KEOUGH & SWEENEY, LTD. ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW 41 MENDON AVENUE PAWTUCKET, RHODE ISLAND 02861 **TELEPHONE** (401) 724-3600 FACSIMILE (401) 724-9909

www.keoughsweeney.com

JOSEPH A. KEOUGH JR.* JEROME V. SWEENEY III*

SEAN P. KEOUGH* STACI L. KOLB

JEROME V. SWEENEY II OF COUNSEL

*ADMITTED TO PRACTICE IN RHODE ISLAND & MASSACHUSETTS

BOSTON OFFICE: 171 MILK STREET SUITE 30 BOSTON, MA 02109 TEL. (617) 574-0054 FAX (617) 451-1914

January 10, 2013

Ms. Luly Massaro, Clerk Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission 89 Jefferson Boulevard Warwick, RI 02888

Re: City of Newport, Utilities Department, Water Division **Docket 4355**

Dear Ms. Massaro:

Enclosed please find an original and nine (9) copies of the following documents:

1. Rebuttal Testimony and Schedules of Harold J. Smith filed on behalf of the City of Newport, Utilities Department, Water Division.

Please be advised that an electronic copy of these documents has been sent to the service list.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Joseph A. Keough Jr.

JAK/kf Enclosure

RAYNHAM OFFICE: 90 NEW STATE HIGHWAY RAYNHAM, MA 02109 TEL. (508) 822-2813 FAX (508) 822-2832

PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

HAROLD J. SMITH RAFTELIS FINANCIAL CONSULTING, INC.

IN SUPPORT OF

THE CITY OF NEWPORT, UTILTIES DEPARTMENT, WATER DIVISION APPLICATION TO CHANGE RATES

BEFORE THE

RHODE ISLAND PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. 4355

JANUARY 10, 2013



Newport Water Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket 4355 Harold J. Smith Rebuttal Testimony Page 1 of 19

1 I. INTRODUCTION

2	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
3	Α.	My name is Harold J. Smith and my business address is 1031 South Caldwell
4		Street, Suite 100, Charlotte, North Carolina 28203.
5		
6	Q.	Are you the same Harold Smith who submitted pre-filed direct testimony in
7		this docket?
8	Α.	Yes, I am.
9		
10	Q.	What is the purpose of this testimony?
11	Α.	I would like to respond to certain points or conclusions made in the pre-filed
12		testimony filed by the Division of Public Utilities and Carriers ("Division"), the
13		Portsmouth Water and Fire District ("Portsmouth" or "PWFD") and the United
14		States Department of the Navy ("Navy").
15		
16	Q.	Did you review the direct testimony submitted by the Division, Portsmouth
17		and the Navy in this docket?
18	Α.	Yes. I reviewed the testimony submitted by Mr. Mierzwa on behalf of the
19		Division, Mr. Woodcock on behalf of Portsmouth and Mr. Collins on behalf of the
20		Navy.
21		
22	Q:	How would you like to address the issues presented in the testimony prepared
23		by these witnesses?
24	Α.	Several issues were addressed by more than one of the other witnesses, and I
25		will address these issues first. I will then address issues raised by each witness
26		that were not addressed in the testimony of the other witnesses. I will conclude

Newport Water Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket 4355 Harold J. Smith Rebuttal Testimony Page 2 of 19

1		by addressing some issues that were not raised by the witnesses for the other
2		parties.
3		
4	<u>II. CO</u>	MMON ISSUES
5	Q.	Please summarize the issues that were addressed by more than one of the
6		witnesses.
7	Α.	The three issues addressed by more than one witness are as follows:
8		
9		1. Corrections to the COS model - Both Mr. Mierzwa and Mr. Woodcock
10		identified minor errors in the Excel model used to calculate rates.
11		
12		2. Demand Data – Mr. Mierzwa and Mr. Woodcock also made recommendations
13		regarding the production and demand data used in developing the allocation
14		factors.
15		
16		3. Allocation of Treatment Capital - All three witnesses addressed the manner in
17		which Newport proposes to allocate the costs associated with the construction
18		of the new Lawton Valley Treatment Plant and the upgrades to the Station One
19		Treatment Plant ("Treatment Plant Projects").
20		
21	<u>COS M</u>	Iodel Corrections
22	Q.	What is your position with respect to the rate model corrections recommended
23		by Mr. Mierzwa and Mr. Woodcock?
24	Α.	I agree that the corrections need to be made and the attached schedules reflect
25		these changes.
26		
27		

Newport Water Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket 4355 Harold J. Smith Rebuttal Testimony Page 3 of 19

1	Q.	Please describe the changes you made.
2	A.	As pointed out by Mr. Mierzwa and Mr. Woodcock, an incorrect cell reference on
3		HJS Schedule B-2 in my original testimony resulted in an incorrect allocation of
4		pumping costs allocated to the Base cost category. The correction of this cell
5		reference results in a slight reduction in the allocation of Base costs to
6		Portsmouth.
7		
8		Mr. Mierzwa and Mr. Woodcock also point out that the "Estimated Systemwide
9		Peaks" shown on HJS Schedule B-8 are not correct. I replaced the values in these
10		cells with the appropriate formulas, and the values shown on the attached
11		version of HJS Schedule B-8 are correct. These cells are not used in the
12		calculation of rates and the corrections do not impact the proposed rates.
13		
14	<u>Dema</u>	nd Data
15	Q.	Please describe the issue with demand and production data raised by Mr.
16		Mierzwa and Mr. Woodcock.
17	A.	Mr. Mierzwa and Mr. Woodcock both note inconsistencies between data sources
18		and timeframes for the data used to develop allocation factors. Specifically, Mr.
19		Mierzwa suggests that all values in the column labeled "Production Peaks" in HJS
20		Schedule B-7 should be based on the average of the corresponding values for FY
21		2008 through FY2010. Mr. Woodcock suggests that these values should be
22		based on the average of the corresponding values for FY 2010 through FY 2012.
23		
24	Q.	Do you have an opinion regarding these values?
25	Α.	I agree with both Mr. Mierzwa and Mr. Woodcock that the values in my original
26		analysis need revision, but I believe that all values in the Production Peaks
27		column of this schedule should be based on the average of the corresponding

Newport Water Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket 4355 Harold J. Smith Rebuttal Testimony Page 4 of 19

1		values for FY 2011 and FY 2012 since the class specific peaking factors developed
2		in HJS Schedule B-8 are based on data from FY 2011 and FY2012.
3		
4	<u>Allo</u>	ocation of Treatment Capital
5	Q.	Please describe the issues that Mr. Mierzwa, Mr. Woodcock and Mr. Collins raised
6		regarding the allocation of treatment capital costs.
7	Α.	All three witnesses disagree with Newport's allocation of capital costs associated
8		with the Treatment Plant Projects because it deviates from the allocation in the
9		Excel Spreadsheet attached to the Docket 4128 Settlement Agreement.
10		
11	Q.	Do you continue to maintain that the Commission should adopt the allocation
12		in your original testimony?
13	Α.	Yes. The allocation Newport proposes is necessary to set fair and equitable rates for
14		its customers. Newport is simply asking that the Navy and Portsmouth pay for the
15		capacity they claimed they needed when Newport was in the planning stages for
16		the Treatment Plant Projects. Newport sized the capacity of the Treatment Plant
17		Projects according to the stated needs of the Navy and Portsmouth, yet now they
18		don't want to pay for this capacity. The allocation sought by the Navy and
19		Portsmouth is inequitable and unfairly shifts the risk of paying for the Treatment
20		Plant Projects.
21		
22	Q.	Please explain how Newport determined the treatment capacity required by its
23		customers.
24	Α.	When Newport began the process of planning for the construction of the Treatment
25		Plant Projects, it had to determine the required capacity to meet current and future
26		demands of its retail and wholesale customers. Newport took several steps to

Newport Water Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket 4355 Harold J. Smith Rebuttal Testimony Page 5 of 19

1		determine its treatment capacity requirements, which included gathering data
2		from, and meeting with, the Navy and Portsmouth.
3		
4		In fact, on February 19, 2009, representatives from Newport Water and CDM
5		(Newport's advisor for the Treatment Plant Projects) met with representatives from
6		the Navy and Portsmouth to discuss their anticipated future demands. The Navy
7		and Portsmouth anticipated average day demands of 0.95 million gallons per day
8		(MGD) and 1.64 MGD, respectively. The respective projected peak day demands
9		provided by the Navy and Portsmouth were 1.395 MGD and 3.0 MGD. Newport also
10		performed a demand study that examined the projected average and peak day
11		demands of its retail customers.
12		
13		Newport then combined the information the Navy and Portsmouth provided with
14		the results of the demand study, and determined it would need a peak treatment
15		capacity of 16 MGD. Therefore, the Treatment Plant Projects were designed to
16		provide 16 MGD of peak capacity.
17		
18	Q.	Is Newport proposing to allocate the cost of the Treatment Plant Projects based
19		on the projected peak demands provided by the Navy and Portsmouth?
20	Α.	Yes.
21		
22	Q,	Can you explain how?
23	Α.	Newport's capital costs consist of two components: (1) contributions to the Capital
24		Spending restricted account for cash funded capital projects: and, (2) debt service
25		on loans to fund capital projects. These costs must first be assigned to functional
26		categories to properly assign them to Base/Extra Capacity cost categories. The
27		capital costs are assigned to functions based on the makeup of the fixed assets that

Newport Water Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket 4355 Harold J. Smith **Rebuttal Testimony** Page 6 of 19

1		currently comprise the system. This process involved assigning each of Newport
2		Water's fixed assets to the appropriate functional category. This resulted in a
3		breakdown of fixed assets by functional categories as shown on RFC Schedule B-5
4		Rebuttal. For example, assets associated with Newport's raw water reservoirs are
5		assigned to Source of Supply and water mains and pumps stations are assigned to
6		Transmission & Distribution. The assets in each functional category and their
7		corresponding value are then assigned to categories corresponding with Newport
8		Water's accounts. This allows for the development of factors used to allocate
9		capital costs to Newport's cost accounts.
10		
11	Q.	How is the value of the existing assets determined?
12	Α.	For the purposes of rate setting we are using the original cost of the existing assets
13		as their value.
14		
15	Q.	What is the next step after assigning assets and their values to Newport's
16		functional accounts?
17	Α.	The next step is to determine the percentage of the total value of the assets
18		assigned to each account. The percentage of asset values assigned to each account
19		is the percentage used to allocate capital expenses to that account. For instance, as
20		shown on HJS Schedule B-5 Rebuttal, we assigned system assets with a value of
21		\$20,356,847 to the Supply account. These asset values are 23% of the total system
22		asset value. The assets assigned to Transmission & Distribution account have a
23		value of \$23,469,243, or 26% of the total asset value. These percentages are the
24		factors used to allocate capital expenses to each of Newport's cost accounts so they
25		can then be allocated to Base/Extra Capacity categories.

Newport Water Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket 4355 Harold J. Smith Rebuttal Testimony Page 7 of 19

1		As shown on page 2 of HJS Schedule B-5, 23% of the current capital costs or
2		\$1,432,261, is allocated to the Supply function. Similarly, \$2,844,940, or 46% of the
3		capital expenses is allocated to the three treatment accounts.
4		
5		Once capital costs are allocated to the functional accounts, they must be assigned
6		to Base/Extra Capacity cost categories. As shown on HJS Schedule B-1 Rebuttal
7		(pages 16 and 22 of 44), most of the capital costs assigned to each account are
8		allocated to Base/Extra Capacity categories in the same way as the O&M costs for
9		the same account. The lone exception is the treatment capital costs.
10		
11	Q.	How are treatment capital costs assigned to Base/Extra Capacity categories?
12	Α.	Treatment capital costs are not assigned to Base/Extra Capacity categories, but are
13		instead assigned directly to customer classes and the wholesale customers based
14		on the proportionate share of treatment capacity reserved for each as shown on
15		HJS Schedule B-2 Rebuttal. As I discussed earlier, the Treatment Plant Projects were
16		designed to provide the capacity required to meet the anticipated demands of
17		Newport's retail customers and the expressed demands of the Navy and
18		Portsmouth. The determination of the appropriate allocation factors for each
19		customer and customer class is shown on the bottom of HJS Schedule B-4 Rebuttal.
20		
21	Q.	Doesn't the allocation formula in the Docket 4128 Settlement Agreement spread
22		sheet ensure that the Navy and Portsmouth will pay their share of the costs
23		associated with the treatment capacity they requested?
24	Α.	No. The Navy and Portsmouth will only pay for the capacity they requested if they
25		use it. If their actual demands are less than the capacity they requested, as is the
26		case today, then the Navy and Portsmouth will only pay for a portion of the capacity

Newport Water Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket 4355 Harold J. Smith Rebuttal Testimony Page 8 of 19

1		they identified. In other words, the Navy and Portsmouth will benefit from having
2		the full capacity they requested, yet only pay for the actual capacity they use.
3		
4	Q.	Why should the Navy and Portsmouth pay for more capacity than they currently
5		use?
6	Α.	Because Newport incurred costs to provide the capacity the Navy and Portsmouth
7		requested. Newport will continue to incur these costs through debt service
8		payments even if the Navy and Portsmouth do not use the capacity Newport
9		constructed for them. If the Navy and Portsmouth do not pay for the capacity they
10		requested, then Newport's retail customers assume the risk and burden of paying
11		for this capacity, which they do not use.
12		
13	Q.	Please explain how Newport, and its retail customers, will assume the risk and
14		burden of paying for unused capacity.
14 15	A.	burden of paying for unused capacity. Newport is obligated to make principal and interest payments totaling
	A.	
15	A.	Newport is obligated to make principal and interest payments totaling
15 16	A.	Newport is obligated to make principal and interest payments totaling approximately \$117 million over the next 20 years for the Treatment Plant Projects,
15 16 17	Α.	Newport is obligated to make principal and interest payments totaling approximately \$117 million over the next 20 years for the Treatment Plant Projects, which includes capacity to serve all of Newport's customers including its wholesale
15 16 17 18	A.	Newport is obligated to make principal and interest payments totaling approximately \$117 million over the next 20 years for the Treatment Plant Projects, which includes capacity to serve all of Newport's customers including its wholesale customers. When one considers that approximately 27% of the peak capacity of
15 16 17 18 19	Α.	Newport is obligated to make principal and interest payments totaling approximately \$117 million over the next 20 years for the Treatment Plant Projects, which includes capacity to serve all of Newport's customers including its wholesale customers. When one considers that approximately 27% of the peak capacity of the Treatment Plant Projects is attributable to the Navy and Portsmouth, it can be
15 16 17 18 19 20	Α.	Newport is obligated to make principal and interest payments totaling approximately \$117 million over the next 20 years for the Treatment Plant Projects, which includes capacity to serve all of Newport's customers including its wholesale customers. When one considers that approximately 27% of the peak capacity of the Treatment Plant Projects is attributable to the Navy and Portsmouth, it can be argued that Newport is incurring over \$31 million in costs on behalf of the Navy and
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	А. Q.	Newport is obligated to make principal and interest payments totaling approximately \$117 million over the next 20 years for the Treatment Plant Projects, which includes capacity to serve all of Newport's customers including its wholesale customers. When one considers that approximately 27% of the peak capacity of the Treatment Plant Projects is attributable to the Navy and Portsmouth, it can be argued that Newport is incurring over \$31 million in costs on behalf of the Navy and
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		Newport is obligated to make principal and interest payments totaling approximately \$117 million over the next 20 years for the Treatment Plant Projects, which includes capacity to serve all of Newport's customers including its wholesale customers. When one considers that approximately 27% of the peak capacity of the Treatment Plant Projects is attributable to the Navy and Portsmouth, it can be argued that Newport is incurring over \$31 million in costs on behalf of the Navy and Portsmouth with no guarantee of reimbursement for the capacity they requested.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	Newport is obligated to make principal and interest payments totaling approximately \$117 million over the next 20 years for the Treatment Plant Projects, which includes capacity to serve all of Newport's customers including its wholesale customers. When one considers that approximately 27% of the peak capacity of the Treatment Plant Projects is attributable to the Navy and Portsmouth, it can be argued that Newport is incurring over \$31 million in costs on behalf of the Navy and Portsmouth with no guarantee of reimbursement for the capacity they requested. What is Mr. Woodcock's position with respect to the approach you propose?

