTED

CASE: Modeled Market Forecasts

	Benefits	Estimated Costs
Change in Electricity		
Production Costs		
Electric Energy	\$5,557	
Electric Capacity		-\$305
Pipeline Cost		-\$5,892
Total Present Value	\$5,557	-\$6,196
Total Net Present Value		-\$640

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that on September 12, 2016, I sent a copy of the within to all parties set forth on the attached Service List by electronic mail and copies to Luly Massaro, Commission Clerk, by electronic mail and regular mail.

Name & Company	E-mail	Phone
The Narragansett Electric Co. d/b/a	JHabib@keeganwerlin.com;	617-951-1400
National Grid	JBuno@keeganwerlin.com;	
John K. Habib, Esq.	Jennifer.hutchinson@nationalgrid.com	
Keegan Werlin LLC	;	
265 Franklin St.	Joanne.scanlon@nationalgrid.com;	
Boston MA 02110-3113	Timothy.brennan@nationalgrid.com;	
	Ann.leary@nationalgrid.com;	
Jennifer Hutchinson, Esq.	John.allocca@nationalgrid.com;	
National Grid	Jessica.vongsa@nationalgrid.com;	
280 Melrose St.	Jeremy.newberger@nationalgrid.com;	
Providence, RI 02907	Mike.calviou@nationalgrid.com;	
National Grid Algonquin LLC	celia.obrien@nationalgrid.com;	781-907-2153
Celia O'Brien		
Mary Coleman	mary.coleman@nationalgrid.com;	781-907-2132
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Division of Public Utilities & Carriers	Jon.hagopian@dpuc.ri.gov;	401-784-4775
Jon Hagopian, Esq.		
Steve Scialabba	Steve.scialabba@dpuc.ri.gov;	
Richard Hahn	rhahn@daymarkea.com;	
Daymark Energy Associates	<u>inamie udymarked.com</u> ,	617-778-2467
		401 574 0110
Office of Energy Resources (OER)	Andrew.Marcaccio@doa.ri.gov;	401-574-9113
Andrew Marcaccio, Esq.		
Dept. of Administration		
Division of Legal Services		
One Capitol Hill, 4 th Floor		
Providence, RI 02908	Nishalas Usai@ananas si ass	
Nicholas Usei OED	Nicholas.Ucci@energy.ri.gov;	
Nicholas Ucci, OER	Christopher.kearns@energy.ri.gov;	
Rebecca Bachelder (Consultant)	rbachelder@bflame.com;	
CJ Meeske (Consultant)	CJMeeske@EMDEC.net;	
Ellen Cool (Consultant)	egc@levitan.com;	

Office of Lt. Governor	Michael@McElroyLawOffice.com;	401-351-4100
Mike McElroy, Esq.		
Leah Donaldson, Es		
Schacht & McElroy	Leah@McElroyLawOffice.com;	-
PO Box 6721	,	
Providence, RI 02940-6721		
	jfarley316@hotmail.com;	954-575-2212
John Farley (Consultant)	<u>,,</u>	
Dept. of Environmental Mgmt.	mary.kay@dem.ri.gov;	401-222-6607
Mary Kay, Esq.		Ext. 2304
Commerce Corporation	Tcarlotto@shslawfirm.com;	401-272-1400
Thomas Carlotto, Esq.		
Shechtman Halperin Savage, LLP		
NextEra Energy Resources (NextEra)	jkeoughjr@keoughsweeney.com;	401-724-3600
Joseph A. Keough, Jr., Esq.		
Keough & Sweeney		
41 Mendon Ave.		
Pawtucket, RI 02861		
Amie Jamieson, Senior Attorney	Amie.Jamieson@nee.com;	
NextEra Energy Resources, LL		
Algonquin Gas Transmission, LLC	<u>dhorton@rc.com;</u>	401-709-3352
Dana Horton, Esq.		
Steven J. Boyajian, Esq.	sboyajian@rc.com;	
Robinson & Cole LLP	/	
One Financial Plaza	jmiranda@rc.com;	-
Suite 1430	jiinianda e re.com,	
Providence, RI 02903		
Jennifer R. Rinker, Esq.	Jrinker@spectraenergy.com;	
Algonquin Gas Transmission, LLC		
5400 Westheimer Court		
Houston, TX 77056		
Exelon Generation Co., LLC (ExGen)	Melissa.lauderdale@exeloncorp.com;	410-470-3582
Melissa Lauderdale, Esq.		
Exelen Business Services, Co., LLC		
100 Constellation Way, Suite 500C		
Baltimore, MD 21202		

James William Litsey, Esq.	Jlitsey@mcguirewoods.com;	704-343-2337
McGuireWoods LLP		
Fifth Third Center		
201 North Tryon St., Suite 3000		
Charlotte, NC 28202		
Repsol Energy North American Corp.	wdolan@dbslawfirm.com;	401-454-0400
William M. Dolan, Esq.		
Donoghue Barrett & Singal, P.C.		
One Cedar Street, Suite 300		
Providence, RI 02903		
Robert Neustaedter Director-Regulatory	Robert.neustaedter@repsol.com;	832-442-1548
Affairs		
Carolynn Mayhew, Esq.	Carolynn.mayhew@repsol.com;	832-442-1533
Repsol Energy North American Corp.	<u>Carorynni.inaynew@repsoi.com</u> ,	052-442-1555
2455 Technology Forest Blvd.		
The Woodlands, Texas 77381		
Conservation Law Foundation (CLF)	jelmer@clf.org;	401-351-1102
Jerry Elmer, Esq.		Ext. 2012
Max Greene, Esq.	Mgreene@clf.org;	_
Conservation Law Foundation	<u>Ingreene e entorg.</u>	
55 Dorrance Street		
Providence, RI 02903		
Megan Herzog	MHerzog@clf.org;	617-850-1727
Conservation Law Foundation		
62 Summer Street		
Boston, MA 02110-1008		
The Energy Council of RI (TEC-RI)	doug@tecri.org;	401 741-5101
Doulas Gablinske, Executive Director		
The Energy Council of RI	spryan@eplaw.necoxmail.com;	401-289-0184
S. Paul Ryan, Esq.		
201 Washington Rd.		
Barrington, RI 02806		
Public Utilities Commission (PUC)	Luly.massaro@puc.ri.gov;	401-780-2107
Luly E. Massaro, Commission Clerk	Cynthia.wilsonfrias@puc.ri.gov;	
Public Utilities Commission	Alan.nault@puc.ri.gov;	
89 Jefferson Blvd.	Todd.bianco@puc.ri.gov;	
Warwick, RI 02888		
Interested Persons		
Linda George, Esq., Senate Policy Office	LGeorge@rilegislature.gov;	401-276-5563

Patricia French, Esq. Bernstein Shur	Tfrench@bernsteinshur.com;	207 228-7288
Todd, Bohan	TBOHAN@spragueenergy.com;	
Sprague Energy		

Jough all ph Jr

Joseph A. Keough, Jr., Esquire # 4925 KEOUGH & SWEENEY, LTD. 41 Mendon Avenue Pawtucket, RI 02861 (401) 724-3600 (phone) (401) 724-9909 (fax) jkeoughjr@keoughsweeney.com