The Narragansett Electric Company

d/b/a National Grid (Aquidneck Island Reliability Project)

RIPUC Dkt. No. 4614

Rebuttal Testimony of

Endrit Fiku, P.E.

August 24, 2016

- 1 Q. Please state your full name and business address.
- 2 A. My name is Endrit Fiku. My business address is 40 Sylvan Road, Waltham,
- 3 Massachusetts 02451.
- 4 Q. Have you previously filed testimony in this matter?
- 5 A. Yes, I filed prefiled testimony in this docket on June 28, 2016.
- 6 Q. Have you reviewed Mr. Cabral's testimony filed on behalf of the Town of Middletown?
- 7 A. Yes. I have reviewed Mr. Cabral's testimony including his comments on our initial
- 8 stormwater plans for the proposed Jepson Substation.
- 9 Q. Has National Grid responded to Mr. Cabral's comments?
- 10 A. We are in the process of revisiting our initial work with the anticipation of responding to
- Mr. Cabral's comments during the Town of Portsmouth Planning Board meeting
- scheduled for September 14, 2016.
- Q. Did you have any comments on Mr. Cabral's alternative analysis?
- 14 A. Yes. We recently submitted a response to Division Data Request No. R-II-1 which
- expands upon the alternative site analysis that was performed for the new Jepson
- Substation. A copy of this analysis is attached to Daniel McIntyre's Rebuttal testimony
- as Attachment DM-1.
- 18 Q. In Mr. Cabral's testimony he notes that according to three studies and the Environmental
- 19 Report it is possible to operate and maintain the existing substation in its current location.
- Is this is an accurate reading of the studies and report?
- A. No. The quoted sections of the studies and report that state it is possible to operate and
- 22 maintain the existing substation in this location are not addressing whether it is possible

The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National Grid Aquidneck Island Reliability Project RIPUC Dkt. No. 4614

Witness: Endrit Fiku, P.E.

| 1 | | to upgrade or build a new substation at the existing location. The Project requires a new |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | substation for the upgraded 61 and 62 transmission lines and to address asset conditions |
| 3 | | issues at the existing substation. For the reasons summarized in detail in Attachment 1F |
| 4 | | to National Grid's Responses to Division Data Request No. R-II-1, the reuse of the |
| 5 | | existing substation parcel is not a feasible option. |
| 6 | Q. | In Mr. Cabral's testimony he notes that the projected sound levels are close to the |
| 7 | | maximum amount permitted under the Town of Middletown Ordinance. Is National Grid |
| 8 | | proposing to do anything to mitigate the projected sound levels? |
| 9 | A. | Yes. National Grid originally proposed including the screening wall to help mitigate the |
| 10 | | visual impact of the substation from the southern abutters. National Grid is in the process |
| 11 | | of examining different wall configurations that would benefit the surrounding area by |
| 12 | | reducing the projected sound levels as measured from outside of the substation. This |
| 13 | | analysis is in process and we expect to have additional information available in |
| 14 | | September. |
| 15 | Q. | Does this conclude your testimony? |
| 16 | A. | Yes, it does. |