Newport Water Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket 4355 Harold J. Smith Rebuttal Testimony Page 9 of 19

2 treatment facility." 3 4 Q. How is Mr. Woodcock's interpretation of your proposal incorrect? 5 Because my proposed approach is not based on the proceeds for a single bond Α. 6 issue. As discussed above, my proposed methodology is used to allocate all of the 7 capital costs assigned to the treatment function based on reserved capacity, not 8 just the debt service associated with one bond issue. So instead of being based on 9 the proceeds of one bond issue, it is based on the costs associated with 10 guaranteeing sufficient treatment capacity to meet Portsmouth's expressed 11 anticipated demands. Newport is not selectively applying an improper allocation 12 method. Newport did not selectively pick a single bond issue and then base its entire capital cost allocation on the intended proceeds of that single bond issue. 13 14 Newport allocated its capital costs consistent with the methodology I described 15 above. Newport simply took one piece of its capital costs – treatment plant capital 16 costs – and allocated it based on the capacity requested by the Navy and 17 Portsmouth. 18 Q. What is Mr. Collins' position with respect to the approach you propose? 19 20 A. Mr. Collins maintains that the treatment capital costs should be allocated based on

allocation based on intended proceeds for a single bond issue for the new

- historical class consumptions because this approach "…will ensure that each class
 pays for the water treatment plant capital costs based on how each class actually
 utilizes the asset."
- 24

1

25 Q. Why do you disagree with Mr. Collin's suggested approach?

A. Because while Mr. Collin's approach might recognize the way each wholesale
customer utilizes the system, it fails to recognize costs incurred to provide the

Newport Water Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket 4355 Harold J. Smith Rebuttal Testimony Page 10 of 19

1		capacity requested by the Navy and Portsmouth. This means the wholesale
2		customers benefit by knowing Newport has the capacity to meet their peak
3		demands, but they are not required to pay for this capacity until they actually use it.
4		This would be fine if Newport did not incur any costs to construct and maintain this
5		capacity until the wholesale customers needed it, but this is not the case. Newport
6		is obligated to pay millions each year to repay debt it incurred to fund the
7		Treatment Plant Projects that provide the Navy and Portsmouth with the capacity
8		they requested. If the Navy and Portsmouth do not pay for their share of the
9		treatment capacity they requested and benefit from, then the cost of providing that
10		capacity must be borne by the retail customers despite the fact they do not need
11		that capacity.
12		
13	Q.	What is Mr. Mierzwa's position with respect to the approach you propose?
14	Α.	Mr. Mierzwa suggests that any potential changes in the allocation of treatment
15		capital costs be deferred until the new and upgraded treatment plants are in
16		service.
17		
18	Q.	Do you agree with Mr. Mierzwa's suggestion?
19	A.	No, I am reluctant to delay the change in allocating treatment costs, because

20 Newport is already incurring significant debt service expenses for the Treatment

21 Plant Projects, and as shown on HJS Schedule D-6 Rebuttal, these expenses will

- 22 increase significantly over the next two years. Failure to modify the cost allocation
- 23 approach immediately will result in Newport's retail customers improperly
- 24 subsidizing the cost of capacity being constructed to serve wholesale customers.
- 25
- 26 Q. Are there any other issues raised by multiple witnesses?
- 27 A. No

Newport Water Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket 4355 Harold J. Smith Rebuttal Testimony Page 11 of 19

1 III. INDIVIDUAL ISSUES

2 PORTSMOUTH

3 Q. Are there any issues raised only by Mr. Woodcock?

- 4 A. Yes, Mr. Woodcock addressed three issues the other witnesses did not address: (1)
- 5 Discrepancies in the asset listing used as the basis for the functionalization of
- 6 capital costs; (2) the drop in maximum day production at the Lawton Valley
- 7 treatment plant; and, (3) the rounding used on HJS Schedule D-8.
- 8

9 Asset List

10 Q. Please explain Mr. Woodcock's issue with the asset listing?

- A. Mr. Woodcock notes that the asset list did not include any water mains installed
 before 1975, but does include other assets put into service before 1975. Based on
 this observation, he concludes that the asset listing must be incomplete.
- 14

15 Q. Is his conclusion correct?

A. After reviewing additional records kept by Newport Water, it appears that some
water mains installed prior to 1975 were not included on the asset listing used in
the COS analysis. While the asset listing used for the COS analysis does comply with
governmental accounting standards, it does not include some fully depreciated
assets that have no book value. However, since functionalization of capital costs is
based on the original cost of all assets currently in place in Newport's system, the
asset list should be updated.

23

24 Q. Have you updated the asset list?

- 25 A. Not at this time, but I am currently working with Newport's staff to update the list.
- 26 We anticipate that this information can be completed before the deadline for the

Newport Water Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket 4355 Harold J. Smith Rebuttal Testimony Page 12 of 19

1		Division's and Interveners' surrebuttal testimony, and Newport will provide it as a
2		supplement to its PWFD Data Request 2 response.
3		
4	Lav	vton Valley Production
5	Q.	Please explain Mr. Woodcock's issue with the change in maximum hour
6		production at Lawton Valley.
7	A.	Mr. Woodcock notes that the maximum hour production at Lawton Valley drops
8		from 8.0 MGD in FY 2009 to exactly 6.0 MGD in FY 2010 and seems to question the
9		validity of this data.
10		
11	Q.	Is there a reason for this drop over the course of a single year?
12	Α.	Yes. The drop in the maximum hour production is because Newport was able to
13		meet its peak hour demands in FY 2010 (and later) with peak hourly production of
14		6.0 MGD at Lawton Valley. The fact that the peak hour production dropped from
15		exactly 8.0 MGD to exactly 6.0 MGD is explained by the way peak hour production
16		at Lawton Valley is determined.
17		
18	Q.	How is peak hour production determined at Lawton Valley?
19	Α.	Peak hour production at Lawton Valley is determined by monitoring pump usage
20		and run time. Lawton Valley has three finished water pumps, a 2 MGD pump, a 4
21		MGD pump and a 6 MGD pump. Beginning in FY 2010, Newport met peak demands
22		by only using the 6 MGD pump and therefore peak hourly demand is considered to
23		be 6 MGD. In previous years, both the 6 MGD and 2 MGD were operated at the
24		same time and therefore peak hour demand was considered to be 8 MGD.
25		
26		
27		

1	Roi	Rounding	
2	Q.	Please discuss Mr. Woodcock's issue with rounding on HJS Schedule D-8.	
3	Α.	Mr. Woodcock suggests rounding the customer class demand factors on HJS	
4		Schedule D-8 to two decimal places.	
5			
6	Q.	Do you agree with his suggestion?	
7	Α.	I do, and as far as I can tell, these values have been rounded to two decimal places	
8		in all versions of the COS model that have been submitted thus far.	
9			
10	Q.	Did Mr. Woodcock raise any other issues?	
11	Α.	No	
12			
13	<u>THI</u>	E DIVISION	
14	Q.	Are there any issues raised only by Mr. Mierzwa?	
15	Α.	Yes, on page 9 of his testimony, Mr. Mierzwa suggests that the customer account	
16		data used in Docket 4243 be used in this filing.	
17			
18	Q.	Do you agree with this suggestion?	
19	Α.	No. I do not. I would agree with this suggestion if we were going to use demand and	
20		production data from Docket 4243, but as I suggested earlier, I believe it is	
21		appropriate to utilize demand and production data from FY 2011 and FY2012.	
22		Therefore, I think it is appropriate to use the customer account data from the same	
23		period.	
24			
25	Q.	Did Mr. Mierzwa raise any other issues?	
26	Α.	No.	
27			

Newport Water Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket 4355 Harold J. Smith Rebuttal Testimony Page 14 of 19

1	<u>NA</u>	<u>VY</u>
2	Q.	Are there any issues that were raised only by Mr. Collins?
3	Α.	Yes, Mr. Collins suggests that Newport remove the Navy's usage for hydrant
4		flushing from the demand study. Mr. Collins notes that the 2012 demand data used
5		to determine class peaking factors for each customer class indicates that the Navy's
6		Max Day occurred on September 25, 2012 when the Navy demanded 1,213,663
7		gallons of water. He goes on to make several other points including:
8		• the Navy's demand on September 25, 2012 was impacted by the Navy's
9		flushing program;
10		 that a cell in the electronic versions of the COS model highlights the Navy'
11		max demand on a day other than September 25, 2012;
12		 that Newport was aware of the Navy's flushing program;
13		 that utilities should utilize their assets efficiently; and
14		• the Navy's Max Day occurred after September 15, 2012.
15		
16	Q.	Do you agree with Mr. Collins' recommendation?
17	Α.	I do not. The Navy's Max Day in 2012 occurred on September 25, and that is the
18		Max Day value that should be used for rate setting purposes. The purpose of the
19		demand study was to determine each customer's or customer class' demand
20		characteristics to calculate rates reflecting these characteristics. The purpose of
21		collecting daily demand data from a sample of Newport's residential and non-
22		residential customers was to gain an understanding of class demand
23		characteristics that could not be ascertained through billing data. Daily demand
24		data for the Navy was collected for the same purpose.
25		
26		The parties chose the original sample period of June through September 15,
27		2010 in the Docket 4128 Settlement Agreement based on the belief that the

Newport Water Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket 4355 Harold J. Smith Rebuttal Testimony Page 15 of 19

1	peak demand period for each customer class would occur in July and August due
2	to irrigation and the influx of seasonal visitors (see Docket 4128 Order, p. 9). The
3	Settlement Agreement also provided for a waiver of data collection in 2011 if the
4	parties unanimously agreed. If not, then additional data would be collected
5	between "June 2011 and September 2011." There was no deadline excluding
6	data after September 15 as suggested by the Navy.

7

Furthermore, the Settlement Agreement did not mention gathering data from
June through September 2012. Newport included this data because the 2010
data did not comply with the Docket 4128 Settlement Agreement criteria, and
Newport wanted to use two years of valid data for the demand study. Once
again, there was no prohibition against using data gathered between September
15 and 30, 2012.

14

During 2011 and 2012 Newport extended the sampling period to the end of September so data could be gathered during one of Newport's largest events – the annual boat show. The fact that the Navy's Max Day occurred on September 25, does not negate the fact that the Navy demanded 1,213,663 gallons of water that day; that Newport had to have the capacity in place to meet that demand; and, had to operate its system to deliver that that volume of water.

21

Q. Should the fact that much of the water used by the Navy on its Max Day was
 for the purpose of flushing be taken into consideration during the rate setting
 process?

A. No. Newport must meet all of its customers' peak demands, regardless of the
purpose for which the water is used. As Mr. Collins points out in his testimony,
flushing is a necessary and typical activity that should be performed on a regular

Newport Water Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket 4355 Harold J. Smith Rebuttal Testimony Page 16 of 19

1		basis to maintain water quality. As such, to the extent that flushing drives a
2		customer's peak demands, it is appropriate to base that customer's rates on
3		demand that includes flushing.
4		
5	Q.	Is there any importance to the fact that there was a hidden cell in your original
6		COS model that highlighted the Navy's maximum day as August 7, 2012?
7	Α.	No. That cell was highlighted when I updated the spreadsheet at the beginning
8		of September to include daily data collected through the end of August. At that
9		time, I highlighted the cells corresponding to the day each customer or class had
10		its highest demand. I did this to verify that the MAX function utilized in column
11		DU of the spreadsheet was functioning properly and the value for the true Max
12		Day was being returned. Having verified that the MAX function was indeed
13		returning the value corresponding to the Max Day, I did not change the
14		highlighting when I updated the spreadsheet to include the data collected in
15		September. It should also be noted that nowhere in the daily demand study
16		spreadsheet do I indicate that the highlighted cells in the hidden columns
17		correspond to the Max Day for each class.
18		
19	Q.	Should Newport be responsible for making sure that the Navy's flushing
20		program does not result in peak daily demand?
21	A.	No, the Navy is responsible for operating its system in a way that ensures it can
22		meet the demands of the base personnel and other activities. It is solely
23		responsible for maintaining its system and to the extent that flushing is a
24		component of the maintenance program, the Navy is responsible for
25		determining when and where flushing should occur.
26		
27		

27

Newport Water Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket 4355 Harold J. Smith Rebuttal Testimony Page 17 of 19

- 1 Q. Did Mr. Collins raise any other issues?
- 2 A. No.
- 3

4 IV. ISSUES NOT RAISED BY OTHER PARTIES

Q. Are there any issues that you would like to address that were not addressed by
 the other witnesses?

7 Α. Yes, the rates proposed in my original and supplemental testimony were based 8 in part on the premise that Newport would be borrowing an additional \$26.9 9 million from the Rhode Island Clean Water Finance Agency in June 2013. The 10 proceeds of this borrowing were intended to fund all but approximately \$5 million of the cost of the Treatment Plant Projects. However, since the filing of 11 12 my supplemental testimony, Newport decided it will be more efficient and less 13 costly to borrow the entire remaining amount needed to complete the 14 Treatment Plant Projects. Therefore, Newport now plans to borrow \$31 million 15 in June of 2013. As a result of the increase in the borrowing amount, Newport's anticipated debt service expenses increased and therefore the amount proposed 16 17 for annual contributions to the debt service restricted account increased from 18 \$3,576,079 to \$3,735,016, an increase of \$158,937.

19

- Q. Have you prepared schedules that reflect the changes you made to the COS
 model in response to the testimony of other witnesses and to address the
 increased borrowing amount?
- A. Yes, attached is a revised set of COS model schedules that reflect the changes
 addressed in my testimony. To summarize, these changes include:

1		Correction of the cell reference error on HJS Schedule B-2 that resulted in
2		an improper allocation of Base costs associated with pumping to
3		customer classes.
4		Correction of the formula used to calculate Estimated Systemwide Peaks
5		on HJS Schedule B-8.
6		• HJS Schedule B-7 has been revised such that the values in the Production
7		Peaks column are calculated based on the average of the corresponding
8		values for FY 2011 and FY2012.
9		HJS Schedule D-6 has been revised to reflect the increase in anticipated
10		debt service expense resulting from the decision to borrow \$31 million
11		instead of \$26.9 million.
12		
13	Q.	Please summarize the impact of the above listed changes to the rate model.
14	Α.	As shown on HJS Schedule A-2 Rebuttal, all of the proposed rates and charges are
15		slightly higher than those proposed in my supplemental direct testimony. The
16		primary driver of this increase in proposed rates and charges is the additional debt
17		service expense resulting from the increase in proposed borrowing for the
18		Treatment Plant Projects.
19		
20	<u>V.</u>	CONCLUSION
21	Q.	Do you recommend that the Commission approve the rates proposed in your
22		rebuttal schedules that are attached to your testimony?
23	Α.	Yes I do. The revised model incorporates changes suggested by the witnesses for
24		the Division, Portsmouth and the Navy as well as the increase in debt service
25		expense resulting from the increase in proposed borrowing. The resulting rates

Newport Water Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket 4355 Harold J. Smith Rebuttal Testimony Page 19 of 19

- and charges reflect the current and anticipated demands of each customer or
 customer class and should serve to keep Newport on sound financial footing.
 Q: Does this conclude your testimony?
- 5 A: Yes it does.

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that on January 8, 2013, I sent a copy of the within to all parties set forth on the attached Service List by electronic mail and copies to Luly Massaro, Commission Clerk, by electronic mail and regular mail.

Parties/Address	E-mail Distribution	Phone
Julia Forgue, Director of Public Works	jforgue@cityofnewport.com	401-845-5601
Newport Water Department	kgarcia@cityofnewport.com	
70 Halsey St.	lsitrin@CityofNewport.com	-
Newport, RI 02840		
Karen Lyons, Esq.	khong@ring ri gov	401-222-2424
Dept. of Attorney General	klyons@riag.ri.gov	-
150 South Main St.	sscialabba@ripuc.state.ri.us	-
Providence, RI 02903	psmith@ripuc.state.ri.us	-
	dmacrae@riag.ri.gov	-
	jmunoz@riag.ri.gov	
Harold Smith	Hsmith@raftelis.com	704-373-1199
Raftelis Financial Consulting, PA		
511 East Blvd.		
Charlotte, NC 28203		
Gerald Petros, Esq.	gpetros@haslaw.com	401-274-2000
Hinckley, Allen & Snyder	aramos@haslaw.com	
1500 Fleet Center	aramos@nasiaw.com	
Providence, RI 02903	jmansolf@haslaw.com	
William McGlinn	wmcglinn@portsmouthwater.org	401-683-2090
Portsmouth Water & Fire District		ext. 224
1944 East Main Rd.		
PO Box 99		
Portsmouth, RI 02871		
Ellen M. Evans	ellen.evans@navy.mil	202-685-2235
Senior Trial Attorney		
Naval Facilities Engineering Command		
Litigation Office		
720 Kennon St., Bldg. 36, Room 233		
Washington Navy Yard, DC 20374- 5051		

Dr. Kay Davoodi, P.E. Utility Rates and Studies Office NAVFACHQ- Building 33 1322 Patterson Ave SE Washington Navy Yard, D.C. 20374- 5065	Khojasteh.davoodi@navy.mil Larry.r.allen@navy.mil	202-685-3319
Maurice Brubaker Brubaker and Associates, Inc. PO Box 412000 St.Louis, MO 63141-2000	<u>mbrubaker@consultbai.com</u> <u>bcollins@consultbai.com</u>	401-724-3600 401-724-9909
Thomas S. Catlin Exeter Associates, Inc. 10480 Little Patuxent Parkway, Suite 300 Columbia, MD 21044	tcatlin@exeterassociates.com jmierzwa@exeterassociates.com	410-992-7500
Christopher Woodcock Woodcock & Associates, Inc. 18 Increase Ward Drive Northborough, MA 01532	Woodcock@w-a.com	508-393-3337
An original and nine (9) copies w/: Luly E. Massaro, Commission Clerk Public Utilities Commission 89 Jefferson Blvd. Warwick, RI 02888	Imassaro@puc.state.ri.us cwilson@puc.state.ri.us sccamara@puc.state.ri.us	401-780-2107

tall

Joseph A. Keough, Jr., Esquire # 4925 KEOUGH & SWEENEY, LTD. 41 Mendon Avenue Pawtucket, RI 02861 (401) 724-3600 (phone) (401) 724-9909 (fax) jkeoughjr@keoughsweeney.com

Docket No. 4355

Newport Water Cost of Service Model

Index of Model Schedules

Summary Schedules

HJS Schedule A-1 Rebuttal HJS Schedule A-2 Rebuttal HJS Schedule A-3 Rebuttal HJS Schedule A-4 Rebuttal Revenue Requirements Cost of Service Rates and Charges Bill Impacts Revenue Proof

COS Model Schedules

HJS Schedule B-1 Rebuttal	Base Extra Capacity Cost Allocations
HJS Schedule B-2 Rebuttal	Allocation of Costs to Water Rate Classes
HJS Schedule B-3 Rebuttal	Cost Allocation Bases
HJS Schedule B-4 Rebuttal	Allocation Analyses
HJS Schedule B-5 Rebuttal	Capital Functionalization
HJS Schedule B-6 Rebuttal	Water Demand History
HJS Schedule B-7 Rebuttal	Water Production Peaking Analysis
HJS Schedule B-8 Rebuttal	Billed Demand Peaking Analysis: Determination of Customer Class Peaking Factors
HJS Schedule B-9 Rebuttal	System Demands Imposed by Each Customer Class' Peaking Behavior
HJS Schedule B-10 Rebuttal	Summary of Peak Load Distributions (by Rate Class and Base/Extra-Capacity Categories)
HJS Schedule B-11 Rebuttal	Fire Protection Demand Analysis

Supporting Data

HJS Schedule D-1 Rebuttal HJS Schedule D-2 Rebuttal HJS Schedule D-3 Rebuttal HJS Schedule D-4 Rebuttal HJS Schedule D-5 Rebuttal HJS Schedule D-6 Rebuttal HJS Schedule D-7 Rebuttal Water Accounts, by Size and ClassFire Protection AccountsProduction SummaryDemand SummaryDevelopment of Pumping CostsDebt Service Restricted Account CashflowDemand Factor Calculations

