GENERAL RATE FILING

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY & EXHIBITS OF ELDA GIL

March 3, 2014

Submitted to:

State of Rhode Island and Providence Plantations Public Utilities Commission

RIPUC Docket No. 4434

Submitted by:

United Water Rhode Island Inc.

1	Q.	State your name and business address.
2	A.	My name is Elda Gil and my business address is 200 Old Hook Road,
3		Harrington Park, New Jersey 07640.
4	Q.	Are you the same Elda Gil who previously testified on behalf of United?
5		Water Rhode Island, Inc. (UWRI or the Company)?
6	A.	Yes I am.
7	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
8	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to address several operation and maintenance expense
9		adjustments made by Mr. Thomas S. Catlin, witness for the Division of Public Utilities, in the
10		following areas:
11		 Incentive compensation
12		Power
13		Transportation
14		 Outside services-Hydrants Painting
15		 Property Taxes
16	Q.	Are there any adjustments that UWRI is not contesting?
17	A.	Yes. UWRI is not contesting adjustments relating to:
18		 Percentage of Wages and Benefits Charged to Expense
19		 Fringe Benefits transferred out
20		■ Chemicals
21		 PEBOB Amortization of ITO
22		 Outside Services-Testing Wells
23		 Outside Services- Well Rehabilitation
24		 Inflation Adjustments

1 **Incentive Compensation**

- 2 Q. Please summarize the Division's incentive compensation recommendation.
- 3 A. The Division proposes to lower the Company's projected payroll expense adjusting the 4 incentive compensation amounts paid to UWRI's exempt employees that are directly 5 associated with meeting financial performance goals. The Division reduced the full target 6 incentive compensation percentages under the Company's Short Term Incentive Plan (STIP), 7 for the Manager from 15% to 7.5%, the Superintendent from 10% to 5% and the Supervisor 8 Office from 5% to 3%. Also for the Management and Services (M&S) fee billed to the 9 Company by United Water Management and Services (UWM&S) which includes incentive 10 compensation paid proposes to lower by 40% the portion for STIP and by 100% the Long Term Incentive Plan (LTIP).

12

13

11

- Why did the Division eliminate the financial portion of the STIP and LTIP? Q.
- 14 A. It is Mr. Catlin's premise that this portion of benefits is not properly recoverable from 15 ratepayers for several reasons. First, if the financial goals are set properly, achieving the 16 necessary performance should be self-supporting. That is, measures that achieve additional 17 cost saving, improve sales, or otherwise improve the financial results of the Company should 18 provide the income necessary to fund the awards. Second, the payouts are made independent 19 of the quality of service, efficiency or safety goals. Finally, the incentive to improve financial 20 performance is not necessarily consistent with ratepayer's interests.

21

- 22 Do you agree with the adjustment to the financial portion of the STIP and LTIP? Q.
- 23 A. No, I do not.

A.

Q. Why do you think the incentive compensation costs should not be eliminated?

First, incentive compensation plans are a common and accepted component for many Companies that allow them to attract and retain talented and capable employees. Additionally tying pay to performance can reduce costs and improve productivity; incentive compensation is an important tool that allows the Company to focus on employee's efforts in achieving specific goals and rewarding higher performance; and incentive plans align the interests of all involved, specifically, the Company's employees, shareholders, and its customers.

What Mr. Catlin fails to consider in his arguments is the Company's incentive plans support the continuation of the Company's workforce efficiency initiatives in providing a utility service at the lowest reasonable cost. The customers benefit in receiving more efficient service at significant cost reductions which they have already benefited from in the form of delayed rate increases and lower rates, and will continue to benefit in the form of a reduced revenue requirement going forward; and the employees benefit through personal recognition and financial rewards for their efforts.

The incentive plans at UWRI are based on both financial and non-financial measures. The plans are based on employee's achievement of personal goals and to a lesser extent on financial objectives. For the Short Term Incentive Plan (STIP), as part of the Performance and Development Review (PDR) process, employees have specific annual objectives that support the attainment of departmental or organizational objectives. These objectives form the basis for the personal objective portion of the incentive and are based upon eligible employee's job/salary grade. The Company appreciated Mr. Catlin's recognition of this portion of the STIP. The smaller portion of the plan that relates to the financial performance of the Company is a necessary component that must meet a minimum level in order to ensure that earnings were adequate to authorize the payment. The payment can only be made if 80% of the

United Water Rhode Island, Inc. Rebuttal Testimony of Elda Gil

financial goals of the Company are met. These plans are therefore clearly not solely financially driven. Structured this way, and since this plan's implementation, the Company has always paid this compensation pursuant to the plan. The amount requested in the rate filing is assuming average financial performance by the Company. If the Company exceeds average performance, as rated by these financial measures, then employees can earn above their target payout. These costs above the target payout are paid by UWRI's shareholders, not customers and are not included in the Company's filing.

