

PETER V. LACOUTURE

One Financial Plaza, Suite 1430
Providence, RI 02903-2485
Direct (401) 709-3314
Fax (401) 709-3377
placouture@rc.com

Via Hand Delivery

September 22, 2016

Luly E. Massaro
Commission Clerk
Public Utilities Commission
89 Jefferson Boulevard
Warwick, RI 02888

**Re: The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National Grid
Aquidneck Island Reliability Project
PUC Docket No. 4614**

Dear Luly:

I would like to draw your attention to a quotation error in the recently filed Objection to and Motion to Strike Portions of Town of Middletown's Testimony of Steven M. Cabral or in the Alternative Motion for a Postponement of the Public Hearing (the "Motion"). The error is found on page 3 of the Motion in the quotation of Rule 1.22(a). The incorrect text was from the following page of the Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission website, <http://www.ripuc.org/generalinfo/commrules.html>. The following is a markup highlighting the corrections to the quoted text in the Motion:

In all proceedings wherein evidence is taken, irrelevant, immaterial or unduly repetitious evidence shall be excluded. While the rules of evidence as applied in civil cases in the Superior Courts of this state shall be followed to the extent practicable, the Commission shall not be bound by technical evidentiary rules, and, when necessary to ascertain facts not reasonably susceptible of proof under the rules, evidence not otherwise admissible may be submitted, unless precluded by statute, if it is of a type commonly relied upon by reasonably prudent ~~men~~ persons in the conduct of their affairs. The rules of privilege recognized by law shall apply. Objections to evidentiary offers may be made and shall be noted in the record.

The following is a clean version of the replacement text:

In all proceedings wherein evidence is taken, irrelevant, immaterial or unduly repetitious evidence shall be excluded. While the rules of evidence as applied in civil cases in the Superior Courts of this state shall be followed to the extent practicable, the Commission shall not be bound by technical evidentiary rules, and, when necessary to ascertain facts not reasonably susceptible of proof under the rules, evidence not otherwise admissible may be submitted, unless precluded by statute, if it is of a type commonly relied upon by reasonably prudent persons in the conduct of their affairs. The rules of privilege recognized by law shall apply. Objections to evidentiary offers may be made and shall be noted in the record.

Robinson+Cole

Luly E. Massaro
September 22, 2016
Page 2

Thank you.

Sincerely,


(bl.)

Peter V. Lacouture

Enclosures

Copy to: Cynthia Wilson-Frias, Esq. (*via hand delivery*)
PUC Docket No. 4614 Service list (*via e-mail*)