	Rate Year	FY 2013		
	Approved in	Approved in	Adjustments	Proposed Rate
	Docket 4243	Docket 4243	To Test Year	Year
O&M COSTS	DUCKEL 4243	DUCKEL 4243	TO TEST TEAT	Tear
Administration				
Salaries & Wages	\$ 273,889	\$ 273,889		\$ 273,889
AFSCME retro	Ş 275,005	\$ 215,009		\$ 213,009
	-	-		-
NEA retro	-	-		-
AFSCME benefits on retro pay NEA benefits on retro pay	-	-		-
1 /	12 500	12 500		12 500
Standby Salaries	12,500 175,000	12,500 175,000		12,500 175,000
Accrued Benefits Buyout	,	,		,
Employee Benefits	128,202	128,202		128,202
Retiree Insurance Coverage	514,000	514,000		514,000
Workers Compensation Annual Leave Buyback	85,000	85,000		85,000
	2,400	2,400		2,400
Advertisement	9,000	9,000		9,000
Membership Dues & Subscriptions	2,500	2,500		2,500
Conferences & Training	4,000	4,000		4,000
Tuition Reimbursement	2,000	2,000		2,000
Consultant Fees	233,033	233,033		233,033
Postage	1,000	1,000		1,000
Fire & Liability Insurance	76,468	76,468		76,468
Telephone & Communication	5,500	5,500		5,500
Water	1,942	1,942		1,942
Electricity	5,805	5,805		5,805
Natural Gas	7,252	7,252		7,252
Property Taxes	226,774	226,774		226,774
Legal & Administrative				
Audit Fees	4,349	4,349		4,349
OPEB Contribution	-	-		-
City Counsel	4,649	4,649		4,649
Citizens Survey	-	-		-
City Clerk	3,381	3,381		3,381
City Manager	54,131	54,131		54,131
Human Resources	30,121	30,121		30,121
City Solicitor	20,459	20,459		20,459
Finance Adimistrative 80%	19,822	19,822		19,822
Finance Adimistrative 5%	7,020	7,020		7,020
Purchasing	18,314	18,314		18,314
Assessment	5,973	5,973		5,973
Collections	46,979	46,979		46,979
Accounting 5%	10,679	10,679		10,679
Accounting	70,516	70,516		70,516
Public Safety	-	-		-
Facilities Maintenance	13,266	13,266		13,266
Data Processing	143,888	143,888		143,888
Mileage Allowance	2,000	2,000		2,000
Gasoline & Vehicle Allowance	7,508	7,508		7,508
Repairs & Maintenance	1,200	1,200		1,200
Regulatory Expense	10,000	10,000		10,000
Regulatory Assessment	48,096	48,096		48,096
Office Supplies	20,000	20,000		20,000
Self Insurance	10,000	10,000		10,000
Unemployment Claims	12,000	12,000		12,000
Subtotal:	\$ 2,330,614	\$ 2,330,614	\$-	\$ 2,330,614

		Test Year							
		R	ate Year	F	Y 2013				
			proved in	-	proved in	Adjustm	onte	Dron	osed Rate
		•	cket 4243		cket 4243	To Test \		•	Year
		00	CKEL 4245	DO	LKEL 4245	TOTESU	rear		Tear
Customer Service									
Salaries	R Wages	\$	256,335	\$	256,335			\$	256,335
Overtime	-	ې	10,200	Ļ	10,200			Ļ	10,200
Collectio			10,200		10,200				10,200
Temp Sa			10,200		10,200				10,200
Injury Pa			10,200		10,200				10,200
	e Benefits		168,793		168,793				168,793
	eave Buyback		5,000		5,000				5,000
	& binding		500		500				500
	ices & Training		5,000		5,000				5,000
Support	-		26,002		26,002				26,002
Postage	Services		31,706		31,706				31,706
•	& Vehicle Allowance		33,421		33,421				33,421
	& Maintenance		40,000		40,000				40,000
•	aintenance		10,000		10,000				10,000
	g Supplies		5,000		5,000				5,000
•	s & protective Gear		1,000		1,000				1,000
	r Service Supplies		10,343		10,343				10,343
	ubtotal:	\$	613,500	Ś	613,500	Ś	-	\$	613,500
J		Ŷ	013,500	Ŷ	013,500	Ŷ		Ŷ	013,500
Source of Supply - Is	sland								
Salaries		\$	258,897	\$	258,897			\$	258,897
Overtime	•	-	28,903	Ŧ	28,903			Ŧ	28,903
Temp Sa			10,000		10,000				10,000
Injury Pa					-				-
	e Benefits		134,334		134,334				134,334
	eave Buyback		6,300		6,300				6,300
Electricit			42,108		42,108				42,108
	, icle Maintenance		58,648		58,648				58,648
•	& Maintenance		7,425		7,425				7,425
•	r Maintenance		16,000		16,000				16,000
	g Supplies		7,750		7,750				7,750
•	s & protective Gear		700		700				700
Chemica	•		72,735		72,735				72,735
S	ubtotal:	\$	643,800	\$	643,800	\$	-	\$	643,800
Source of Supply - N	/lainland								
Overtime	2	\$	4,617	\$	4,617			\$	4,617
Temp Sa	laries		13,000		13,000				13,000
Permane	ent Part time		15,264		15,264				15,264
Employe	e Benefits		2,525		2,525				2,525
Electricit	у		120,189		120,189				120,189
Repairs 8	& Maintenance		7,200		7,200				7,200
Reservoi	r Maintenance		4,500		4,500				4,500
Operatin	g Supplies		630		630				630
S	ubtotal:	\$	167,925	\$	167,925	\$	-	\$	167,925

		Test Year		
	Rate Year	FY 2013		
	Approved in	Approved in	Adjustments	Proposed Rate
	Docket 4243	Docket 4243	To Test Year	Year
	200.0012.0			
Station One				
Salaries & Wages	\$446,983	\$446,983		\$446,983
Overtime	60,021	60,021		60,021
Holiday Pay	17,045	17,045		17,045
Employee Benefits	\$278,523	\$278,523		\$278,523
Annual Leave Buyback	5,000	5,000		5,000
Conferences & Training	4,500	4,500		4,500
Fire & Liability Insurance	12,687	12,687		12,687
Electricity	\$252,674	\$252,674		\$252,674
Natural Gas	24,250	24,250		24,250
Rental of Equipment	600	600		600
Sewer Charge	293,020	293,020		293,020
Gas/Vehicle Maintenance	7,583	7,583		7,583
Repairs & Maintenance	\$25,000	\$25,000		\$25,000
Operating Supplies	\$25,210	\$25,210		\$25,000
Uniforms & protective Gear		,062		
•	1,062 \$22,428	\$22,428		1,062 \$22,428
Station One Pumping Chemicals	354,210			
Subtotal:	\$ 1,830,796	354,210	\$ -	354,210 \$ 1,830,796
Subtotal:	\$ 1,050,790	\$ 1,830,796	ş -	\$ 1,850,796
Lawton Valley				
Salaries & Wages	\$459,704	\$459,704		\$459,704
Overtime	37,657	37,657		37,657
Holiday Pay	16,760	16,760		16,760
Employee Benefits	\$287,143	\$287,143		\$287,143
Annual Leave Buyback	3,966	3287,143 3,966		3,966
Conferences & Training	3,000	3,900		3,000
Fire & Liability Insurance	18,614	18,614		18,614
Electricity	\$132,551	\$132,551		\$132,551
Natural Gas	29,909	29,909		29,909
Rental of Equipment	500	500		500
Sewer Charge	360,640	360,640		360,640
Gas/Vehicle Maintenance	7,882	7,882		7,882
Repairs & Maintenance	\$34,048	\$34,048		\$34,048
Operating Supplies	\$18,475	\$18,475		\$18,475
Uniforms & protective Gear	1,542	1,542		1,542
LV Pumpimg	\$31,646	\$31,646		\$31,646
Chemicals	169,977	169,977	~	169,977
Subtotal:	\$ 1,614,015	\$ 1,614,015	\$ -	\$ 1,614,015
Laboratory.				
Laboratory	\$ 104,358	\$ 104,358		\$ 104,358
Salaries & Wages		\$ 104,358 64,208		
Employee Benefits		04.208		64,208
	64,208			2 750
Annual Leave Buyback	2,750	2,750		2,750
Repairs & Maintenance	2,750 1,700	2,750 1,700		1,700
Repairs & Maintenance Regulatory Assessment	2,750 1,700 32,000	2,750 1,700 32,000		1,700 32,000
Repairs & Maintenance	2,750 1,700	2,750 1,700	<u>\$</u> -	1,700

	<u> </u>			Test Year			
	F	Rate Year		FY 2013			
	Ap	proved in	Ap	oproved in	Adjustments	Pro	posed Rate
	Do	ocket 4243	Do	ocket 4243	To Test Year		Year
Transmission & Distribution							
Salaries & Wages	\$	418,161	\$	418,161		\$	418,161
Overtime		52,364		52,364			52,364
Temp Salaries		10,000		10,000			10,000
Injury Pay		-		-			-
Employee Benefits		251,514		251,514			251,514
Annual Leave Buyback		10,943		10,943			10,943
Conferences & Training		4,000		4,000			4,000
Contract Services		12,430		12,430			12,430
Fire & Liability Insurance		18,748		18,748			18,748
Electricity		18,762		18,762			18,762
Heavy Equipment Rental		8,260		8,260			8,260
Gas/Vehicle Maintenance		110,305		110,305			110,305
Repairs & Maintenance		26,000		26,000			26,000
Main Maintenance		35,000		35,000			35,000
Hydrant Maintenance		35,000		35,000			35,000
Service Maintenance		30,000		30,000			30,000
Operating Supplies		10,000		10,000			10,000
Uniforms & protective Gear		1,761		1,761			1,761
Subtotal:	\$	1,053,248	\$	1,053,248	\$-	\$	1,053,248
Fire Protection							
Repair & Maintenance - Equipment	\$ \$	13,500	\$	13,500		\$ \$	13,500
Subtotal:	\$	13,500	\$	13,500	\$-	\$	13,500
Total O&M Costs	\$	8,491,098	\$	8,491,098	\$-	\$	8,491,098

Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule A-1 Rebuttal Revenue Requirements

			٦	Test Year			
		Rate Year	FY 2013				
		pproved in	Approved in		Adjustments	Pr	oposed Rate
	D	ocket 4243	Do	ocket 4243	To Test Year		Year
CAPITAL COSTS							
Contribution to Capital Spending Acct.	\$	2,500,000	· ·	2,500,000		\$	2,500,000
Contribution to Debt Service Acct.		\$1,589,369		5,861,869	(\$2,126,853)		\$3,735,016
Total Capital Costs	\$	4,089,369	\$	8,361,869	\$ (2,126,853)	\$	6,235,016
Operating Revenue Allowance	\$	254,733	\$	254,733		\$	254,733
Total Costs before Offsets	Ś	12,835,200	\$1	7,107,700	\$ (2,126,853)	Ś	14,980,847
	Ŷ	12,000,200	Ŷ-	,,,107,,700	<i>\$</i> (2)220)000)	Ŷ	1,500,017
OFFSETS							
Nonrate Revenues							
Sundry charges	\$	104,000	\$	104,000		\$	104,000
WPC cost share on customer service		296,856		296,856			296,856
Middletown cost share on customer serv		143,506		143,506			143,506
Rental of Property		108,167		108,167			108,167
Water Penalty		47,500		47,500			47,500
Miscellaneous		8,600		8,600			8,600
Investment Interest Income		3,900		3,900			3,900
Water Quality Protection Fees		22,500		22,500			22,500
Total Nonrate Revenues	\$	735,029	\$	735,029	\$ -	\$	735,029
Not Costs to Be Resourced through Potes	Ļ	12.100.171	61	6 272 671	\$ (2,126,853)	ć	14 345 919
Net Costs to Be Recovered through Rates	Ş	12,100,171	γī	0,372,071	\$ (2,120,853)	Ş	14,245,818

Rate Year O&M costs are those approved in Docket No. 4243.

Newport Water

Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule A-2 Rebuttal

Cost of Service Rates and Charges

						(1)					
			D	ocket 4243							
				Rates	Cos	st of Service	Prop	oosed Rates	% Change	Project	ed Revenues
Base C	Charge (per bill)										
Мо	nthly										
	5/8		\$	18.75	\$	7.8168	\$	7.82	-58%		\$10,322
	3/4		\$	18.75	·	7.9053		7.91	-58%		6,075
	1			18.75		8.6367		8.64	-54%		17,107
	1.5		\$ \$	18.75		10.4910		10.50	-44%		22,428
	2		\$	18.75		12.2880		12.29	-34%		31,708
	3		\$	18.75		22.1181		22.12	18%		13,272
	4		\$	18.75		24.7739		24.78	32%		3,568
	5		\$	18.75		28.3150		28.32	51%		340
	6		\$	18.75		30.9709		30.98	65%		7,435
	8		\$	18.75		38.0531		38.06	103%		457
	10		\$	18.75		50.8897		50.89	171%		611
Qua	arterly		·								
	5/8		\$	18.75	\$	10.6705	\$	10.68	-43%		455,182
	3/4		\$	18.75		10.9361		10.94	-42%		105,637
	1		\$	18.75		13.1302		13.14	-30%		20,551
	1.5		\$	18.75		18.6932		18.70	0%		13,913
	2		\$	18.75		24.0843		24.09	28%		5,685
	3		\$	18.75		53.5744		53.58	186%		3,643
	4		\$	18.75		61.5419		61.55	228%		739
	5		\$	18.75		72.1653		72.17	285%		0
	6		\$	18.75		80.1328		80.14	327%		1,282
	8		\$	18.75		101.3796		101.38	441%		0
	10		\$	18.75		139.8893		139.89	646%		0
	10		Ŷ	20070		100100000			0.10/0	Ś	719,955
Volum	ne Charge (per 1,000	gallons)								+	
Ret	• • •	84.101.07									
	Residential		\$	6.43	\$	8.2288	\$	8.23	28%		5,185,986
	Non-Residential		\$	6.43	\$	9.0535	\$	9.06	41%		4,526,802
			Ŷ	0110	Ŷ	510000	Ŧ	5100	.170	\$	9,712,788
Wh	olesale									Ŷ	5)/ 12)/ 00
	Navy		\$	3.9540	\$	5.8062	\$	5.8063	47%		1,039,159
	Portsmouth Water &	& Fire District	\$	3.152	\$	4.3420	\$	4.3421	38%		1,860,672
			Ŷ	01102	Ŷ		Ŧ		00/0	\$	2,899,832
Fire P	rotection									Ŷ	2,000,002
	olic (per hydrant)		\$	1,065.00	\$	637.61	\$	637.62	-40%	\$	660,574
			Ŷ	2,000.00	Ŷ	007101	Ŧ		1070	Ŷ	000,07
Priv	vate (by Connection S	Size) (2)									
		Existing Charge	-								
	Connection Size	Differential	1								
	<2		1	\$21.00	\$	18.43	\$	18.44	-12%		
	2	6.19	1	\$88.00	\$	77.25	\$	77.25	-12%		309
	4	38.32	1	\$541.00	\$	269.48	\$	269.48	-50%		16,438
	6	111.31	1	\$1,083.00	\$	633.69	\$	633.70	-41%		155,257
	8	237.21	1	\$2,478.00	\$	1,261.89	\$	1,261.90	-49%		78,238
	10	426.58	1	\$4,091.00	\$	2,206.84	\$	2,206.84	-46%		
	12	689.04	1	\$6,568.00	\$	3,516.49	\$	3,516.49	-46%		7,033
				, . ,							

Total Projected Rate Revenues \$ 14,250,424

(1) From HJS Schedule B-2 Rebuttal, 'Allocation of Costs to Water Rate Classes'.

(2) From HJS Schedule D-2 Rebuttal, 'Fire Protection Accounts'.