A.

Q. What is your recommendation for the incentive compensation cost?

The Company believes it is time to recognize these best efforts and allow recovery of incentive pay since it is directly tied to quantifiable customer benefits. Therefore, Division's \$13,341 reduction to labor expense, and \$14,116 reduction to M&S incentives should be rejected. The Company's original amounts of \$27,356 for incentives and \$23,772 for the portion of UWM&S costs representing incentives respectively should be adopted.

A.

16 Power

Q. Please summarize the recommendation from Division for Power expense

The Division proposes five adjustments. These adjustments are referenced on Exhibit 3(Gil), Schedule 4A Rebuttal. First (Adj. 1), for Power account 50610 to separate the account for water production and non-production related power. Second (Adj. 2), to estimate the power usage based in the proposed water production by the Division. Third (Adj. 3), to apply the new rates for commodity per contract for Constellation Energy for 2014 and for distribution the average rate from 2012 and 2013 paid to National Grid. Fourth (Adj. 4), to add back the amount paid to National Grid for commodity for the small sites non-production related. And

United Water Rhode Island, Inc. Rebuttal Testimony of Elda Gil

fifth (Adj. 5), for Other Utilities Power account 50620 to adjust the 2011 cost downward to exclude \$12,659 incurred for additional fuel and diesel for the generator due to power outages caused by Hurricane Irene. The other adjustments are to update the average cost of three years including the most recent year 2013 and to exclude the inflation adjustment. Total upward adjustment to Power \$10,129 from the Company original filing.

Q. Do you agree with the proposed adjustment?

A. I agree with the first and second adjustment.

The third adjustment is updating the rates for Constellation Energy and National Grid; for the distribution prices from National Grid the Division is updating the price based in average calculation of the prices paid in 2012 and 2013, I am not agreeing with this part since the Company provided the most recent actual prices paid in response to "DIV 4-10 updated" and the average price for 2013 was \$0.05211 per kWh instead of \$0.04918 proposed by the Division.

The fourth adjustment where he is adding back the amount paid to National Grid for commodity for the small sites non-production related power is missing to add back the part related to distribution. Also in Schedule TSC-12 even that shows as adding back the amount of \$8,175 in the total net adjustment is not considered and the proposed adjustment in the Schedule should be \$26,206 instead of \$18,031.

For the fifth adjustment the Company agrees with the updated cost average including the most recent three years data, but doesn't agree with the exclusion of the storm cost in 2011 and the exclusion of the inflation adjustment. The Company has seen an increase in natural events happening in average at least one per year and its unreasonable to exclude any cost relate to storms. During these storm events the Company has maintained service to its

United Water Rhode Island, Inc. Rebuttal Testimony of Elda Gil

- customers and should not be penalized. The way the Company originally filed represents a normalization of three years. Regarding the inflation adjustment proposed by Mr. Catlin, the Company is adjusting by the factor proposed by the Division.
- 4 Q. What are you proposing for a Power expense based upon your previous discussion?
- 5 A. I am proposing an upward adjustment of \$33,816 as shown in Exhibit 3 (Gil) Schedule 4 and 4A Rebuttal, instead of the proposed adjustment by the Division of \$10,129.

7

8

Transportation

9 Q. Please summarize the recommendation from Division for Transportation expense

- 10 A. The Division proposes three adjustments. First is the adjustment for inflation to reflect the
 11 most recent data available. Second, to eliminate \$7,798 from the 2012 expenses related to
 12 trailer and truck repairs and third to adjust the portion of transportation cost charged to
 13 construction based on the percentages of wages capitalized.
- 14 Q. Do you agree with the proposed adjustment?
- I agree with the first and third adjustment. I am not agreeing to the second adjustment that eliminates \$7,798 for trailer and truck repairs but accepts the normalization of three years of the backhoe repairs. The trailer and truck repairs were included in 2012 and because of taking a three year average of the transportation account in effect results in an amortization over three years for the trailer expenses. The Company filed and the Division accepted the three year average for the Backhoe repairs. The Trailer repairs are no different and therefore should be allowed.

