## STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

## IN RE: OFFICE OF ENERGY RESOURCES REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION REGARDING DISTRIBUTED GENERATION CLASSES AND CEILING PRICES

**DOCKET NO. 4288** 

# RHODE ISLAND OFFICE OF ENERGY RESOURCES' RESPONSES TO THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES AND CARRIERS FIRST SET OF DATA REQUESTS

(Issued December 24, 2012)

### **DIVISION REQUEST 1-2:**

Please explain why the capital cost estimates are [lower] for the 750 kW subclass than for the 1.5 MW subclass.<sup>1</sup>

## **<u>RESPONSE</u>**:

In general, wind technology is subject to strong scale economies as a function of both turbine size and number of turbines installed. The (corrected) question reflects the perspective of this conventional expectation. In this instance, the data available to the OER's consultants did not align with the expectation of scale economies. This may be in part due to a lack of comparable depth of data. While many 1.5 MW subclass turbines have been installed and proposed throughout the region, there are very few actual recent installations in the region in the 750 kW subclass range, and so there is very little available data. After Vestas withdrew its V47 turbine from the market a few years ago, there were virtually no offerings in the sub-1 MW wind turbine niche larger than the Northern Power 100 kW machine; only in the past two or so years have new entrants been available for the community wind market in this size range, and while the number of turbine choices in this range available to the U.S. community wind market is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> OER assumes that the Division meant "lower" and not "higher" as originally worded.

increasing, with very few installed to date.

The capital cost figure used corresponds with the lowest data point available, provided by a manufacturer. No comments were provided during the stakeholder process critiquing this figure. While the limited available data is not of sufficient resolution to confirm this factor, a potential reason for the lower projected cost for the 750 kW subclass may derive from the smaller size of the machines. Anecdotally, installers have related to the OER's consultants that the smaller size turbines have a much lower installation cost than a single 1.5 MW subclass turbine, for example, requiring much smaller cranes (which are relatively plentiful, easier to move, and less expensive to hire), while larger machines require scarce cranes that can be difficult and expensive to hire for a single turbine installation. This is one reason that installers and manufacturers have been entering this size niche, which until recently had been devoid of any commercial offerings.

In contrast, for the 1.5 MW wind subclass, available data suggested that the capital costs used for setting ceiling prices in 2011 were unattainable: data suggested that the per-kW cost assumed in the 2011 analysis was only achievable in multiple turbine installations in this region, and that single turbines would be unable to achieve costs in that range. Of the data available for setting 2013 ceiling prices, OER's consultants used data from the lowest end of available data benchmarks (a figure below any of the recent single turbine installation data points and slightly above the actual per-kW cost for a recent 3 turbine installation). Data from the one 1.5MW class wind project previously proposed to National Grid under the Standard Offer program had a higher capital cost than used in either the analysis for setting 2013 ceiling prices.

We note that in determining the 2013 ceiling prices for the 1.5 MW wind subclass, while the capital cost was increased from that used in 2011, the capacity factor was also increased, offsetting most of the per-kWh price increase. The primary drivers for higher 2013 ceiling price for the 1.5 MW subclass turbine, however, is the loss of bonus depreciation and income tax credit in lieu of Production Tax Credit.

## STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

## IN RE: OFFICE OF ENERGY RESOURCES REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION REGARDING DISTRIBUTED GENERATION CLASSES AND CEILING PRICES

**DOCKET NO. 4288** 

# RHODE ISLAND OFFICE OF ENERGY RESOURCES' RESPONSES TO THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES AND CARRIERS FIRST SET OF DATA REQUESTS

(Issued December 24, 2012)

### **DIVISION REQUEST 1-3**:

Please indicate whether wind turbines between 101kw and 199kW are eligible for the DGSC program, given that no ceiling prices were shown in Exhibit A.

## RESPONSE:

The small-scale wind turbine projects that have been installed in Rhode Island over the last several years include 100, 250, and 275 kW system sizes. The Office of Energy Resources hosted multiple public meetings and discussed the eligible technologies and system sizes. During that process, no wind turbines within the 101 and 199 kW range were proposed for participation in the DGSC program. In addition, we are unaware of any turbines on the market in this size range. Thus a ceiling price was not set for projects in this range.

## STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

## IN RE: OFFICE OF ENERGY RESOURCES REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION REGARDING DISTRIBUTED GENERATION CLASSES AND CEILING PRICES

DOCKET NO. 4288

# RHODE ISLAND OFFICE OF ENERGY RESOURCES' RESPONSES TO THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES AND CARRIERS FIRST SET OF DATA REQUESTS

(Issued December 24, 2012)

#### **DIVISION REQUEST 1-4**:

Please explain how availability of funds from the renewable energy fund were incorporated into the LCOE estimates.

#### RESPONSE:

Funding from the Renewable Energy Fund (REF) was not factored into the 2013 DGSC ceiling prices. In December 2012, the REF program was revamped by the Economic Development Corporation and the Office of Energy Resources, with the objective of providing grants and loans for residential (capped at a 10 kW system size), small-scale commercial (capped at a 50 kW system size), and innovative renewable energy technology and research efforts. One of the objectives of the new REF program was to assist projects that could not utilize the DGSC program. The residential and small-scale commercial systems do not qualify for the DGSC program because of their size limits.