Newport Water

Cost Of Service Analysis

HJS Schedule A-3 Rebuttal Bill Impacts

Page 1 of 2

			P	roposed		Proposed			F	roposed		Proposed			Proposed			Proposed		
Customer Class		All Meter		Inch Mete	r		Inch Mete	r		nch Meter			Inch Meter			Inch Meter			Inch Meter	
	Monthly	Bill at	Bill at			Bill at			Bill at			Bill at			Bill at			Bill at		
	Consumption	Current	Proposed	Dollar	Percent	Proposed	Dollar	Percent	Proposed	Dollar	Percent	Proposed	Dollar	Percent	Proposed	Dollar	Percent	Proposed	Dollar	Percent
	(gallons)	Rates	Rates	Change	Change	Rates	Change	Change	Rates	Change	Change	Rates	Change	Change	Rates	Change	Change	Rates	Change	Change
Residential (Monthly)																				1
	1,000	\$25.18	\$16.05	-\$9.13	-36.3%	\$16.14	-\$9.04	-35.9%	\$16.87	-\$8.31	-33.0%	\$18.73	-\$6.45	-25.6%	\$20.52	-\$4.66	-18.5%	\$30.35	\$5.17	20.5%
	2,000	\$31.61	\$24.28	-\$7.33	-23.2%	\$24.37	-\$7.24	-22.9%	\$25.10	-\$6.51	-20.6%	\$26.96	-\$4.65	-14.7%	\$28.75	-\$2.86	-9.0%	\$38.58	\$6.97	22.0%
	4,000	\$44.47	\$40.74	-\$3.73		\$40.83	-\$3.64	-8.2%	\$41.56	-\$2.91	-6.5%	\$43.42	-\$1.05	-2.4%	\$45.21		1.7%	\$55.04	\$10.57	23.8%
	5,000	\$50.90	\$48.97	-\$1.93		\$49.06	-\$1.84	-3.6%	\$49.79	-\$1.11	-2.2%	\$51.65	\$0.75	1.5%	\$53.44	\$2.54	5.0%	\$63.27	\$12.37	24.3%
	7,500	\$66.98	\$69.55	\$2.57	3.8%	\$69.64	\$2.66	4.0%	\$70.37	\$3.39	5.1%	\$72.23	\$5.25	7.8%	\$74.02	\$7.04	10.5%	\$83.85	\$16.87	25.2%
	10,000	\$83.05	\$90.12	\$7.07	8.5%	\$90.21	\$7.16	8.6%	\$90.94	\$7.89	9.5%	\$92.80	\$9.75	11.7%	\$94.59	\$11.54	13.9%	\$104.42	\$21.37	25.7%
	15,000	\$115.20	\$131.27	\$16.07	13.9%	\$131.36	\$16.16	14.0%	\$132.09	\$16.89	14.7%	\$133.95	\$18.75	16.3%	\$135.74	\$20.54	17.8%	\$145.57	\$30.37	26.4%
	20,000	\$147.35	\$172.42	\$25.07	17.0%	\$172.51	\$25.16	17.1%	\$173.24	\$25.89	17.6%	\$175.10	\$27.75	18.8%	\$176.89	\$29.54	20.0%	\$186.72	\$39.37	26.7%
	25,000	\$179.50	\$213.57	\$34.07	19.0%	\$213.66	\$34.16	19.0%	\$214.39	\$34.89	19.4%	\$216.25	\$36.75	20.5%	\$218.04	\$38.54	21.5%	\$227.87	\$48.37	26.9%
	30,000	\$211.65	\$254.72	\$43.07	20.3%	\$254.81	\$43.16	20.4%	\$255.54	\$43.89	20.7%	\$257.40	\$45.75	21.6%	\$259.19	\$47.54	22.5%	\$269.02	\$57.37	27.1%
Residential (Quarterly)																				
	1,000	\$25.18	\$18.91	-\$6.27		\$19.17	-\$6.01	-23.9%	\$21.37	-\$3.81	-15.1%		\$1.75	6.9%	\$32.32		28.4%	\$61.81	\$36.63	
	2,000	\$31.61	\$27.14	-\$4.47	-14.1%	\$27.40	-\$4.21	-13.3%	\$29.60	-\$2.01		\$35.16	\$3.55	11.2%	\$40.55	\$8.94	28.3%	\$70.04	\$38.43	
	3,000	\$38.04	\$35.37	-\$2.67	-7.0%	\$35.63	-\$2.41	-6.3%	\$37.83	-\$0.21		\$43.39	\$5.35	14.1%	\$48.78	\$10.74	28.2%	\$78.27	\$40.23	
	4,000	\$44.47	\$43.60	-\$0.87	-2.0%	\$43.86	-\$0.61	-1.4%	\$46.06	\$1.59	3.6%	\$51.62	\$7.15	16.1%	\$57.01	\$12.54	28.2%	\$86.50	\$42.03	
	5,000	\$50.90	\$51.83	\$0.93	1.8%	\$52.09	\$1.19	2.3%	\$54.29	\$3.39	6.7%	\$59.85	\$8.95	17.6%	\$65.24	\$14.34	28.2%	\$94.73	\$43.83	
	15,000	\$115.20	\$134.13	\$18.93	16.4%	\$134.39	\$19.19	16.7%	\$136.59	\$21.39	18.6%	\$142.15	\$26.95	23.4%	\$147.54	\$32.34	28.1%	\$177.03	\$61.83	
	60,000	\$404.55	\$504.48	\$99.93	24.7%	\$504.74	\$100.19	24.8%	\$506.94	\$102.39	25.3%	\$512.50	\$107.95	26.7%	\$517.89	\$113.34		\$547.38	\$142.83	
	80,000	\$533.15	\$669.08	\$135.93	25.5%	\$669.34	\$136.19	25.5%	\$671.54	\$138.39	26.0%	\$677.10	\$143.95	27.0%	\$682.49	\$149.34	28.0%	\$711.98	\$178.83	33.5%
	100,000	\$661.75	\$833.68	\$171.93	26.0%	\$833.94	\$172.19	26.0%	\$836.14	\$174.39	26.4%	\$841.70	\$179.95	27.2%	\$847.09	\$185.34	28.0%	\$876.58	\$214.83	
	120,000	\$790.35	\$998.28	\$207.93	26.3%	\$998.54	\$208.19	26.3%	\$1,000.74	\$210.39	26.6%	\$1,006.30	\$215.95	27.3%	\$1,011.69	\$221.34	28.0%	\$1,041.18	\$250.83	31.7%

			Proposed			Proposed		Proposed		Proposed			Proposed			Proposed				
Customer Class		All Meter	5/8	Inch Meter	r	3/4	1 Inch Mete	r	1	Inch Meter		1.5	5 Inch Mete	r	2	Inch Meter		3	Inch Meter	
	Monthly	Bill at	Bill at			Bill at			Bill at			Bill at			Bill at			Bill at		
	Consumption	Current	Proposed	Dollar	Percent	Proposed	Dollar	Percent	Proposed	Dollar	Percent	Proposed	Dollar	Percent	Proposed	Dollar	Percent	Proposed	Dollar	Percent
	(gallons)	Rates	Rates	Change	Change	Rates	Change	Change	Rates	Change	Change	Rates	Change	Change	Rates	Change	Change	Rates	Change	Change
Commercial (Monthly)																				
	2,000	\$31.61	\$25.94	-\$5.67	-17.9%	\$26.03	-\$5.58	-17.7%	\$26.76	-\$4.85	-15.3%	\$28.62	-\$2.99	-9.5%	\$30.41	-\$1.20	-3.8%	\$40.24	\$8.63	27.3%
	5,000	\$50.90	\$53.12	\$2.22	4.4%	\$53.21	\$2.31	4.5%	\$53.94	\$3.04	6.0%	\$55.80	\$4.90	9.6%	\$57.59	\$6.69	13.1%	\$67.42	\$16.52	32.5%
	10,000	\$83.05	\$98.42	\$15.37	18.5%	\$98.51	\$15.46	18.6%	\$99.24	\$16.19	19.5%	\$101.10	\$18.05	21.7%	\$102.89	\$19.84	23.9%	\$112.72	\$29.67	35.7%
	25,000	\$179.50	\$234.32	\$54.82	30.5%	\$234.41	\$54.91	30.6%	\$235.14	\$55.64	31.0%	\$237.00	\$57.50	32.0%	\$238.79	\$59.29	33.0%	\$248.62	\$69.12	38.5%
	30,000	\$211.65	\$279.62	\$67.97	32.1%	\$279.71	\$68.06	32.2%	\$280.44	\$68.79	32.5%	\$282.30	\$70.65	33.4%	\$284.09	\$72.44	34.2%	\$293.92	\$82.27	38.9%
	40,000	\$275.95	\$370.22	\$94.27	34.2%	\$370.31	\$94.36	34.2%	\$371.04	\$95.09	34.5%	\$372.90	\$96.95	35.1%	\$374.69	\$98.74	35.8%	\$384.52	\$108.57	39.3%
	50,000	\$340.25	\$460.82	\$120.57	35.4%	\$460.91	\$120.66	35.5%	\$461.64	\$121.39	35.7%	\$463.50	\$123.25	36.2%	\$465.29	\$125.04	36.7%	\$475.12	\$134.87	39.6%
	75,000	\$501.00	\$687.32	\$186.32	37.2%	\$687.41	\$186.41	37.2%	\$688.14	\$187.14	37.4%	\$690.00	\$189.00	37.7%	\$691.79	\$190.79	38.1%	\$701.62	\$200.62	40.0%
	100,000	\$661.75	\$913.82	\$252.07	38.1%	\$913.91	\$252.16	38.1%	\$914.64	\$252.89	38.2%	\$916.50	\$254.75	38.5%	\$918.29	\$256.54	38.8%	\$928.12	\$266.37	40.3%

			I	Proposed		Proposed		Proposed		Proposed			Proposed			Proposed				
		All Meter	5/8	5/8 Inch Meter		3/4 Inch Meter		1 Inch Meter		1.5 Inch Meter			2 Inch Meter			3 Inch Meter				
	Annual	Bill at	Bill at			Bill at			Bill at			Bill at			Bill at			Bill at		
	Consumption	Current	Proposed	Dollar	Percent	Proposed	Dollar	Percent	Proposed	Dollar	Percent	Proposed	Dollar	Percent	Proposed	Dollar	Percent	Proposed	Dollar	Percent
Customer Class	(gallons)	Rates	Rates	Change	Change	Rates	Change	Change	Rates	Change	Change	Rates	Change	Change	Rates	Change	Change	Rates	Change	Change
Commercial with 6" Fire																				
Connection(Monthly Account)																				
Base Charge and Commodity Charges	120,000	\$996.60	\$1,181.04	\$184.44	18.5%	\$1,182.12	\$185.52	18.6%	\$1,190.88	\$194.28	19.5%	\$1,213.20	\$216.60	21.7%	\$1,234.68	\$238.08	23.9%	\$1,352.64	\$356.04	35.7%
Fire Protection Charge		\$1,083.00	\$633.70	-\$449.30	-41.5%	\$633.70	-\$449.30	-41.5%	\$633.70	-\$449.30	-41.5%	\$633.70	-\$449.30	-41.5%	\$633.70	-\$449.30	-41.5%	\$633.70	-\$449.30	-41.5%
Total Annual Charges		\$2,079.60	\$1,814.74	-\$264.86	-12.7%	\$1,815.82	-\$263.78	-12.7%	\$1,824.58	-\$255.02	-12.3%	\$1,846.90	-\$232.70	-11.2%	\$1,868.38	-\$211.22	-10.2%	\$1,986.34	-\$93.26	-4.5%

Docket No. 4355

Newport Water Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule A-3 Rebuttal Bill Impacts - Cost of Service Rates Page 2 of 2

			F	roposed	
	Monthly	Bill at	Bill at		
	Consumption	Current	Proposed	Dollar	Percent
Customer Class	(gallons)	Rates	Rates	Change	Change
Portsmouth (Monthly)					
	10,000,000	\$31,539	\$43,446	\$11,907	37.8%
	20,000,000	\$63,059	\$86,867	\$23,808	37.8%
Avg. Monthly Bill	38,000,000	\$119,795	\$165,025	\$45,230	37.8%
	40,000,000	\$126,099	\$173,709	\$47,610	37.8%
	75,000,000	\$236,419	\$325,682	\$89,264	37.8%
	100,000,000	\$315,219	\$434,235	\$119,016	37.8%
	150,000,000	\$472,819	\$651,340	\$178,521	37.8%
Navy (Monthly)					-
	10,000,000	\$39,559	\$58,370	\$18,811	47.6%
Avg. Monthly Bill (All Meters)	20,000,000	\$79,099	\$116,433	\$37,334	47.2%
	38,000,000	\$150,252	\$220,946	\$70,694	47.1%
	50,000,000	\$197,719	\$290,622	\$92,903	47.0%
	75,000,000	\$296,569	\$435,779	\$139,210	46.9%
	100,000,000	\$395,419	\$580,937	\$185,518	46.9%

Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule A-4 Rebuttal Revenue Proof

	Rate Year Revenue							
	F	xisting Rates	-	roposed Rates				
REVENUES		Aisting Nates		oposed nates				
Water Rates								
Base Charge (Billing Charge)	\$	1,213,500	\$	719,955				
Volume Charge	Ŷ	1,213,300	Ŷ	, 19,999				
Residential		4,051,749		5,185,986				
Commercial		3,212,730		4,526,802				
Navy		707,651		1,039,159				
Portsmouth Water & Fire District		1,350,692		1,860,672				
Fire Protection		_,		_)000)0/_				
Public		1,103,340		660,574				
Private		465,460		257,275				
Total Rate Revenues	\$	12,105,122	\$	14,250,424				
Other Operating Revenues								
Sundry charges	\$	104,000		104,000				
WPC cost share on customer service	\$	296,856		296,856				
Middletown cost share on customer service	\$ \$ \$	143,506		143,506				
Rental of Property	\$	108,167		108,167				
Total Other Operating Revenues	\$	652,529		652,529				
Total Operating Revenues	\$	12,757,651	\$	14,902,953				
Add: Non-Operating Revenues								
Water Penalty		47,500		47,500				
Miscellaneous		8,600		8,600				
Investment Interest Income		3,900		3,900				
Water Quality Protection Fees		22,500		22,500				
Total Non Operating Revenues	\$	82,500	\$	82,500				
Total Revenues	\$	12,840,151	\$	14,985,453				
COSTS								
Departmental O&M	\$	(8,491,098)		(8,491,098				
Capital Costs								
Contribution to Capital Spending Acct.		(2,500,000)		(2,500,000				
Contribution to Debt Service Acct.		(3,735,016)		(\$3,735,016				
Total Capital Costs	\$	(6,235,016)		(6,235,016				
Operating Revenue Allowance		(254,733)		(254,733				
Total Costs	\$	(14,980,847)	\$	(14,980,847				

Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule B-1 Rebuttal Base Extra Capacity Cost Allocations

											Total %
	Ra	ate Year	Allocation Notes	Base	Max Day	Max Hour	Metering	Billing	Services	Fire	Allocated
Operation & Maintenance Costs											
Administration											
Salaries, Wages, & Benefits											
Salaries & Wages	\$	273,889	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
AFSCME retro	\$	-	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
NEA retro	\$	-	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
AFSCME benefits on retro pay	\$	-	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
NEA benefits on retro pay	\$	-	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Standby Salaries	\$	12,500	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Accrued Benefits Buyout	\$	175,000	Non-Administrative Wages & Salaries	59%	25%	4%	6%	5%	2%	0%	100%
Employee Benefits	\$	128,202	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Retiree Insurance Coverage	\$	514,000	Non-Administrative Wages & Salaries	59%	25%	4%	6%	5%	2%	0%	100%
Workers Compensation	\$	85,000	Non-Administrative Wages & Salaries	59%	25%	4%	6%	5%	2%	0%	100%
Annual Leave Buyback	\$	2,400	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Subtotal		1,190,991									

Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule B-1 Rebuttal Base Extra Capacity Cost Allocations

										Total %
	Rate Year	Allocation Notes	Base	Max Dav	Max Hour	Metering	Billing	Services	Fire	Allocated
	nute rear	Anocation Notes	Duse	Max Duy	Maxmour	Metering	DIIIIB	Services	The	Anocated
All Other Administrative Costs										
Advertisement	9,000	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Membership Dues & Subscriptions	2,500	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Conferences & Training	4,000	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Tuition Reimbursement	2,000	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Consultant Fees	233,033	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Postage	1,000	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Fire & Liability Insurance	76,468	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Telephone & Communication	5,500	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Water	1,942	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Electricity	5,805	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Natural Gas	7,252	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Property Taxes	226,774	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Legal & Administrative	-	,								
Audit Fees	4,349	Total Non-Admin Costs Before Offsets	69%	16%	5%	3%	5%	1%	1%	100%
OPEB Contribution	-	Total Non-Admin Costs Before Offsets	69%	16%	5%	3%	5%	1%	1%	100%
City Counsel	4,649	Total Non-Admin Costs Before Offsets	69%	16%	5%	3%	5%	1%	1%	100%
Citizens Survey	-	Total Non-Admin Costs Before Offsets	69%	16%	5%	3%	5%	1%	1%	100%
City Clerk	3,381	Total Non-Admin Costs Before Offsets	69%	16%	5%	3%	5%	1%	1%	100%
City Manager	54,131	Total Non-Admin Costs Before Offsets	69%	16%	5%	3%	5%	1%	1%	100%
Human Resources	30,121	Non-Administrative Wages & Salaries	59%	25%	4%	6%	5%	2%	0%	100%
City Solicitor	20,459	Total Non-Admin Costs Before Offsets	69%	16%	5%	3%	5%	1%	1%	100%
Finance Adimistrative 80%	19,822	Total Non-Admin Costs Before Offsets	69%	16%	5%	3%	5%	1%	1%	100%
Finance Adimistrative 5%	7,020	Total Non-Admin Costs Before Offsets	69%	16%	5%	3%	5%	1%	1%	100%
Purchasing	18,314	Total Non-Admin Costs Before Offsets	69%	16%	5%	3%	5%	1%	1%	100%
Assessment	5,973	Capital Costs	67%	16%	9%	1%	6%	1%	1%	100%
Collections	46,979	100% Billing	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%
Accounting 5%	10,679	Total Non-Admin Costs Before Offsets	69%	16%	5%	3%	5%	1%	1%	100%
Accounting	70,516	Non-Administrative Wages & Salaries	59%	25%	4%	6%	5%	2%	0%	100%
Public Safety	-	Total Non-Admin Costs Before Offsets	69%	16%	5%	3%	5%	1%	1%	100%
Facilities Maintenance	13,266	Total Non-Admin Costs Before Offsets	69%	16%	5%	3%	5%	1%	1%	100%
Data Processing	143,888	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Mileage Allowance	2,000	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Gasoline & Vehicle Allowance	7,508	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Repairs & Maintenance	1,200	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Regulatory Expense	10,000	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Regulatory Assessment	48,096	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Office Supplies	20,000	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Self Insurance	10,000	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Unemployment Claims	12,000	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Subtotal	1,139,623	,								
	,,									

Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule B-1 Rebuttal Base Extra Capacity Cost Allocations

				1 1						Tatal 0/
	Rate Year	Allocation Notes	Base	Max Day	May Hour	Metering	Dilling	Services	Fire	Total % Allocated
	Rate rear	Allocation Notes	Dase	IVIAX Day	Max Hour	wietering	Billing	Services	FILE	Anocateu
Customer Service										
Salaries & Wages	281,735	Customer Servce Salaries and Wages	0%	0%	0%	46%	41%	13%	0%	100%
Benefits	168,793	Customer Servce Salaries and Wages	0%	0%	0%	46%	41%	13%	0%	100%
Copying & binding	500	100% billing (based on budget analysis)					100%			100%
Conferences & Training	5,000	100% billing (based on budget analysis)					100%			100%
Support Services	26,002	100% billing (software support & printing/mailing)					100%			100%
Postage	31,706	100% billing (based on budget analysis)					100%			100%
Gasoline & Vehicle Allowance	33,421	Customer Servce Salaries and Wages	0%	0%	0%	46%	41%	13%	0%	100%
Repairs & Maintenance	40,000	100% metering (meter repairs)				100%				100%
Meter Maintenance	10,000	100% metering (based on budget analysis)				100%				100%
Operating Supplies	5,000	100% metering (based on budget analysis)				100%				100%
Uniforms & protective Gear	1,000	100% metering (based on budget analysis)				100%				100%
Customer Service Supplies	10,343	100% billing (based on budget analysis)					100%			100%
Subtotal	613,500									
Source of Supply - Island										
Salaries & Wages	\$ 258,897	Average Day Demand Patterns	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Overtime	\$ 28,903	Average Day Demand Patterns	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Temp Salaries	\$ 10,000	Average Day Demand Patterns	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Injury Pay	\$-	Average Day Demand Patterns	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Employee Benefits	\$ 134,334	Average Day Demand Patterns	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Annual Leave Buyback	\$ 6,300	Average Day Demand Patterns	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Electricity	\$ 42,108	Average Day Demand Patterns	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Gas/Vehicle Maintenance	\$ 58,648	Average Day Demand Patterns	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Repairs & Maintenance	\$ 7,425	Average Day Demand Patterns	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Reservoir Maintenance	\$ 16,000	Average Day Demand Patterns	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Operating Supplies	\$ 7,750	Average Day Demand Patterns	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Uniforms & protective Gear	\$	Average Day Demand Patterns	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Chemicals	\$ 72,735	Average Day Demand Patterns	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Subtotal	\$ 643,800	Average bay benand ratterns	10070	070	070	070	0/0	0/0	0/0	100/0
Subtotal	5 045,800									
Source of Supply - Mainland										
Overtime	\$ 4,617	Average Day Demand Patterns	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Temp Salaries	\$ 13,000	Average Day Demand Patterns	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Permanent Part time	\$ 15,264	Average Day Demand Patterns	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Employee Benefits	\$ 2,525	Average Day Demand Patterns	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Electricity	\$ 120,189	Average Day Demand Patterns	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Repairs & Maintenance	\$ 7,200	Average Day Demand Patterns	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Reservoir Maintenance	\$ 7,200	Average Day Demand Patterns	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	\$ 4,500 \$ 630		100%	0% 0%	0%	0% 0%	0% 0%	0% 0%	0% 0%	100%
Operating Supplies	,	Average Day Demand Patterns	100%	U%	υ%	υ%	υ%	υ%	0%	100%
Subtotal	\$ 167,925									