22

23

Q. What are you proposing?

		Rebuttal Testimony of Elda Gil
1	A.	I am proposing 3 years normalization of the \$7,798 for trailer and truck repair included in
2		2012 or \$2,599 every year, reflected in Exhibit 3 (Gil) Schedule 10 Rebuttal. This is
3		essentially reflected in the as-filed amount since the actual amounts, including the trailer was
4		averaged over three years. My proposal specifically identifies the trailer amortization.
5		
6		Outside Services-Hydrant Painting
7	Q.	Did the Division make any adjustments to Outside Services-Hydrant Painting?
8	A.	Yes, there was a reduction of \$5,000 of the \$10,000 for Outside Services - Hydrant Painting
9		expense.
0	Q.	Why did the Division make this adjustment?
1	A.	Mr. Catlin stated that the Company has not done hydrant painting previously and has no
2		experience as to how well this program will work.
3	Q.	Do you agree with the proposed adjustment?
4	A.	No, I do not. Part of the maintenance program of the Company includes hydrant painting to
5		enhance the appearance and improve visibility of foded and weathered by dreate. The Commence

1

1 to 15 enhance the appearance and improve visibility of faded and weathered hydrants. The Company had been doing it with staff but the hydrant painting is falling behind and will need summer 16 17 help to catch back up over the next few summers.

18 Q. What are you proposing?

23

I am proposing that the \$5,000 reduction to Outside Services- Hydrant Painting reflected by 19 A. 20 Mr. Catlin be added back and the Company's proposed cost of service amount for this 21 category be accepted.

22 **Property Taxes**

Q. Please summarize Division's adjustment to Property Taxes expense

United Water Rhode Island, Inc. Rebuttal Testimony of Elda Gil

A. Mr. Catlin recommends that the growth rate in property tax expense be based on three year average annual growth rate from 2010 to 2013 to maintain consistency with the procedure used in the last case, as well as the general practice in this case of relying on cost data from 2010 to 2013. This result in a reduction adjustment of \$8,192 from what the Company claims.

5

6 Q. Do you agree with the proposed adjustment?

No, I do not. While I agree in the use of consistent methodology to project the costs for the rate year, using a four-year average produces a more comparable result than the three-year average used in prior case when compared to the 2013 actual bills. The actual property taxes from 2012 to 2013 increased 8.57% and the Company's adjustment produced a normalized increase of 7.28% which is somewhat lower than the actual. Mr. Catlin only uses a 4.49% increase which is considerably lower.

13

14

Q. What are you proposing?

- 15 A. I am proposing that the \$8,192 reduction to Property Taxes reflected by Mr. Catlin be added 16 back and the Company's proposed amount for this category be accepted.
- 17 Q. Does the Company have a revised operation and maintenance expenses total?
- Yes. The total operation and maintenance expense proposed by the Company in its original filing was \$2,301,468. As a result of the adjustments noted in my rebuttal testimony above, the revised total for operation and maintenance expenses is \$2,266,440. Please refer to Exhibit 3 (Gil), Schedule 1 Rebuttal, for the computation of this amount and the supporting Schedules 2, 2A, 3, 4, 4A, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 15A and 17.
- 23 Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
- A. Yes it does.

United Water Rhode Island, Inc. Summary of Utility Proposed Adjustments at Current and Proposed Rates For the Test Year Ending December 31, 2012 and Rate Year at Present and Proposed Rates