1				-						T 1 10/
	5						D.III.	<i>c</i> .	<u>_</u> .	Total %
	Rate Year	Allocation Notes	Base	Max Day	Max Hour	Metering	Billing	Services	Fire	Allocated
Station One (Excludes pumping and chemicals)										
Salaries & Wages	\$ 446,983	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Overtime	\$ 60,021	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Holiday Pay	\$ 17,045	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Employee Benefits	\$ 278,523	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Annual Leave Buyback	\$ 5,000	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Conferences & Training	\$ 4,500	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Fire & Liability Insurance	\$ 12,687	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Electricity	\$ 252,674	100% Base	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Natural Gas	\$ 24,250	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Rental of Equipment	\$ 600	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Sewer Charge	\$ 293,020	, 100% Base	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Gas/Vehicle Maintenance	\$ 7,583	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Repairs & Maintenance	\$ 25,000	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Operating Supplies	\$ 25,210	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Uniforms & protective Gear	\$ 1,062	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Station One Pumping	\$ 22,428	Maximum Hour Demand Patterns	50%	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Station One Chemicals	\$ 354,210	100% Base	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Subtotal	\$ 1,830,796									
Lawton Valley (Excludes pumping and chemicals)										
Salaries & Wages	\$459,704	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Overtime	\$37,657	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Holiday Pay	\$16,760	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Employee Benefits	\$287,143	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Annual Leave Buyback	\$3,966	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Conferences & Training	\$3,000	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Fire & Liability Insurance	\$18,614	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Electricity	\$132,551	100% Base	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Natural Gas	\$29,909	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Rental of Equipment	\$500	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Sewer Charge	\$360,640	100% Base	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Gas/Vehicle Maintenance	\$7,882	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Repairs & Maintenance	\$34,048	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Operating Supplies	\$18,475	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Uniforms & protective Gear	\$1,542	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Lawton Valley Pumping	\$31,646	Maximum Hour Demand Patterns	50%	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Lawton Valley Chemicals	\$169,977	100% Base	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Subtotal	1,614,015									

										Total %
	Rate Year	Allocation Notes	Base	Max Day	Max Hour	Metering	Billing	Services	Fire	Allocated
Laboratory										
Salaries & Wages	\$ 104,358	100% Base	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Employee Benefits	\$ 64,208	100% Base	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Annual Leave Buyback	\$ 04,208 \$ 2,750	100% Base	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Repairs & Maintenance	\$	100% Base	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Regulatory Assessment	\$ 32,000	100% Base	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Laboratory Supplies	\$ 52,000 \$ 18,684	100% Base	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Subtotal	\$ 18,084 \$ 223,700	100% Base	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Subtotal	\$ 223,700									
Transmission and Distribution										
Salaries & Wages	\$ 418,161	Maximum Hour Demand Patterns	50%	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Overtime	\$ 52,364	Maximum Hour Demand Patterns	50%	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Temp Salaries	\$ 10,000	Maximum Hour Demand Patterns	50%	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Injury Pay	\$-	Maximum Hour Demand Patterns	50%	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Employee Benefits	\$ 251,514	Maximum Hour Demand Patterns	50%	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Annual Leave Buyback	\$ 10,943	Maximum Hour Demand Patterns	50%	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Conferences & Training	\$ 4,000	Maximum Hour Demand Patterns	50%	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Contract Services	\$ 12,430	Maximum Hour Demand Patterns	50%	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Fire & Liability Insurance	\$ 18,748	Maximum Hour Demand Patterns	50%	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Electricity	\$ 18,762	Maximum Hour Demand Patterns	50%	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Heavy Equipment Rental	\$ 8,260	Maximum Hour Demand Patterns	50%	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Gas/Vehicle Maintenance	\$ 110,305	Maximum Hour Demand Patterns	50%	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Repairs & Maintenance	\$ 26,000	Maximum Hour Demand Patterns	50%	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Main Maintenance	\$ 35,000	Maximum Hour Demand Patterns	50%	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Hydrant Maintenance	\$ 35,000	100% Fire	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%
Service Maintenance	\$ 30,000	100% Services	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%
Operating Supplies	\$ 10,000	Maximum Hour Demand Patterns	50%	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Uniforms & protective Gear	\$ 1,761	Maximum Hour Demand Patterns	50%	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Subtotal	\$ 1,053,248									
Fire Protection	13,500	100% Fire	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%
Total O&M Costs	8,491,098									

										Total %
	Rate Year	Allocation Notes	Base	Max Day	Max Hour	Metering	Billing	Services	Fire	Allocated
										Total %
CAPITAL COSTS	Rate Year	Allocation Notes	Base	Max Day	Max Hour	Metering	Billing	Services	Fire	Allocated
Water Supply	1,432,261	100% Base	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Treatment Station 1	1,651,242									
Treatment Lawton Valley	521,872		Allocated Base	ed on Rese	rved Capacity	/				
Treatment Both Plants	671,826									
T&D Pumping	66,539	Maximum Day Demand Patterns	50%	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
T&D	1,606,540	Maximum Hour Demand Patterns	50%	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Fire	25,776	100% Fire	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%
Meters	23,069	100% Meters	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Services	23,069	100 % Services	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%
Billing	212,823	100% Billing	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%
Total Capital Costs excluding Treatment	3,390,076									
Revenue Allowance	254,733	100% base	100%							100%
Total Costs before Offsets	12,135,907									
OFFSETS										
Nonrate Revenues										
Sundry charges	104,000	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
WPC cost share on customer service	296,856	50/50 Split between Metering and Billing	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	100%
Middletown cost share on customer service	143,506	50/50 Split between Metering and Billing	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	100%
Rental of Property	108,167	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Water Penalty	47,500	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Miscellaneous	8,600	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Investment Interest Income	3,900	Non Admin less electricity & chemicals	64%	20%	3%	5%	5%	2%	1%	100%
Water Quality Protection Fees	22,500	100% Base	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Total Nonrate Revenues	735,029									

Net Costs To Recover Through Rates

11,400,878

\$

								Total \$
	Base	Max Day	Max Hour	Metering	Billing	Services	Fire	Allocated
Operation & Maintenance Costs								
Administration								
Salaries, Wages, & Benefits								
Salaries & Wages	175,537	53,981	9,280	14,025	13,881	4,725	2,459	273,889
AFSCME retro	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEA retro	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AFSCME benefits on retro pay	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEA benefits on retro pay	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Standby Salaries	8,011	2,464	424	640	634	216	112	12,500
Accrued Benefits Buyout	103,052	43,446	6,601	9,839	9,014	2,869	178	175,000
Employee Benefits	82,166	25,268	4,344	6,565	6,498	2,211	1,151	128,202
Retiree Insurance Coverage	302,679	127,607	19,389	28,900	26,474	8,427	523	514,000
Workers Compensation	50,054	21,102	3,206	4,779	4,378	1,394	87	85,000
Annual Leave Buyback	1,538	473	81	123	122	41	22	2,400
Subtotal	723,037	274,341	43,326	64,871	61,000	19,883	4,532	1,190,991

								Total \$
	Base	Max Day	Max Hour	Metering	Billing	Services	Fire	Allocated
All Other Administrative Costs								
Advertisement	5,768	1,774	305	461	456	155	81	9,000
Membership Dues & Subscriptions	1,602	493	85	128	127	43	22	2,500
Conferences & Training	2,564	788	136	205	203	69	36	4,000
Tuition Reimbursement	1,282	394	68	102	101	34	18	2,000
Consultant Fees	149,353	45,929	7,896	11,933	11,811	4,020	2,092	233,033
Postage	641	197	34	51	51	17	9	1,000
Fire & Liability Insurance	49,009	15,071	2,591	3,916	3,876	1,319	687	76,468
Telephone & Communication	3,525	1,084	186	282	279	95	49	5,500
Water	1,245	383	66	99	98	33	17	1,942
Electricity	3,720	1,144	197	297	294	100	52	5,805
Natural Gas	4,648	1,429	246	371	368	125	65	7,252
Property Taxes	145,341	44,695	7,684	11,612	11,493	3,912	2,036	226,774
Legal & Administrative								
Audit Fees	2,990	714	211	133	216	52	33	4,349
OPEB Contribution	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City Counsel	3,196	764	226	142	231	55	35	4,649
Citizens Survey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City Clerk	2,324	555	164	103	168	40	26	3,381
City Manager	37,213	8,894	2,632	1,654	2,686	642	410	54,131
Human Resources	17,737	7,478	1,136	1,694	1,551	494	31	30,121
City Solicitor	14,065	3,361	995	625	1,015	243	155	20,459
Finance Adimistrative 80%	13,627	3,257	964	606	984	235	150	19,822
Finance Adimistrative 5%	4,826	1,153	341	215	348	83	53	7,020
Purchasing	12,590	3,009	890	560	909	217	139	18,314
Assessment	3,991	963	518	41	375	41	45	5,973
Collections	-	-	-	-	46,979	-	-	46,979
Accounting 5%	7,341	1,755	519	326	530	127	81	10,679
Accounting	41,525	17,506	2,660	3,965	3,632	1,156	72	70,516
Public Safety	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Facilities Maintenance	9,120	2,180	645	405	658	157	100	13,266
Data Processing	92,219	28,359	4,875	7,368	7,293	2,482	1,292	143,888
Mileage Allowance	1,282	394	68	102	101	34	18	2,000
Gasoline & Vehicle Allowance	4,812	1,480	254	384	381	130	67	7,508
Repairs & Maintenance	769	237	41	61	61	21	11	1,200
Regulatory Expense	6,409	1,971	339	512	507	172	90	10,000
Regulatory Assessment	30,825	9,479	1,630	2,463	2,438	830	432	48,096
Office Supplies	12,818	3,942	678	1,024	1,014	345	180	20,000
Self Insurance	6,409	1,971	339	512	507	172	90	10,000
Unemployment Claims	7,691	2,365	407	614	608	207	108	12,000
Subtotal	702,475	215,169	40,024	52,968	102,346	17,858	8,783	1,139,623
	. ,	-,	-,	- ,	- ,	,	-,	,

						I		Total \$
	Base	Max Day	Max Hour	Metering	Billing	Services	Fire	Allocated
	· I							
Customer Service								
Salaries & Wages	-	-	-	128,413	116,547	36,776	-	281,735
Benefits	-	-	-	76,935	69,825	22,033	-	168,793
Copying & binding	-	-	-	-	500	-	-	500
Conferences & Training	-	-	-	-	5,000	-	-	5,000
Support Services	-	-	-	-	26,002	-	-	26,002
Postage	-	-	-	-	31,706	-	-	31,706
Gasoline & Vehicle Allowance	-	-	-	15,233	13,825	4,363	-	33,421
Repairs & Maintenance	-	-	-	40,000	-	-	-	40,000
Meter Maintenance	-	-	-	10,000	-	-	-	10,000
Operating Supplies	-	-	-	5,000	-	-	-	5,000
Uniforms & protective Gear	-	-	-	1,000	-	-	-	1,000
Customer Service Supplies	-	-	-	-	10,343	-	-	10,343
Subtotal								
Source of Supply - Island								
Salaries & Wages	258,897	_	-	_	-	_	_	258,897
Overtime	28,903	_	-	_	-	_	_	28,903
Temp Salaries	10,000	_	-	_	-	_	_	10,000
Injury Pay	10,000	_	-	_	-	_	_	10,000
Employee Benefits	134,334	_	-	_	-	_	_	134,334
Annual Leave Buyback	6,300	_	-	_	-	_	_	6,300
Electricity	42,108	_	-	_	-	_	_	42,108
Gas/Vehicle Maintenance	58,648	_	_	_	_	_	_	58,648
Repairs & Maintenance	7,425	_	-	_	-	_	_	7,425
Reservoir Maintenance	16,000	-	-	-	-	_	_	16,000
Operating Supplies	7,750		_	_	-	_	_	7,750
Uniforms & protective Gear	700	-	-	-	-	_	-	700
Chemicals	72,735	-	-	-	-	_	_	72,735
Subtotal	72,755							72,755
Source of Supply - Mainland								
Overtime	4,617	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,617
Temp Salaries	13,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,000
Permanent Part time	15,264	-	-	-	-	-	-	15,264
Employee Benefits	2,525	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,525
Electricity	120,189	-	-	-	-	-	-	120,189
Repairs & Maintenance	7,200	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,200
Reservoir Maintenance	4,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,500
Operating Supplies	630	-	-	-	-	-	-	630
Subtotal								

						<u> </u>		Total \$
	Base	Max Day	Max Hour	Metering	Billing	Services	Fire	Allocated
		,		0	0	11		J
Station One (Excludes pumping and chemicals)								
Salaries & Wages	269,894	177,089	-	-	-	-	-	446,983
Overtime	36,241	23,780	-	-	-	-	-	60,021
Holiday Pay	10,292	6,753	-	-	-	-	-	17,045
Employee Benefits	168,176	110,347	-	-	-	-	-	278,523
Annual Leave Buyback	3,019	1,981	-	-	-	-	-	5,000
Conferences & Training	2,717	1,783	-	-	-	-	-	4,500
Fire & Liability Insurance	7,661	5,026	-	-	-	-	-	12,687
Electricity	252,674	-	-	-	-	-	-	252,674
Natural Gas	14,642	9,608	-	-	-	-	-	24,250
Rental of Equipment	362	238	-	-	-	-	-	600
Sewer Charge	293,020	-	-	-	-	-	-	293,020
Gas/Vehicle Maintenance	4,579	3,004	-	-	-	-	-	7,583
Repairs & Maintenance	15,095	9,905	-	-	-	-	-	25,000
Operating Supplies	15,222	9,988	-	-	-	-	-	25,210
Uniforms & protective Gear	641	421	-	-	-	-	-	1,062
Station One Pumping	11,165	7,326	3,938	-	-	-	-	22,428
Station One Chemicals	354,210	-	-	-	-	-	-	354,210
Subtotal								
Lawton Valley (Excludes pumping and chemicals)								
Salaries & Wages	277,575	182,129	-	-	-	-	-	459,704
Overtime	22,738	14,919	-	-	-	-	-	37,657
Holiday Pay	10,120	6,640	-	-	-	-	-	16,760
Employee Benefits	173,381	113,762	-	-	-	-	-	287,143
Annual Leave Buyback	2,395	1,571	-	-	-	-	-	3,966
Conferences & Training	1,811	1,189	-	-	-	-	-	3,000
Fire & Liability Insurance	11,239	7,375	-	-	-	-	-	18,614
Electricity	132,551	-	-	-	-	-	-	132,551
Natural Gas	18,059	11,850	-	-	-	-	-	29,909
Rental of Equipment	302	198	-	-	-	-	-	500
Sewer Charge	360,640	-	-	-	-	-	-	360,640
Gas/Vehicle Maintenance	4,759	3,123	-	-	-	-	-	7,882
Repairs & Maintenance	20,559	13,489	-	-	-	-	-	34,048
Operating Supplies	11,155	7,320	-	-	-	-	-	18,475
Uniforms & protective Gear	931	611	-	-	-	-	-	
Lawton Valley Pumping	15,753	10,336	5,556	-	-	-	-	~ ~ ~ ~ ~
Lawton Valley Chemicals	169,977	-	-	-	-	-	-	169,977
								•

Subtotal

		Base	Max Day	Max Hour	Metering	Billing	Services	Fire	Total \$ Allocated
Laboratory									
Salaries & Wages		104,358	-	-	-	-	-	-	104,358
Employee Benefits		64,208	-	-	-	-	-	-	64,208
Annual Leave Buyback		2,750	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,750
Repairs & Maintenance		1,700	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,700
Regulatory Assessment		32,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	32,000
Laboratory Supplies		18,684	-	-	-	-	-	-	18,684
Subtotal									
Transmission and Distribution									
Salaries & Wages		208,159	136,582	73,420	-	-	-	-	418,161
Overtime		26,067	17,103	9,194	-	-	-	-	52,364
Temp Salaries		4,978	3,266	1,756	-	-	-	-	10,000
Injury Pay		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Employee Benefits		125,203	82,151	44,161	-	-	-	-	251,514
Annual Leave Buyback		5,447	3,574	1,921	-	-	-	-	10,943
Conferences & Training		1,991	1,306	702	-	-	-	-	4,000
Contract Services		6,188	4,060	2,182	-	-	-	-	12,430
Fire & Liability Insurance		9,333	6,124	3,292	-	-	-	-	18,748
Electricity		9,340	6,128	3,294	-	-	-	-	18,762
Heavy Equipment Rental		4,112	2,698	1,450	-	-	-	-	8,260
Gas/Vehicle Maintenance		54,909	36,028	19,367	-	-	-	-	110,305
Repairs & Maintenance		12,943	8,492	4,565	-	-	-	-	26,000
Main Maintenance		17,423	11,432	6,145	-	-	-	-	35,000
Hydrant Maintenance			-	-	-	-	-	35,000	35,000
Service Maintenance		-	-	-	-	-	30,000	-	30,000
Operating Supplies		4,978	3,266	1,756	-	-	-	-	10,000
Uniforms & protective Gear		877	575	309	-	-	-	-	1,761
Subtotal									,
Fire Protection		-	-	-	-	-	-	13,500	13,500
Total O&M Costs	Non-Administrative O&M	4,220,929	1,064,545	183,010	276,580	273,749	93,171	48,500	6,160,484

									Total \$
		Base	Max Day	Max Hour	Metering	Billing	Services	Fire	Allocated
									Total \$
CAPITAL COSTS		Base	Max Day	Max Hour	Metering	Billing	Services	Fire	Allocated
	/ater Supply	1,432,261	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,432,261
	ent Station 1								
Treatment La	•			Allocat	ed Based on Re	served Capacit	У		
	Both Plants								
Т	&D Pumping	33,123	21,733	11,683	-	-	-	-	66,539
	T&D	799,729	524,735	282,075	-	-	-	-	1,606,540
	Fire	-	-	-	-	-	-	25,776	25,776
	Meters	-	-	-	23,069	-	-	-	23,069
	Services	-	-	-	-	-	23,069	-	23,069
	Billing	-	-	-	-	212,823	-	-	212,823
Total Capital Costs excluding	gTreatment	2,265,113	546,469	293,758	23,069	212,823	23,069	25,776	3,390,076
		67%	16%	9%	1%	6%	1%	1%	100%
Revenue Allowance		254,733	-	-	-	-		-	254,733
Total Costs before Offsets	Total Non-Admin Costs	6,740,775	1,611,013	476,769	299,649	486,572	116,240	74,276	9,805,293
		69%	16%	5%	3%	5%	1%	1%	100%
OFFSETS									
Nonrate Revenues									
Sundry charges		66,654	20,498	3,524	5,325	5,271	1,794	934	104,000
WPC cost share on customer	service	-	-	-	148,428	148,428	-	-	296,856
Middletown cost share on cu	stomer servic	-	-	-	71,753	71,753	-	-	143,506
Rental of Property		69,325	21,319	3,665	5,539	5,482	1,866	971	108,167
Water Penalty		30,443	9,362	1,609	2,432	2,407	819	427	47,500
Miscellaneous		5,512	1,695	291	440	436	148	77	8,600
Investment Interest Income		2,500	769	132	200	198	67	35	3,900
Water Quality Protection Fee	5	22,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	22,500
Total Nonrate Revenues		196,934	53,642	9,222	234,118	233,975	4,695	2,444	735,029
Net Costs To Recover Through Rates		\$ 6,543,841	\$ 1,557,372	\$ 467,547	\$ 65,531	\$ 252,597	\$ 111,545	\$ 71,832	\$ 9,070,264

		Base	Max Day	N	1ax Hour	N	/letering	Billing	Services	Fire		Total \$ Allocated
Non-Admin O&M Costs Less: Chemicals	\$	4,220,929	\$ 1,064,545	\$	183,010	\$	276,580	\$ 273,749	\$ 93,171	\$ 48,500	\$ \$	6,160,484
Station One	\$	(354,210)									\$	(354,210)
Lawton Valley	\$	(169,977)									Ś	(169,977)
Source Supply	\$	(72,735)									\$	(72,735)
Electricity	·	(, ,									\$	-
Source Supply	\$	(162,297)									\$	(162,297)
Station One	\$	-	\$ -								\$	-
Lawton Valley	\$	-	\$ -								\$	-
, Costs Adjusted	\$	3,461,710	\$ 1,064,545	\$	183,010	\$	276,580	\$ 273,749	\$ 93,171	\$ 48,500	\$	5,401,265
		64%	20%		3%		5%	5%	2%	1%		100%
												Total \$
		Base	Max Day	N	lax Hour	Ν	/letering	Billing	Services	Fire		Allocated
Non-Administrative Labor												
Administration		185,087	56,918		9,785		14,788	14,637	4,982	2,593		288,789
Customer Service		0	0		0		128,413	116,547	36,776	0		281,735
Source of Supply - Island		297,800	0		0		0	0	0	0		297,800
Source of Supply - Mainland		32,881	0		0		0	0	0	0		32,881
Station One		319,446	209,602		0		0	0	0	0		529,049
Lawton Valley		312,828	205,259		0		0	0	0	0		518,087
Laboratory		107,108	0		0		0	0	0	0		107,108
Transmission/Distribution		244,651	160,526		86,292		0	0	0	0		491,468
Total		1,499,801	632,305		96,077		143,200	131,183	41,757	2,593		2,546,917
Percent		59%	25%		4%		6%	5%	2%	0%		100%

Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule B-2 Rebuttal Allocation of Costs to Water Rate Classes

				Commodity	Charges			
ALLOCATION PERCENTAGE	S		F	Retail	Navy	Portsmouth		
Cost Category	Allocation Basis	Base Charge	Residential	Non-Residential			Fire	Total % Allocated
Base	Average annual demand		41%	32%	9%	18%	0%	100%
Base Excluding PWFD			50%	40%	10%	0%	0%	100%
Base Excluding PWFD & 509	% Navy		53%	42%	6%	0%	0%	100%
Water Quality Protection Fe	ees		56%	44%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Total Base to Class			43%	34%	8%	15%	0%	100%
Max Day	Estimated customer peaking factors		28%	34%	5%	15%	18%	100%
Base Excluding PWFD			33%	40%	6%	0%	22%	100%
Max Day Excluding PWFD 8	s 50% Navy		34%	41%	3%	0%	22%	100%
Total Max Day to Class			31%	38%	4%	7%	21%	100%
Max Hour	Estimated customer peaking factors		17%	25%	3%	8%	46%	100%
Base Excluding PWFD			19%	28%	4%	0%	50%	100%
Max Hour Excluding PWFD	& 50% Navy		19%	28%	2%	0%	51%	100%
Total Max Hour to Class			19%	28%	2%	0%	51%	100%
Metering	Direct Assignment	100%						100%
Billing	Direct Assignment	100%						100%
Services	Direct Assignment	100%						100%
Fire	Direct Assignment						100%	100%
Treatment Plant Avg. Day	Assured Capacity		38%	30%	12%	21%		100%
Treatment Plant Max. Day	Assured Capacity		32%	32%	9%	19%	9%	100%

				Commodity	Charges			
ALLOCATION RESULTS			Re	tail				
Cost Category	Rate Year	Base Charge	Residential	Commercial	Navy	Portsmouth	Fire	Total \$ Allocated
Base								
Base excluding T&D&WQPF & Pumping	5,389,059		2,201,466	1,745,596	462,981	979,016		5,389,059
Transmission & Distribution	1,291,675		680,518	539,599	71,558	-		1,291,675
Pumping	60,041		29,972	23,766	6,303	-		60,041
Water Quality Protection Fees	(22,500)		(12,549)	(9,951)	-	-		(22,500
Revenue Offsets	(174,434)		(75,354)	(59,750)	(13,996)	(25,334)	-	(174,434
Administrative Charges	1,425,513		615,809	488,290	114,375	207,039	-	1,425,513
Max Day								
Max Day Except T&D & Pumping	724,097		200,626	245,014	37,639	107,374	133,444	724,097
Transmission & Distribution	847,521		284,385	347,304	26,676	-	189,156	847,521
Pumping	39,395		12,816	15,651	2,404	-	8,524	39,395
Revenue Offsets	(53,642)		(16,576)	(20,244)	(2,222)	(3,575)	(11,025)	(53,642
Administrative Charges	489,510		151,266	184,733	20,273	32,626	100,613	489,510
Max Hour								
Max Hr. Except T&D & Pumping	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Transmission & Distribution	455,592	-	86,349	127,700	8,638	-	232,904	455,592
Pumping	21,177	-	3,939	5,825	788	-	10,625	21,177
Revenue Offsets	(9,222)		(1,746)	(2,583)	(182)	-	(4,710)	(9,222
Administrative Charges	83,350		15,785	23,343	1,648	-	42,575	83,350
Metering	299,649	299,649	-	-	-	-	-	299,649
Revenue Offsets	(234,118)	(234,118)						(234,118
Administrative Charges	117,839	117,839						117,839
Services	116,240	116,240						116,240
Revenue Offsets	(4,695)	(4,695)						(4,695
Administrative Charges	37,740	37,740						37,740
Billing	486,572	486,572	-	-	-	-	-	486,572
Revenue Offsets	(233,975)	(233,975)						(233,975
Administrative Charges	163,347	163,347					74.276	163,347
Fire	74,276						74,276	74,276
Revenue Offsets Administrative Charaes	(2,444)						(2,444) 13,315	(2,444
	13,315						13,315	13,315
Treatment Plant Capital Costs Treatment Plant Avg. Day	1,717,812		647.919	513,751	203,990	352.151		- 1,717,812
5,		-	- ,		,	, -	-	
Treatment Plant Max. Day	1,127,128	-	360,579	355,499	98,271	211,336	101,441	1,127,128
Total To Recover through Rates	\$ 14,245,818	\$ 748,599	\$ 5,185,203	\$ 4,523,544 \$	1,039,145	\$ 1,860,633	\$ 888,693	\$ 14,245,818

COST OF SERVICE PER UNIT

Description of Billing Units Percentage of Dollars Allocated Allocated Cost Divided by: Number of Units **Unit Cost of Service**

Description of Billing Units Percentage of Dollars Allocated Allocated Cost Divided by: Number of Units **Unit Cost of Service**

(1)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(3)	
equivalent						
meters x 12	1000's of	1000's of gallons	1000's of gallons	1000's of gallons	Equivalent	
months	gallons annually	annually	annually	annually	Connections	Total
1.3%	36.4%	31.8%	7.3%	13.1%	5.6%	100.0%
\$ 183,370	\$ 5,185,203	\$ 4,523,544	\$ 1,039,145	\$ 1,860,633	\$ 803,546	\$ 14,245,818
207,132	630,132	499,647	178,971	428,519	161,036	
\$0.8853	\$8.23	\$9.05	\$5.81	\$4.34	\$4.99	
	per 1000					
per equiv	gallons	per 1000 gallons	per 1000 gallons	per 1000 gallons	Equivalent	
per month					connections	

Billing	Services	Hydrants
No. of bills per	Equivalent	
year	Connections	No. of Hydrants
2.9%	1.0%	0.6%
\$ 415,943	\$ 149,286	\$ 85,147
65,094	275,639	1,036
\$6.3899	\$0.5416	\$82.1882
per bill	per equiv	per Hydrant
(1)		

Metering

From HJS Schedule D-1 Rebuttal, 'Water Accounts, by Size and Class'.
 From HJS Schedule B-6 Rebuttal, 'Water Demand History'.
 From HJS Schedule D-2 Rebuttal, 'Fire Protection Accounts'.

Direct Fire

Protection

100%

1%

0%

0%

1%

1%

1%

Total %

Allocated

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

0%

100%

100%

Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule B-3 Rebuttal Cost Allocation Bases

Allocation Basis

Fire Protection

Capital Costs

Other Costs Treatment Plant Capital

Used to allocate the following cost categories Supply, Laboratory Source Schedule Metering Billing Base Max Day Max Hour Services Average Day Demand Patterns N/A 100% Maximum Day Demand Patterns Treatment B-1 60% 40% 0% Maximum Hour Demand Patterns Pumping, Transmission/Distribution, Storage B-1 50% 33% 18% Public/Private Fire Protection Costs D-2 Non Admin less electricity & chemicals Administration Salaries, Wages, & Benefits B-1 64% 20% 3% 5% 5% 2% Customer Service Salaries, Wages, & Benefits Customer Service Salaries and Wages B-4 0% 0% 0% 46% 41% 13% Non-Administrative Wages & Salaries Administrative Labor Related B-1 59% 25% 4% 6% 5% 2% Certain Legal and Administrative B-1 67% 16% 9% 1% 6% 1% Total Non-Admin Costs before Offsets Certain Legal and Administrative B-1 5% 3% 1% 69% 16% 5% Administration Non-Salary Costs B-1 64% 20% 3% 5% 5% 2%

Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule B-4 Rebuttal Allocation Analyses

			Base	Max Day	Max Hour
Administration 15-500-2200					
Salaries by Staff Position					
Director of Utilities	\$	63,851	64%	20%	3%
Administrative Secretary	\$	27,753	64%	20%	3%
Deputy Director - Finance	\$	58,372	64%	20%	3%
Deputy Director - Engineering	\$	55,027	64%	20%	3%
Financial Analyst	\$	68,886	64%	20%	3%
Salary \$ Allocation Results	\$	273,889	\$ 175,537	\$ 53,981	\$ 9,280
Posulting % Allocation of Administration Salarios, Wagos	9. Ponofite		C / 9/	20%	20/

Resulting % Allocation of Administration Salaries, Wages, & Benefits

Customer Service 15-500-2209

Salaries by Staff Position		
Meter Repairman/Reader	\$	36,757
Meter Repairman/Reader	\$	38,996
Principal Account Clerk	\$	35,687
Meter Repairman/Reader		46,483
Maintenance Mechanic	\$	45,889
SAE - Sr. Maintenance Mechanic	\$	-
Water Meter Foreman	\$	52,523
Salary \$ Allocation Results	\$	256,335
Resulting % Allocation of Customer Service Salarie	s. Wages. & Benefits	

Resulting % Allocation of Customer Service Salaries, Wages, & Benefits

Treatment Plant Capital

		Base	(Avg. Day)	Max Day		Total
Treatment Station 1	\$ 1,651,242	\$	997,042	\$	654,200	\$ 1,651,242
Treatment Lawton Valley	521,872	\$	315,113	\$	206,759	\$ 521,872
Treatment Both Plants	671,826	\$	405,657	\$	266,168	\$ 671,826
	\$ 2,844,940	\$	1,717,812	\$:	1,127,128	\$ 2,844,940

		Non-			Treatment		
	Residential	Residential	Navy	PWFD	Fire	Plant Capacity	
Capacity Reserved for Avg. Day Demand $(MGD)^1$	3.02	2.39	0.95	1.64	N/A	8	
% of Avg. Day Treatment Capacity	37.7%	29.9%	11.9%	20.5%	N/A	100%	
Capacity Reserved for Max. Day Demand $\left(MGD ight)^1$	5.12	5.05	1.395	3.00	1.44	16	
% of Max. Day Treatment Capacity	31.99%	31.54%	8.72%	18.75%	9.00%	100%	

1 Per Demand study to determine required treatment capacity after DB treatment plant projects

				Alloca	tior	of Sala	ry Co	osts					
										Dii	rect Fire		Total
Base	N	Max Day	M	ax Hour	M	etering		Billing	Services	Pro	otection	A	Allocated
64%		20%		3%		5%		5%	2%		1%		100%
64%		20%		3%		5%		5%	2%		1%		100%
64%		20%		3%		5%		5%	2%		1%		100%
64%		20%		3%		5%		5%	2%		1%		100%
64%		20%		3%		5%		5%	2%		1%		100%
\$ 175,537	\$	53,981	\$	9,280	\$	14,025	\$	13,881	\$ 4,725	\$	2,459	\$	273,889
 64%		20%		3%		5%		5%	2%		1%		100%

			50%	50%			100%
			50%	50%			100%
				100%			100%
			100%				100%
			33%	33%	34%		100%
			100%				100%
			33%	33%	34%		100%
			\$ 116,835 \$	106,039 \$	33,460		\$ 256,335
0%	0%	0%	46%	41%	13%	0%	100%

Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule B-5 Rebuttal Capital Functionalization

Functional Break Down of Existing Fixed Assets

5	Ī		Treatment	Treatment	Treatment							
		Supply	Station 1	Lawton Valley	Both Plants	T&D	T&D Pump	Fire	Meters	Services	Billing	
TRANSMISSION/DISTRIBUTION \$	20,846,331					100%						100%
LAWTON VALLEY \$	7,116,282			100%								100%
STATION 1 \$	22,516,441		100%									100%
TREATMENT BOTH \$	9,161,055				100%							100%
STORAGE \$	1,060,548					100%						100%
SOURCE OF SUPPLY \$	19,453,649	100%										100%
METERS/SERVICES \$	629,135								50%	50%		100%
T&D PUMPING \$	907,332						100%					100%
BILLING \$	2,902,066										100%	100%
FIRE \$	351,481							100%				100%
WORK IN PROGRESS \$	-		50%	50%								100%
Total \$	84,944,321											
	L											
LABORATORY \$	80,000	100%	0%		0%	0%				0%		100%
LAND AND ROW \$	3,594,491	23%	27%	8%	11%	26%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	100%
\$	3,674,491											

Total Fixed Assets \$ 88,618,812

			Treatment	Treatment	Treatment							
		Supply	Station 1	Lawton Valley	Both Plants	T&D	T&D Pump	Fire	Meters	Services	Billing	Total
TRANSMISSION/DISTRIBUTION \$	20,846,331	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - :	\$ 20,846,331	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$ - \$		20,846,331
LAWTON VALLEY \$	7,116,282	-	-	7,116,282	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,116,282
STATION 1 \$	22,516,441	-	22,516,441	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22,516,441
TREATMENT BOTH \$	9,161,055	-	-	-	9,161,055	-	-	-	-	-	-	9,161,055
STORAGE \$	1,060,548	-	-	-	-	1,060,548	-	-	-	-	-	1,060,548
SOURCE OF SUPPLY \$	19,453,649	19,453,649	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19,453,649
METERS/SERVICES \$	629,135	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	314,568	314,568	-	629,135
T&D PUMPING \$	907,332	-	-	-	-	-	907,332	-	-	-	-	907,332
BILLING \$	2,902,066	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,902,066	2,902,066
FIRE \$	351,481	-	-	-	-	-	-	351,481	-	-	-	351,481
WORK IN PROGRESS \$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total \$	84,944,321	\$ 19,453,649	\$ 22,516,441	\$ 7,116,282	\$ 9,161,055	\$ 21,906,879	\$ 907,332	\$ 351,481	\$ 314,568	\$ 314,568 \$	2,902,066	84,944,321
		23%	27%	8%	11%	26%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	
LABORATORY S	80,000	80,000										80,000
LAND AND ROW \$	3,594,491	823,198	952,802	301,132	387,658	927,008	38,395	14,873	13,311	13,311	122,803	3,594,491
Ś	3,674,491			,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	
Ş	5,074,451	25%	26%	\$ 501,152	11%	25%		. ,	. ,	0%	3%	5,074,451
		2378	20%	0/0	11/0	23/0	1/0	076	078	078	376	
т	Fotal Allocated	\$ 20,356,847	\$ 23,469,243	\$ 7,417,413	\$ 9,548,713	\$ 22,833,887	\$ 945,727	\$ 366,354	\$ 327,879	\$ 327,879 \$	3,024,870	88,618,812
% of Tot	tal Asset Value	23%	26%	8%	11%	26%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	

Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule B-5 Rebuttal Capital Functionalization

Functionalization of Capital Costs

			Treatment	Treatment	Treatment							
		Supply	Station 1	Lawton Valley	Both Plants	T&D	T&D Pump	Fire	Meters	Services	Billing	
Capital Spending Restricted Account \$	2,500,000	23%	26%	8%	11%	26%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	100%
Debt Service \$	3,735,016	23%	26%	8%	11%	26%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	100%
\$	6,235,016											

			Treatment	Treatment	Treatment							
		Supply	Station 1	Lawton Valley	Both Plants	T&D	T&D Pump	Fire	Meters	Services	Billing	Total
Capital Spending Restricted Account \$	2,500,000 \$	574,281	\$ 662,084	\$ 209,251	\$ 269,376	\$ 644,160	\$ 26,680	\$ 10,335	\$ 9,250	\$ 9,250	\$ 85,334	\$ 2,500,000
Debt Service _\$	3,735,016	857,980	989,158	312,622	402,450	962,380	39,860	15,441	13,819	13,819	127,489	\$ 3,735,016
\$	6,235,016 \$	1,432,261	\$ 1,651,242	\$ 521,872	\$ 671,826	\$ 1,606,540	\$ 66,539	\$ 25,776	\$ 23,069	\$ 23,069	\$ 212,823	\$ 6,235,016

Page 2 of 2

..

Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule B-6 Rebuttal Water Demand History

Docket No. 4355

					Annual Der	mand in 1000s	Gallons					Baseline	Rate Year
												3-Year	
	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	Average	Docket 4243
Annual Damand by Class													
Annual Demand by Class													
Residential	773,872	780,666	736,577	716,037	749,409	734,137	780,264	690,544	644,285	640,966	618,574	634,608	630,132
Commercial	580,798	583,184	663,766	573,711	493,539	456,486	505,014	519,521	457,376	502,475	472,437	477,429	499,647
Navy	307,051	348,222	511,299	417,869	373,306	278,441	247,728	225,392	173,790	137,731	222,858	178,126	178,971
Portsmouth	455,142	451,723	422,944	429,465	463,253	445,232	473,338	444,777	412,324	398,827	407,837	406,329	428,519
Total (in 1000's Gallons)	2,116,863	2,163,795	2,334,586	2,137,082	2,079,508	1,914,297	2,006,344	1,880,234	1,687,775	1,679,999	1,721,705	1,696,493	1,737,269
		2.2%	7.9%	-8.5%	-2.7%	-7.9%	4.8%	-6.3%	-10.2%	-0.5%	2.5%		

							Peaking Comp	arison	
		Combined Station #1 and LV WTP Production Volumes in 1,000 gals							
								System Peaks	
								Estimated	System
							Production	from Daily	Diversity
	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	Peaks	Demand Data	Ratio (1)
Annual Production	2,456,363	2,524,784	2,437,440	2,440,630	2,304,024	2,165,686	2,234,855		
Average Day Production	6,730	6,917	6,678	6,687	6,312	5,933	6,123		
Maximum Month Production	256,796	269,819	280,875	254,088	268,468	256,324	262,396		
Maximum Day Production	10,165	10,724	12,100	9,800	10,163	10,118	10,140		
Max Day Date	6/28/2007	8/4/2007	7/18/2008	8/23/2010	7/23/2011	7/7/2012			
Maximum Day Peaking Factor	1.51	1.55	1.81	1.47	1.61	1.71	1.66	1.99	1.20
Max-Day to Avg. Day/Max-Month Ratio	1.19	1.23	1.34	1.20	1.17	1.22	1.20		
Maximum Hour	13,800	15,200	13,250	10,700	12,100	12,500	12,300.00		
Maximum Hour Peaking Factor	2.05	2.20	1.98	1.60	1.92	2.11	2.01	2.77	1.38

Coincident Noncoincident Excluding Fire Protection

(1) Calculated according to AWWA M-1 Guidelines

Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule B-8 Rebuttal Billed Demand Peaking Analysis: Determination of Customer Class Peaking Factors

Docket No. 4355

Estimation of Each Customer Class' Peaking Factors

		Max Day	Max Hour
		Demand	Demand
		Factor From	Factor From
		Daily Read	Daily Read
Customer Class		Demand Study	Demand Study
Residential		1.82	2.43
Commercial		2.26	3.39
Navy		1.73	2.31
Portsmouth		1.99	2.65
Fire	(5)		
Estimated Systemwide Peaks		1.99	2.77

(5) Fire peaking behavior is estimated using a separate methodology demonstrated in HJS Schedule B-11 Rebuttal, Fire Protection Demand Analysis'.

		Rate	Year Demand	(1,000 gallons)								
						% Average				Allocation of			
				Adjusted	% Average	Demand Ex	% Average			UAW for			
	Annual	Average Daily	Lost Water	Average Daily	Demand by	PWFD & 50%	Demand Ex			Demand			
Customer Class	Demand	Demand	Adjustment	Demand	Class	Navy	PWFD			Analysis			
Residential	630,132	1,726	914	2,640	40.85%	53%	50%			53.7%			
Commercial	499,647	1,369	724	2,093	32.39%	42%	40%			42.5%			
Navy	178,971	490	65	555	8.59%	6%	10%			3.8%			
Portsmouth	428,519	1,174	-	1,174	18.17%	0%	0%						
Fire					N/A	N/A	N/A						
Total, w Fire Prot.	1,737,269	4,760	26%	6,462	100%	100%	100%						
			(1)										
Production	2,358,811	6,462	26.35%										
FIGUUCCION	2,550,011	0,402	20.3370										
riouction	2,350,011	0,402	20.3370										
FIGULLION	2,330,811	Max Day Cal				% of Daily Peak	S	Max H	Iour Calculat	ions		% of Hourly Peak	s
riouction	2,336,611				With Full	% of Daily Peak Without	5	Max H	lour Calculat Demand x	ions	With Full	% of Hourly Peak Without	(S
riouocaon	Max Day	Max Day Cal Demand x	culations	% of Daily			s Without	Max Hour		ions Incremental			cs Without
Customer Class		Max Day Cal Demand x Peaking Factor	culations	% of Daily Peaks	With Full	Without	Without		Demand x		With Full	Without	
	Max Day	Max Day Ca Demand x Peaking Factor	culations Incremental		With Full PWFD &	Without PWFD & 50%	Without	Max Hour	Demand x Peaking	Incremental	With Full PWFD &	Without PWFD & 50%	Without
Customer Class	Max Day Peaking Factor	Max Day Cal Demand x Peaking Factor (3)	culations Incremental Peak Demand	Peaks	With Full PWFD & Navy	Without PWFD & 50% Navy	Without PWFD	Max Hour Peaking Factor	Demand x Peaking Factor (3)	Incremental Peak Demand 1,602	With Full PWFD & Navy	Without PWFD & 50% Navy	Without PWFD
Customer Class Residential	Max Day Peaking Factor 1.82 2.26 1.73	Max Day Cal Demand x Peaking Factor (3) 4,805 4,737 961	culations Incremental Peak Demand 2,165 2,644 406	Peaks 27.7% 33.8% 5.2%	With Full PWFD & Navy 27.7%	Without PWFD & 50% Navy 33.6% 41.0% 3.1%	Without PWFD 32.5% 39.7% 6.1%	Max Hour Peaking Factor 2.43 3.39 2.31	Demand x Peaking Factor (3) 6,407 7,106 1,282	Incremental Peak Demand 1,602 2,369 320	With Full PWFD & Navy 17.1% 25.2% 3.4%	Without PWFD & 50% Navy 19.0% 28.0% 1.9%	Without PWFD 18.6% 27.5% 3.7%
Customer Class Residential Commercial	Max Day Peaking Factor 1.82 2.26	Max Day Cal Demand x Peaking Factor (3) 4,805 4,737	culations Incremental Peak Demand 2,165 2,644	Peaks 27.7% 33.8%	With Full PWFD & Navy 27.7% 33.8%	Without PWFD & 50% Navy 33.6% 41.0%	Without PWFD 32.5% 39.7%	Max Hour Peaking Factor 2.43 3.39	Demand x Peaking Factor (3) 6,407 7,106	Incremental Peak Demand 1,602 2,369	With Full PWFD & Navy 17.1% 25.2%	Without PWFD & 50% Navy 19.0% 28.0%	Without PWFD 18.6% 27.5%
Customer Class Residential Commercial Navy	Max Day Peaking Factor 1.82 2.26 1.73	Max Day Cal Demand x Peaking Factor (3) 4,805 4,737 961 2,333 1,440	culations Incremental Peak Demand 2,165 2,644 406	Peaks 27.7% 33.8% 5.2% 14.8% 18.4%	With Full PWFD & 27.7% 33.8% 5.2% 14.8% 18.4%	Without PWFD & 50% Navy 33.6% 41.0% 3.1% 0.0% 22.3%	Without PWFD 32.5% 39.7% 6.1% 0.0% 21.6%	Max Hour Peaking Factor 2.43 3.39 2.31	Demand x Peaking Factor (3) 6,407 7,106 1,282 3,110 5,760	Incremental Peak Demand 1,602 2,369 320 778 4,320	With Full PWFD & Navy 17.1% 25.2% 3.4% 8.3% 46.0%	Without PWFD & 50% Navy 19.0% 28.0% 1.9% 0.0% 51.1%	Without PWFD 18.6% 27.5% 3.7% 0.0% 50.2%
Customer Class Residential Commercial Navy Portsmouth	Max Day Peaking Factor 1.82 2.26 1.73	Max Day Cal Demand x Peaking Factor (3) 4,805 4,737 961 2,333 1,440 14,276	culations Incremental Peak Demand 2,165 2,644 406 1,159 1,440 7,814	Peaks 27.7% 33.8% 5.2% 14.8%	With Full PWFD & 27.7% 33.8% 5.2% 14.8%	Without PWFD & 50% Navy 33.6% 41.0% 3.1% 0.0%	Without PWFD 32.5% 39.7% 6.1% 0.0%	Max Hour Peaking Factor 2.43 3.39 2.31	Demand x Peaking Factor (3) 6,407 7,106 1,282 3,110 5,760 23,665	Incremental Peak Demand 1,602 2,369 320 778 4,320 9,388	With Full PWFD & Navy 17.1% 25.2% 3.4% 8.3%	Without PWFD & 50% Navy 19.0% 28.0% 1.9% 0.0%	Without PWFD 18.6% 27.5% 3.7% 0.0%
Customer Class Residential Commercial Navy Portsmouth Fire (2)	Max Day Peaking Factor 1.82 2.26 1.73	Max Day Cal Demand x Peaking Factor (3) 4,805 4,737 961 2,333 1,440	culations Incremental Peak Demand 2,165 2,644 406 1,159 1,440	Peaks 27.7% 33.8% 5.2% 14.8% 18.4%	With Full PWFD & 27.7% 33.8% 5.2% 14.8% 18.4%	Without PWFD & 50% Navy 33.6% 41.0% 3.1% 0.0% 22.3%	Without PWFD 32.5% 39.7% 6.1% 0.0% 21.6%	Max Hour Peaking Factor 2.43 3.39 2.31	Demand x Peaking Factor (3) 6,407 7,106 1,282 3,110 5,760	Incremental Peak Demand 1,602 2,369 320 778 4,320 9,388	With Full PWFD & Navy 17.1% 25.2% 3.4% 8.3% 46.0%	Without PWFD & 50% Navy 19.0% 28.0% 1.9% 0.0% 51.1%	Without PWFD 18.6% 27.5% 3.7% 0.0% 50.2%

(1) From HJS Schedule D-4 Rebuttal. The lost water adjustment is made to the peaking analysis so that Portsmouth will not share in that portion of certain operating costs.

Navy allocation is reduced to 25%.

(2) From HJS Schedule B-11 Rebuttal, Fire Protection Demand Analysis'.

Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule B-10 Rebuttal Summary of Peak Load Distributions (by Rate Class and Base/Extra-Capacity Categories)

Docket No. 4355

EACH RATE CLASS' SHARE OF SYSTEM PEAKS

	Average		
Rate Class	Demand	Daily Peaks	Hourly Peaks
Retail			
Residential	41%	28%	17%
Commercial	32%	34%	25%
Navy	9%	5%	3%
Portsmouth	18%	15%	8%
Fire	N/A	18%	46%
	100%	100%	100%

Percentages are from HJS Schedule B-9 Rebuttal, 'System Demands Imposed by Each Customer Class' Peaking Behavior '.

BASE/EXTRA-CAPACITY DISTRIBUTION OF SYSTEM PEAKS

		%	%
	Incremental	Distribution	Distribution
	Demand	for Max Day	for Max Hour
Base	6,123	60.4%	49.8%
Extra Capacity			
Max Day	4,017	39.6%	32.7%
Max Hour	2,160		17.6%
Fire Protection			
Max Day	-	0.0%	0.0%
Max Hour	-		0.0%
Total%		100.0%	100.0%
Total 1000's Gallons		10,140	12,300

Incremental demand data is from HJS Schedule B-11 Rebuttal, Fire Protection Demand Analysis'.

and from HJS Schedule B-9 Rebuttal, 'System Demands Imposed by Each Customer Class' Peaking Behavior '.

Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule B-11 Rebuttal Fire Protection Demand Analysis

Docket No. 4355

FIRE PROTECTION ASSUMPTIONS

Fire Protection Flow	(gals per minute)	4,000
Hourly Fire Protection Flow (1000	240	
Length of Fire Event (in hours)		6

Newport Water Division

Cost Of Service Analysis

HJS Schedule D-1 Rebuttal

Water Accounts, by Size and Class

			COMMERCIAL				RESID	ENTIAL		WHOLESALE (Monthly)			
Connection	Meter	Meter Read	Frequency	Equivalent Meters		Meter Rea	d Frequency	Equivalent Meters		Navy		Portsmouth	
Size	Factors	Monthly	Quarterly	Monthly	Quarterly	Monthly	Quarterly	Monthly	Quarterly	Meters	Equivalents	Meters	Equivalents
5/8	1.0	98	576	98	576	12	10,079	12	10079	0	0	0	0
3/4	1.1	53	173	58	190	10	2,241	11	2465	1	1	0	0
1	1.4	141	42	197	59	24	349	34	489	0	0	0	0
1.5	1.8	145	29	261	52	30	157	54	283	3	5	0	0
2	2.9	173	16	502	46	42	43	122	125	0	0	0	0
3	11.0	38	6	418	66	12	11	132	121	0	0	0	0
4	14.0	10	3	140	42	1	0	14	0	0	0	1	14
5	18.0	1	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	21.0	11	1	231	21	1	3	21	63	8	168	0	0
8	29.0	0	0	0	0	1	0	29	0	0	0	0	0
10	43.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	44	0	0
Total	14,546	670	846	1,923	1,052	133	12,883	429	13,625	13	218	1	14

	Equivalent E	Billing Units	Equivalent N	leter Units
Billed Monthly	817	9,804	2,584	31,008
Billed Quarterly	13,729	54,916	14,677	176,124
Billed Annually	374	374	N/A	N/A
	Total	65,094	Total	207,132

General Water Service

Subtotal General Servcie

Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule D-2 Rebuttal Fire Protection Accounts

	r			Equivalent	
	Connection	Existing	Number of	Connections	
	Size	Differential	Connections	(2)	
Public Hydrants					
Newport	6	111.31	619	68,901	
Middletown	6	111.31	408	45,415	% of Equiv
Portsmouth	6	111.31	9	1,002	Connections
Subtotal: Public Hydrants			1036	115,318	72%
Private Fire Connections					
	2	6.19	4	25	
	4	38.32	61	2,337	
	6	111.31	245	27,271	
	8	237.21	62	14,707	
	10	426.58	0	-	
	10		0		% of Equiv
	12	689.04	2	1,378	% OI Equiv
Subtotal: Private Fire Connections	12	005.04	374	45,718	28%
Total Fire Connections			1,410	161,036	100%

Demand factors are based on the principles of the Hazen-Williams equation for flow through pressure conduits.
 For more information, see the AWWA M1 rate manual chapter on fire protection charges.

(2) Equivalent connections are arrived at by multiplying the number of connections by the demand factor.

	Equivalent	No. of	Service	Connection
	Connections	Services	Cost	Size
	10,765	10,765	1.000	5/8
	2,478	2,478	1.000	3/4
	1,034	556	1.860	1
	1,685	364	4.630	1.5
	1,685	274	6.150	2
	741	67	11.060	3
	166	15	11.060	4
	11	1	11.060	5
	265	24	11.060	6
% of Equiv				
Connections	11	1	11.060	8
	11	1	11.060	10
82%	18,853	14,546		

Private Fire Connections					
	2	6.150	4	25	
	4	11.060	61	675	
	6	11.060	245	2,710	
	8	11.060	62	686	
	10	11.060	0	-	% of Equiv
	12	11.060	2	22	Connections
Subtotal: Private Fire Conn	374	4,117	18%		
Annualized				12	

Annualized		12	
Total Retail & Private Fire Connections	14,920	275,639	100%

. . . .

Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule D-3 Rebuttal Production Summary

	Statio	on #1	7	Lawton	Valley	1	Combi	ned	
		In Gallons	in 1000's		In Gallons	in 1000's		In Gallons	in 1000's
FY 07 JULY 2006 - JUNE 2007		1,176,356,210	1,176,356		1,280,006,852	1,280,007		2,456,363,062	2,456,363
	Max. Month June	116,724,700	116,725	August	140,288,300	140,288	August	256,795,580	256,796
FY 08 JULY 2007 - JUNE 2008		1,268,356,660	1,268,357		1,256,427,700	1,256,428		2,524,784,360	2,524,784
	Max. Month August	141,803,530	141,804	July	144,557,900	144,558	July	269,819,450	269,819
FY 09 JULY 2008 - JUNE 2009		1,152,697,400	1,152,697		1,284,742,500	1,284,743		2,437,439,900	2,437,440
	Max. Month March	110,288,000	110,288	July	177,163,200	177,163	July	280,874,500	280,875
FY 10 JULY 2009 - JUNE 2010		1,333,422,150	1,333,422		1,107,207,665	1,107,208		2,440,629,815	2,440,630
	Max. Month October	121,112,610	121,113	August 2009	139,731,200	139,731	August 2009	254,088,090	254,088
FY 11 JULY 2010 - JUNE 2011		1,242,460,000	1,242,460		1,061,564,200	1,061,564		2,304,024,200	2,304,024
	Max. Month July	136,103,000	136,103	August 2010	133,325,700	133,326	July 2010	268,467,600	268,468
FY 12 JULY 2011 - JUNE 2012		981,876,000	981,876	1	1,183,810,000	1,183,810	1	2,165,685,750	2,165,686
	Max. Month July	110,561,700	110,562	July	145,762,000	145,762	July	256,323,700	256,324

MAX DAY PRODUCTION AVAILABLE FOR SALE

		Station #1			Lawton Valley			Combined			
		Max Day	Production		Max Da	y Production		Max Da	/ Production		
	Date	In Gallons	in 1000's	Date	In Gallons	in 1000's	Date	In Gallons	in 1000's		
FY 07 JULY 2006 - JUNE 2007	8/2/2006	5,114,940	5,115	8/14/2006	5,958,100	5,958	6/28/2007	10,165,100	10,165		
		includes booster f	o LV at 1,256,000 Ga	allons							
FY 08 JULY 2007 - JUNE 2008	8/25/2007	6,179,670	6,180	6/10/2008	6,805,400	6,805	8/4/2007	10,723,620	10,724		
		includes booster f	o LV at 2,251,000 Ga	allons							
FY 09 JULY 2008 - JUNE 2009	7/20/2008	4,341,000	4,341	7/18/2008	7,845,700	7,846	7/18/2008	12,100,100	12,100		
FT 09 JOLT 2008 - JONE 2009	7/20/2008		o LV at 324,000 Gall		7,645,700	7,040	//18/2008	12,100,100	12,100		
		includes booster i	0 LV at 324,000 Gail	0115							
FY 10 JULY 2009 - JUNE 2010	10/10/2009	4,664,000	4,664	8/27/2009	6,168,500	6,169	8/23/2010	9,800,400	9,800		
······	,,	.,		-, ,	-,,	-,	-,	-,,	-,		
FY 11 JULY 2010 - JUNE 2011	7/4/2011	5,729,355	5,729	8/3/2011	5,654,800	5,655	7/23/2011	10,162,555	10,163		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											
FY 12 JULY 2011 - JUNE 2012	7/6/2012	4,624,292	4,624	7/7/2012	5,869,900	5,870	7/7/2012	10,118,190	10,118		
PEAK HOURLY FLOW											

	Date	Station #1		Date	Lawton Valley	
FY 07 JULY 2006 - JUNE 2007	7/6/2006	5.8	MGD	7/1/2006	8.0	MGD
FY 08 JULY 2007 - JUNE 2008	8/26/2007	7.2	MGD	6/18/2008	8.0	MGD
FY 09 JULY 2008 - JUNE 2009	7/18/2008	5.25	MGD	7/18/2008	8.0	MGD
FY 10 JULY 2009 - JUNE 2010	9/2/2009	4.70	MGD	9/2/2009	6.0	MGD
FY 11 JULY 2010 - JUNE 2011	10/15/2010	6.10	MGD	10/15/2010	6.0	MGD
FY 12 JULY 2011 - JUNE 2012	7/5/2011	6.50	MGD	7/7/2011	6.0	MGD

Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule D-4 Rebuttal Demand Summary

	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Fiscal Year Annual Demand				
Residential	690,544	644,285	640,966	618,574
Commercial (includes governmental)	519,521	457,376	502,475	472,437
Navy	225,392	173,790	137,731	222,858
Portsmouth	444,777	412,324	398,827	407,837
Total 1000's Gallons	1,880,234	1,687,775	1,679,999	1,721,705
	-6.3%	-10.2%	-0.5%	2.5%

Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule D-5 Rebuttal Development of Pumping Costs

Pumping Labor and Benefits

Station One			Lawton Valley	
	Labor hours per day pump Days per year	0.5000 365	Labor hours per day pumping Days per year	0.2500 365
	Total Hours	182.5000	Total Hours	91.2500
	Average per hour pay	\$23.06	Average per hour pay	\$22.07
	Average per hour benefits	\$10.82	Average per hour benefits	\$11.69
	Pumping Salaries	\$4,208.45	Pumping Salaries	\$2,013.89
	Pumping Benefits	\$1,974.65	Pumping Benefits	\$1,066.71

Pumping Repairs and Supplies

Station One	•		Lawton Valley	
50275	Repair & Maintenance - E	quipment	Repair & Maintenance - Equipment	
	None		Vendor	amount
Total Repai	r & Maintenance Pumping	\$0.00	NAPA Auto Partd	\$622.90
			Ralco Electric	\$328.83
			Total Repair & Maintenance Pumping	\$951.73
50311	Operating Supplies		Operating Supplies	
	Vendor	amount	Vendor	amount
	National Electric Testing	\$60.00	National Electric Testing	\$300.00
	ABB Inc.	\$1,122.00	Ralco Electric	\$525.00
	RE Erickson	\$1,140.00	Harbor Controls	\$1,000.00
	Ralco	\$268.00		
Total - Oper	ating Supplies - Pumping	\$2,590.00	Total Operating Supplies Pumping	\$1,825.00

Pumping Electricity

Station One		Lawton Valley	
Annual Pumping Power	\$13,655	Annual Pumping Power	\$25,789

Total Pumping Costs

Station One		Lawton Valley	
	<i>.</i>		<u> </u>
Pumping Salaries	\$4,208	Pumping Salaries	\$2,014
Pumping Benefits	\$1,975	Pumping Benefits	\$1,067
Total Repair & Maintenance Pumping	\$0	Total Repair & Maintenance Pumping	\$952
Total - Operating Supplies - Pumping	\$2,590	Total Operating Supplies Pumping	\$1,825
Annual Pumping Power	\$13,655	Annual Pumping Power	\$25,789
Total Annual Pumping Costs	\$22,428	Total Annual Pumping Costs	\$31,646

Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule D-6 Rebuttal Debt Service Restricted Account Cashflow

From Rates

Ending Cash Balance

Deductions

Interest Income

Existing Debt Service

Total Additions

Total Deductions

								FY	201	2						
		July	August	September	October		November	December		January	February	March	April	May		June
Debt Service Account																
Beginning Cash Balance	\$	1,989,949	\$ 1,989,964 \$	\$ 2,325,118	\$ 1,789,176	; \$	1,952,744	\$ 1,555,935	\$	1,688,396	\$ 1,820,952 \$	1,953,399	\$ 1,795,553 \$	1,928,001	\$	2,042,962
Additions								····			· · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · ·			
From Rates			\$335, 137	\$167,569	\$167,569		\$167,569	\$132,447		\$132,447	\$132,447	\$132,447	\$132,447	\$132,447		\$132,447
Interest Income		15	17	18	14		15	 14		108	 -	-	 -	-		-
Total Additions	\$	15	\$ 335, 154 🖇	6 167,587	\$ 167,583	3\$	167,584	\$ 132,461	\$	132,556	\$ 132,447 \$	132,447	\$ 132,447 \$	132,447	\$	132,447
Deductions Existing Debt Service Proposed Debt Service				703,529	4,015	5	564,393				-	290,293		17,486		400
Total Deductions	\$	-	\$ - \$	\$ 703,529	\$ 4,015	5\$	564,393	\$	\$		\$ - \$	290,293	\$ - \$	17,486	\$	400
Ending Cash Balance	\$	1,989,964	\$ 2,325,118 \$	1,789,176	\$ 1,952,744	\$	1,555,935	\$ 1,688,396	\$	1,820,952	\$ 1,953,399 \$	1,795,553	\$ 1,928,001 \$	2,042,962	\$	2,175,010
								FY	201	3						
		July	August	September	October		November	December		January	February	March	April	May		June
% increase in DS Alolowance	` <u> </u>	135%	 		22.000			 					 ·	,	J	
Debt Service Account		10070														
Beginning Cash Balance Additions	\$	2,175,010	\$ 2,307,457 \$	\$ 2,439,904	\$ 1,334,238		1,466,685	\$ 1,599,133	\$	1,731,580	\$ 1,864,027 \$	1,996,475	\$ 1,426,606 \$	1,737,857	\$	2,049,109

\$132,447

132,447 \$

1,599,133 \$

- \$

\$132,447

132,447 \$

1,731,580 \$

- \$

\$132,447

132,447 \$

1,864,027 \$

- \$

1,996,475 \$

1,426,606 \$

Annual Contribution From Rates \$1,764,974

Annual Debt Service Payments \$ 1,580,115

1,864,027	\$ 1,996,475	\$, .,	\$ 1,737,857	\$ 2,049,109	Annual Contribution From Rates
\$132,447	\$132,447	\$311,251	\$311,251	\$311,251	\$2,125,780
-	-	-	-	-	
132,447	\$ 132,447	\$ 311,251	\$ 311,251	\$ 311,251	
	702,316				Annual Debt Service
-	\$ 702,316	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,940,430

2,360,360

2,049,109 \$

1,737,857 \$

(1) Estimated debt service on \$53M borrowing projected to close in June 2012.

\$132,447

132,447 \$

- \$

\$

\$

\$132,447

\$ 2,307,457 \$ 2,439,904 \$ 1,334,238 \$

132,447 \$

- \$

\$132,447

132,447 \$

1,238,114

1,238,114 \$

\$132,447

132,447 \$

1,466,685 \$

- \$

Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule D-6 Rebuttal

Debt Service Restricted Account Cashflow

		FY 2014												
		July	August	September		October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June
% increase in DS Alolowance	е	0%												
Debt Service Account														
Beginning Cash Balance	\$	2,360,360 \$	2,671,611	\$ 2,982,86	63 \$	1,159,928 \$	1,471,179	5 1,782,431 \$	2,093,682	\$ 2,404,933 \$	2,716,185 \$	1,615,829 \$	1,927,080 \$	2,238,332
Additions														
From Rates		\$311,251	\$311,251	\$311,25	51	\$311,251	\$311,251	\$311,251	\$311,251	\$311,251	\$311,251	\$311,251	\$311,251	\$311,251
Interest Income		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Total Additions	\$	311,251 \$	311,251	\$ 311,25	51 \$	311,251 \$	311,251	311,251 \$	311,251	\$ 311,251 \$	311,251 \$	311,251 \$	311,251 \$	311,251
Deductions														
To Capital Restricted Acct.														
Existing Debt Service				1,784,43							1,061,357			
Proposed Debt Service (\$31 M Loan)				349,75	50						350,250			
Total Deductions	\$	- \$	-	\$ 2,134,18	36 \$	- \$	- 9	- \$	- (6 - S	1,411,607 \$	- \$	- \$	-
nding Cash Balance	\$	2,671,611 \$	2,982,863	\$ 1,159,92	28 \$	1,471,179 \$	1,782,431	5 2,093,682 \$	2,404,933	\$ 2,716,185 \$	1,615,829 \$	1,927,080 \$	2,238,332 \$	2,549,583
	_							FY 2	015					
	-	Julv	August	September		October	November	December	January	February	March	April	Mav	June
% increase in DS Alolowance		110%	Juguot	Coptoniso		000000	Hereinse.	2000111201	vanualy	. obradily	indion	74511	indy	Vulle
ebt Service Account	6	110,0												
eginning Cash Balance	\$	2,549,583 \$	3,203,226	\$ 3,856,87	71 \$	17,644 \$	671,286	5 1,324,929 \$	1,978,570	\$ 2,632,306 \$	3,285,949 \$	2,227,319 \$	2,880,962 \$	3,534,605
dditions														
From Rates		\$653,628	\$653,628	\$653,62	28 18	\$653,628 14	\$653,628 15	\$653,628	\$653,628 108	\$653,628	\$653,628	\$653,628	\$653,628	\$653,628
								14		15	15	15	15	15
	¢	15	17							652 642 6	653 643 0	652 642 0		
Total Additions	\$	653,643 \$	653,645			653,642 \$	653,643		653,736	\$ 653,643 \$	653,643 \$	653,643 \$	653,643 \$	653,643
Total Additions reductions	\$			\$ 653,64	46 \$					653,643 \$		653,643 \$		
Total Additions eductions Existing Debt Service	\$			\$ 653,64 3,813,58	46 \$ 36					653,643 \$	1,033,986	653,643 \$		
Total Additions eductions Existing Debt Service Proposed Debt Service (\$31 M Loan)	•	653,643 \$	653,645	\$ 653,64 3,813,58 679,28	46 \$ 36 37	653,642 \$	653,643	653,641 \$	653,736	· · · · · · · ·	1,033,986 678,287	• • • •	653,643 \$	
Total Additions eductions Existing Debt Service	\$		653,645	\$ 653,64 3,813,58	46 \$ 36 37		653,643	653,641 \$	653,736	· · · · · · · ·	1,033,986	653,643 \$ - \$		

Annual Contribution From Rates \$3,735,016

Annual Debt Service \$ 3,545,793 Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule D-7 Rebuttal Demand Factor Calculations

Demand Factors For COS Model	Residential	Residential	Navy	PWFD
Summer 2011 Max. Day Demand Factor	1.78	2.18	1.49	1.91
Summer 2012 Max. Day Demand Factor	1.86	2.35	1.97	2.07
Two Year Average Max. Day Demand Factor	1.82	2.26	1.73	1.99
Summer 2011 Max. Hour Demand Factor	2.37	3.27	1.99	2.54
Summer 2012 Max. Hour Demand Factor	2.49	3.52	2.62	2.75
Two Year Average Max. Hour Demand Factor	2.43	3.39	2.31	2.65

Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule D-7 Demand Factor Calculations

Summer 2011

	Residential	Commercial	Navy	PWFD
Annual Average Day ¹	16,973	58,419	421,795	1,128,293
Daily Read Maximum Day ²	30,139	127,359	630,462	2,153,297
Maximum Day Demand Factor	1.78	2.18	1.49	1.91

1-Total Consumption by Daily Read Accounts for 12 Mo. Including Daily Sample Period/365

2 - Class maximum day from daily read data

Max Day Diversity Factor Calculation	Residential	Commercial	Navy	PWFD	
Class Average Day (mgd)	2.60	1.94	0.51	1.13	
Class MD Demand Factor	1.78	2.18	1.49	1.91	Total MD Demand
Max Day Demand (Avg. Day X MD Demand Factor)	4.62	4.23	0.76	2.15	11.8
System Average Day (mgd)	6.2				
System Maximum Day (mgd)	10.2				
System Maximum Hour (mgd)	12.1				
Noncoincident MD Capacity Factor	11.8	/	6.2	=	1.90
Coincident MD Capacity Factor	10.2	/	6.2	=	1.65
System MD Diversity	1.90	1	1.65	=	1.16

Maximum Hour Demand Factor Calculation					
	Residential	Commercial	Navy	PWFD	
MD Capacity Factor	1.78	2.18	1.49	1.91	
Estimated Maximum-Hour (MH)/MD Ratio ³	1.33	1.50	1.33	1.33	
Calculated MH Capacity Factor	2.37	3.27	1.99	2.54	
Max Hour Diversity Factor Calculation	Residential	Commercial	Navy	PWFD	
Class Average Day (mgd)	2.60	1.94	0.51	1.13	
Class MH Demand Factor	2.37	3.27	1.99	2.54	Total MH Demand
Max Hour Demand (Avg. Day X MH Demand Factor)	6.2	6.3	1.0	2.9	16.38
System Average Day (mgd)	6.2				
System Maximum Day (mgd)	10.2				
System Maximum Hour (mgd)	12.1				
Noncoincident MH Capacity Factor	16.4	/	6.2	=	2.65
Coincident MH Capacity Factor	12.1	/	6.2	=	1.96
System MH Diversity	2.65	/	1.96	=	1.35

3- MH/MD Ratio Assumptions:

Residential =24 hr. / 18 hr. Commercial =24 hr. / 16 hr. Navy =24 hr. / 18 hr. PWFD =24 hr. / 18 hr. Newport Water Division Cost Of Service Analysis HJS Schedule D-7 Demand Factor Calculations

Summer 2012

	Residential	Commercial	Navy	PWFD
Annual Average Day ¹	16,366	57,808	616,576	1,127,654
Daily Read Maximum Day ²	30,513	135,620	1,213,663	2,329,051
Maximum Day Demand Factor	1.86	2.35	1.97	2.07

1-Total Consumption by Daily Read Accounts for 12 Mo. Including Daily Sample Period/365

2 - Class maximum day from daily read data

Max Day Diversity Factor Calculation	Residential	Commercial	Navy	PWFD	
Class Average Day (mgd)	2.37	1.76	0.66	1.13	
Class MD Demand Factor	1.86	2.35	1.97	2.07	Total MD Demand
Max Day Demand (Avg. Day X MD Demand Factor)	4.42	4.12	1.29	2.33	12.2
System Average Day (mgd)	5.9				
System Maximum Day (mgd)	10.1				
System Maximum Hour (mgd)	12.6				
Noncoincident MD Capacity Factor	12.2	/	5.9	=	2.06
Coincident MD Capacity Factor	10.1	/	5.9	=	1.71
System MD Diversity	2.06	/	1.71	=	1.20

Maximum Hour Demand Factor Calculation					
	Residential	Commercial	Navy	PWFD	
MD Capacity Factor	1.86	2.35	1.97	2.07	
Estimated Maximum-Hour (MH)/MD Ratio3	1.33	1.50	1.33	1.33	
Calculated MH Capacity Factor	2.49	3.52	2.62	2.75	
Max Hour Diversity Factor Calculation	Residential	Commercial	Navy	PWFD	
Class Average Day (mgd)	2.37	1.76	0.66	1.13	
Class MH Demand Factor	2.49	3.52	2.62	2.75	Total MH Demand
Max Hour Demand (Avg. Day X MH Demand Factor)	5.90	6.19	1.72	3.11	16.91
System Average Day (mgd)	5.9				
System Maximum Day (mgd)	10.1				
System Maximum Hour (mgd)	12.6				
Noncoincident MH Capacity Factor	16.91	/	5.9	=	2.86
Coincident MH Capacity Factor	12.6	/	5.9	=	2.13
System MH Diversity	2.86	/	2.13	=	1.34

3- MH/MD Ratio Assumptions:

Residential =24 hr. / 18 hr. Commercial =24 hr. / 16 hr. Navy =24 hr. / 18 hr. PWFD =24 hr. / 18 hr.