		Company's Original Filing								Adjustments		Г	Company Revised		
			Τe	est Year		•		Ra	te Ye	ear			-	Rate	Year
Line No.	Elements of Operating Expenses	Exh 3 Sch No.	12/	/31/2012	Adj	ustments	Pre	esent Rates	Pro	posed Rates		Rebuttal [1]		Preser	nt Rates
1	Operation and Maintenance Expenses:														
2	Wages and Salaries	Sch 2 Rebuttal	\$	571,205	\$	28,353	\$	599,558	\$	599,558	\$	(15,952)			583,606
3	Fringe Benefits Transferred	Sch 3 Rebuttal		(81,949)		10,886		(71,063)		(71,063)		(10,169)			(81,233)
4	Power Expense	Sch 4 Rebuttal		235,296		7,331		242,627		242,627		33,816			276,442
5	Chemical Expense	Sch 5 Rebuttal		48,876		3,859		52,735		52,735		(9,043)			43,692
6	Pension Expense			138,662		(3,527)		135,135		135,135		-			135,135
7	PEBOP Expense	Sch 7 Rebuttal		70,196		(11,896)		58,300		58,300		(2,557)			55,744
8	Employee Health and Welfare Expense	Sch 8 Rebuttal		152,748		35,382		188,130		188,130		(59)			188,071
9	Tank Painting Amortization			110,040		(66,657)		43,383		43,383		-			43,383
10	Transportation/Vehicle Expense	Sch 10 Rebuttal		68,217		7,112		75,329		75,329		(2,776)			72,553
11	Insurance Expense	Sch 11 Rebuttal		29,561		22,153		51,714		51,714		(203)			51,511
12	Customer Information/Billing Expense	Sch 12 Rebuttal		56,375		2,191		58,566		58,566		(502)			58,064
13	Rate Case Expense			68,737		158,242		226,979		226,979		-			226,979
14	Rent Expense			29,939		(4,139)		25,800		25,800		-			25,800
15	Outside Services Expense	Sch 15 Rebuttal		307,769		67,671		375,440		375,440		(26,316)			349,123
16	Regulatory Commission Expense			13,558		(2,064)		11,494		16,390		-			11,494
17	Other Operation and Maintenance Expense	Sch 17 Rebuttal		219,498		7,845		227,343		227,343		(1,267)			226,076
18	Total Operation and Maintenance Expenses		\$:	2,038,728	\$	262,741	\$	2,301,468	\$	2,306,364	\$	(35,028)		\$	2,266,440
19	Taxes other than Income														
20	Property Tax Expense		\$	274,768	\$	40,256	\$	315,024	\$	315,024					315,024
21	Payroll Tax Expense			56,082		3,183		59,265		59,265					59,265
22	Gross Receipts Tax Expense			48,233		(2,355)		45,878		65,418					45,878
	·		\$	379,083	\$	-	\$	420,167	\$	439,707	\$			\$	420,167

Notes:

[1] Accepted Division Adjustment

United Water Rhode Island, Inc. Adjustments to Division

Exhibit 3 (Gil) Schedule 1A Rebbuttal Page 1 of 1

Line No.	Elements of Operating Expenses	Adju	stments
1	UWRI Incentives Compensation	\$	13,341
2	UWM&S Incentives Compensation		14,116
3	Power Expense		23,687
4	Transportation/Vehicle Expense		2,599
5	Outside Services Hydrant Painting		5,000
6	Property Tax Expense		8,192
7	Total	\$	66,935

Description	Amount
Payroll Expense as filed	\$ 599,558 (a)
Payroll Expense Adjusted	583,606_(b)
Adjustment	\$ (15,952)
Company Original File	

	Company Originar File		
(a)	Total Labor		711,022
	Labor Capitalized/Transferred Out	17.38%	(123,597)
	Labor Transferred In	1.71%	12,133
	Expense	84.32%	599,558

711,022 9.92% (141,636)
2.00% 14,220
2.08% 583,606

^[1] Accepted proposed adjustments by Division Schedule TSC-8

		ORIGINA	L FILE					
		[Calend	ar Year]			
			2012	2011		2010		3 Yr Avg
Gross Payroll	(a)	\$	692,066	\$ 668,290	\$	626,842	\$	662,399
-Capitalized	(b)		(132,479)	(126,307)		(86,650)		(115,145)
-Transferred to Other BU's	(c)		•	-		_		
Net Payroll	(d)	\$	559,587	\$ 541,983	\$	540,192	\$	547,254
Expense Rate	(d) / (a)		80.86%	81.10%		86.18%		82.62%
	() . ()		and the second s					
Capitalized/Transferred Out	(b) & (c)	\$	(132,479)	\$ (126,307)	\$	(86,650)	\$	(115,145)
Capitalized/Transferred Out Rate	(b) & (c) / (a)		19.14%	18.90%		13.82%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17.38%
Transferred in	(c)	\$	11,618	\$ 9,923	\$	12,369	\$	11,303
Transferred in Rate	(c) / (a)		1.68%	1.48%		1.97%		1.71%

ADJUSTMENTS PER DIVISION [1]

					12 mons		
			2011	2012	Dec12-Nov13		3 Yr Avg
Gross Payroll -Capitalized -Transferred to Other BU's	(a) (b) (c)	\$	668,290 (126,307)	\$ 692,066 (132,479)			678,700 (135,197) -
Net Payroll	(d)	\$	541,983	\$ 559,587	\$ 528,939	\$	543,503
Expense Rate	(d) / (a)		81.10%	80.86%	78.28%	6	80.08%
Capitalized/Transferred Out	(b) & (c)	\$	(126,307)	\$ (132,479)	\$ (146,804) \$	(135,197)
Capitalized/Transferred Out Rate	(b) & (c) / (a)	-	18.90%	19.14%	21.72%	6	19.92%
Transferred in	(c)	\$	9,923	\$ 11,618	\$ 19,327	\$	13,623
Transferred in Rate	(c) / (a)		1.48%	1.68%	2.86%	6	2.00%

Notes:

[1] Accepted Expense rate and Transfer out/Capitalized rate proposed by Division Schedule TSC-8

Description	 Amount
Rate Year Fringe Benefits Transferred Out as filed	\$ 71,063 (a)
Test Year Fringe Benefits Transferred Out Adjusted	 81,232 (b)
Adjustment	\$ (10,169)

	Company Original File			
(a)	Rate Year Benefits as filed Benefits Capitalized/Transferred Out	\$ 15.68%	453,306 71,063	

	Adjustments per Division [1]			
(b)	Total Labor Benefits Capitalized/Transferred Out	17.92%	453,306 81,232	

^[1] Accepted Expense rate and Transfer out/Capitalized rate proposed by Division

	Description	on		Amount	
	Power as filed		\$	242,627 (a)	
	Power Adjusted		·	276,443 (b)	
	Adjustment		\$	33,816	
	Company Original File				
(a)	Purchase Power Other Utilities - Power Total Power	acct 50610 acct 50620	\$ 	210,429 32,197 242,627	
L		1112			
	Rebuttal Adjustments				
(b)	Purchase Power Other Utilities - Power Total Power	acct 50610 acct 50620	\$	246,554 29,888 276,443	

A AND A STATE OF THE STATE OF T	Power Ac	t 50610 as filed			
Rate Year 2014	kWh	Projected Water Production (MG)	kWh Avge Usage	kWh Avge Cost	Total Cost
Commodity (Constellation New Energy)	1,625,497	1,053.59	1,542.82	\$ 0.0839	136,34
Distribution (National Grid)	1,625,497	1,053.59	1,542.82	\$ 0.0456	74,08
Total Rate Year Power Cost					\$ 210,42
	Other Utilities-Po	wer Act 50620 as filed			
	Onioi Oninioo i o				
2010 2011 2012 3 years average Rate Year 2014	5.722%	26,601 40,347 24,416 \$ 30,455 \$ 32,197			

		Power A	ct 50610 Rebuttal						
			Projected Water	kWh Avge		k۷	Vh Avge		
Rate Year 201	4	kWh	Production (MG) [Adj 2]	Usage [Adj.2]			Cost		Total Cost
Commodity (Constellation New Energy)	[Adj.1]	1,613,090	1.065.30	1,514.21	[Adj.3]	\$	0.09245		149,13
Distribution (National Grid)	[Adj.1]	1,613,090	1,065.30	1,514.21	[Adj.3]	\$	0.05211		84,05
Signification (Mational City)	[· ·-]· · ·]								233,18
Commodity (National Grid)	[Adj.1]	99,620			[Adj.3]	\$	0.08206	[Adj.4]	8,17
Distribution (National Grid)	[Adj.1]	99,620			[Adj.3]	\$	0.05211	[Adj.4]	5,19
									13,36
otal Rate Year Power Cost									\$ 246,55

Other Utilities-Power Act 50620 Rebuttal

2011		40,347	[Adj.5]
2012		24,416	•
2013		 20,781	_
3 years average		\$ 28,515	
Rate Year 2014	4.817%	\$ 29,888	[Adj.5]

Notes:

[Adj.1] To accept breakdown for power related to water production and non production related.

[Adj.2] To update water production per Division Schedule TSC-11 and to updated KWH average usage per Division Schedule TSC-12 (1,582,200 kWh / 1,044.9MG =1,514.21 Kwh/MG)

[Adj.3] To accept price for Commodity per Division Sch TSC-12 and to update price for Distribution per Company response to DIV 4-10

[Adj.4] Power (Commodity and Distribution) require in small sites as boosters, tanks, pump stations and meter pits, provided by National Grid. 99620 kwh per Company DIV 4-10 Updated [Adj.5] To rebuttal cost for Hurricane Irene and accepted proposed inflation by Division 4.817% instead of 5.722% as filed.

United Water Rhode Island, Inc. Chemical Expense

Exhibit 3 (Gil) Schedule 5 Rebuttal Page 1 of 1

Description	A	mount
Chemicals as filed	\$	52,735 (a)
Chemicals Adjusted		43,692 (b)
Adjustment	\$	(9,043)

(a)	Chemicals as filed				
(4)		Average			
		Usage Per		Rate Year	Rate Year
	Chemical Name	MG	Water Production	Unit Cost	Costs
	Lime (pounds)	102.29	1,053.59	\$ 0.1549	\$ 16,694
	Sodium Hypochlorite (gallons)	7.43	1,053.59	\$ 1.7553	13,733
	Nalco C-9 (pounds)	20.37	1,053.59	\$ 1.0395	22,309
					\$ 52,735
					1

(b)	Chemicals adjustments per Division [1]					
()		Average				
		Usage Per		Ra	te Year	Rate Year
	Chemical Name	MG	Water Production	Ur	nit Cost	Costs
	Lime (pounds)	102.84	1,065.30	\$	0.1730	\$ 18,953
	Sodium Hypochlorite (gallons)	7.71	1,065.30	\$	1.6300	13,388
	Nalco C-9 (pounds)	12.39	1,065.30	\$	0.8600	11,351
		:				\$ 43,692

^[1] Accepted proposed adjustments by Division Schedule TSC-11

Description	A	mount
PEBOP Cost as filed	\$	58,300 (a)
PEBOP Cost Adjusted		55,744 (b)
Adjustment		(2,557)

	Company Original File	
(a)	(a) FAS 106 net periodic PEBOP costs per Tower Watson 20 Amortization of Initial Transition Obligation	5 53,187 5,113
	PEBOP Cost	58,300
	-	

	Adjustments per Division [1]	
(b)	FAS 106 net periodic PEBOP costs per Tower Watson 20 Amortization of Initial Transition Obligation	2,557
	PEBOP Cost	55,744

^[1] Accepted proposed adjustments by Division Schedule TSC-13

Description	 Amount
Employee Health and Welfare Expenses as filed	\$ 188,130 (a)
Employee Health and Welfare Expenses Adjusted	 188,071 (b)
Adjustment	\$ (59)

	Company Original File	
(a)	Medical	151,909
` ′	Life	3,267
	401k	23,930
	Other (Adjusted by Inflation 3.887%)	7,024
	Medical Waiver	2,000
	Employee Health and Welfare	\$ 188,130

	Adjustments per Division		
(b)	Medical		151,909
[]	Life		3,267
	401k		23,930
	Other (Adjusted by Inflation 2.997%)	[1]	6,965
	Medical Waiver		2,000
	Employee Health and Welfare	\$	188,071

^[1] Accepted proposed inflation by Division 2.997% instead of 3.887% as filed.

United Water Rhode Island, Inc. Transportation/Vehicle Expenses

Exhibit 3 (Gil) Schedule 10 Rebuttal Page 1 of 1

Description	Amount	
Transportation as filed	\$	75,329 (a)
Transportation Adjusted	****	72,553 (b)
Adjustment	<u>\$</u>	(2,776)

Description	 mpany As iled (a)	<u>Adjustments</u>	 mpany usted (b)	
Leases Fuel Maintenance & Repair Insurance Depreciation Other-Registration, plates, tolls, mileage, etc.	\$ 32,902 36,104 11,974 5,963 1,655 2,580	(309) (197) (51) 0 (22)	\$ 32,902 35,795 11,777 5,913 1,655 2,559	[1] [2] [1]
Total Costs Capitalized/Transferred Out Net Transportation Expense	\$ 91,178 (15,850) 75,329	(578) (2,198) \$ (2,776)	\$ 90,601 (18,048) 72,553	[3]

^[1] Accepted proposed inflation by Division 4.817% instead of 5.722% as filed.
[2] Propose 3 years normalization adjustment for trailer and truck repairs in 2012 for \$7,798 /3= \$2,599
[3] Accepted Capitalized and Transfer out rate of 19.92% instead of 17.38% as filed

United Water Rhode Island, Inc. Insurance

Insurance

	Description	Am	ount	
	Insurance as Filed	\$	51,714	(a)
	Insurance Adjusted		51,511	(b)
	Adjustment	\$	(203)	
<u> </u>	Company Original File			
(a)	General Corporate Insurance Property	\$	31,542 2,583	
	Workers Compensation (Injuries & Damages) Insurance	\$	17,589 51,714	
	Adjustments per Division [1]			
(b)	General Corporate Insurance	\$	31,419 2,573	
	Property Workers Compensation (Injuries & Damages)		17,520	

51,511

^[1] Accepted proposed inflation by Division 1.6% instead of 2% as filed.

United Water Rhode Island, Inc. Customer Information/Billing Expense

Exhibit 3 (Gil) Schedule 12 Rebuttal Page 1 of 1

H.V.
_

^[1] Accepted proposed inflation by Division 2.997% instead of 3.887% as filed.

United Water Rhode Island, Inc. **Outside Services**

Description	n Amount	
Outside Services as filed	\$	375,440 (a)
Outside Services Adjusted		349,123 (b)
Adjustment	_\$	(26,316)

Description	Company As Filed (a)	Adjustments	Company Adjusted (b)
M & S Fees Accounting & Auditing Legal Information Systems Temporary Help Other Well Testing Management Fee (R&I Alliance)	\$ 273,503 4,220 2,088 14,558 10,000 11,945 3,325 15,801	\$ - (36) (18) (125) - (102) (1,900) (135)	\$ 273,503 4,184 [1] 2,070 [1] 14,433 [1] 10,000 [4] 11,843 [1] 1,425 [2] 15,666 [1]
Well Rehabilitation Total Outside Services	\$ 375,440	(24,000) \$ (26,316)	

- [1] Accepted proposed inflation by Division 2.997% instead of 3.887% as filed.
 [2] Well Testing updated amount per response to DIV 4-17
 [3] Well Rehabilitation 3 years normalization

- [4] Hydrant Painting Rebuttal

Description		Amount
Other Operation and Maintemance Expenses as filed	\$	227,343 (a)
Other Operation and Maintemance Expenses Adjusted	···	226,076 (b)
Adjustment		(1,267)

Number	A Describition		npany As iled (a)	Adjustments [1]	Company Adjusted (b)
	Account Description	<u></u>	ileu (a)	Adjustinients [1]	Adjusted (b)
620	Operation Supervision and Engineering	\$	203	\$ (2)	
621	Fuel for Power Production		6,435	(55)	6,380
624	Pumping Expenses		13,383	(115)	13,269
626	Miscellaneous Expenses		14,826	(127)	14,699
632	Maintenance of Power Production Equipment		5,619	(48)	5,571
633	Maintenance of Pumping Equipment		34	(0)	33
640	Operation Supervision and Engineering		789	(7)	783
642	Operation Labor and Expenses		4,293	(37)	4,256
643	Miscellaneous Expenses		44,025	(377)	43,647
660	Operation Supervision and Engineering		8,191	(70)	8,121
662	Transmission and Distribution Lines Expenses		3,600	(31)	3,569
665	Miscellaneous Expenses		13,897	(119)	13,778
671	Maintenance of Structures and Improvements		2,329	(20)	2,309
673	Maintenance of Trans. & Distribution Mains		534	(5)	529
675	Maintenance of Services		525	(5)	521
677	Maintenance of Hydrants		1,766	(15)	1,751
902	Meter Reading Expenses		(2,171)	19	(2,152)
903	Customer Records & Coll. Expenses-Labor		10,418	(89)	10,329
905	Miscellaneous Customer Account Expense		11,944	(102)	
921	Office Supplies and Other Expenses		47,778	(409)	
923	Outside Services Employed		(1,035)	9	(1,026)
926	Employee Pension and Benefits		0	(0)	0
930	Miscellaneous General Expenses		39,456	343	39,799
932	Maintenance of General Plant		503	(4)	499
	Total Operation and Maintenance Expenses	\$	227,343	\$ (1,267)	\$ 226,076

[1] Accepted proposed inflation by Division 2.997% instead of 3.887% as filed.