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of December, 2012, that I transmitted an electronic copy of the within Responses to Data Requests to the attached service list and to Luly Massaro, Commission Clerk via electronic mail and regular mail.

Docket No. 4288 – Office of Energy Resources Filings: 1) Proposed Distributed Generation (DG) Standard Contract Act Classes and Ceiling Prices; and 2) Proposed DG Standard Contract; and

**Docket No. 4277 – National Grid – Distributed Generation Enrollment Application and Enrollment Process Rules** 

# Service List Updated 12/5/12

Name/Address of Parties in Docket	E-mail	Phone
Peter Lacouture, Esq.	placouture@rc.com	401-709-3314
Robinson & Cole LLP		
One Financial Plaza, Suite 1430		
Providence, RI 02903-2485		
Marion S. Gold, Administrator	Marion.Gold@energy.ri.gov	401-574-9119
RI Office of Energy Resources		
One Capitol Hill	Joyce.discuillo@energy.ri.gov	
Providence, RI 02908-5850		
Christopher Kearns, Program Service Officer	Christopher.Kearns@energy.ri.gov	_
RI Office of Energy Resources		
Thomas R. Teehan, Esq.	Thomas.teehan@nationalgrid.com	401-784-7667
National Grid	Joanne.scanlon@nationalgrid.com	
280 Melrose St.	madison.milhousjr@nationalgrid.com	
Providence, RI 02907	corinne.abrams@nationalgrid.com	
Karen Lyons, Esq.	Klyons@riag.ri.gov	401-222-2424
Dept. of Attorney General	dmacrae@riag.ri.gov	
150 South Main St.	Lwold@riag.ri.gov	
Providence, RI 02903		
Jon G. Hagopian, Sr. Counsel	Jhagopian@ripuc.state.ri.us	401-784-4775
Division of Public Utilities and Carriers	Sscialabba@ripuc.state.ri.us	
89 Jefferson Blvd.	Dsteams@ripuc.state.ri.us	
Warwick, RI 02888	Acontente@ripuc.state.ri.us	
Jerry Elmer, Esq.	jelmer@clf.org	401-351-1102
Conservation Law Foundation		Ext. 2012
55 Dorrance Street		
Providence, RI 02903		
Richard Hahn	rhahn@lacapra.com	_
Lacapra Associates		
1 Washington Mall, 9th floor	apereira@lacapra.com	_
Boston, MA 02108		
Alan M Shoer, Esq.	ashoer@apslaw.com	_
Adler Pollock & Sheehan P.C.		
One Citizens Plaza, 8th Floor		
Providence, RI 02903		
Seth H. Handy, Esq.	seth@handylawl1c.com	401-626-4839
Handy Law, LLC		
42 Weybosset St.		
Providence, RI 02903		
Jeff Broadhead, Executive Director WCRPC	ib@wcrpc.org	
Mike McElroy, Esq.		401-351-4100
Schacht & McElroy	Michael@McElroyLawOffice.com	
PO Box 6/21		
Providence, RI 02940-6/21		410 470 0500
Joseph E. Donovan, Esq.	Joseph.donovan@constellation.com	410-470-3582
Constellation Energy Resources, LLC	*	

Bryan S. Miller, VP Energy Policy		410-470-3266
Constellation Energy	Bryan.Miller@constellation.com	
Jeffrey W. Garrison, Regulatory Associate	Jeffrey.Garrison@constellation.com	410-470-3160
Constellation Energy		
Daniel Allegretti, VP Energy Policy	Daniel.W.Allegretti@constellation.com	603-224-9653
Constellation Energy Commodities		
File an original & 10 copies w/:	Lmassaro@puc.state.ri.us	401-780-2107
Luly E. Massaro, Commission Clerk	Cwilson@puc.state.ri.us	
Public Utilities Commission	Adalessandro@puc.statesi.us	
89 Jefferson Blvd.	Anault@puc.state.ri.us	
Warwick, RI 02888	Dshah@puc.state.ri.us	
	Nucci@puc.state.ri.us	
Interested Parties		
Kelly Mahoney, Governor's Office Policy Dir.	Kelly.Mahoney@governorsi.gov	401-222-8135
Dan Richardson	Dan.richardson@rterra.com	401-619-5297
Fred Unger, Hartwood Group	unger@hrtwd.com	
Hannah Morini, RIEDC	hmorini@riedc.com	
James Schwartz, Independence Solar	jschwartz@independencesolar.com	
Jim Christo, RGS Energy	ichristo@alterisinc.com	
Karina Lutz	karina@ripower.org	401-497-5968
Karl Munzel	kmunzel@alterisinc.com	
Kevin Stacom	Kevin.stacom@gmail.com	
Kirt Mayland	dlcm@soltasenergv.com	
Kristie Caltabiano, Tecta Solar	kcaltabiano@tectaamerica.com	
Laurence W. Ehrhardt	Larry4rep@aol.com	
Mark Luders	mlucet .@anguardenergypartners.com	
Omay Elphick, Alteris, Inc.	omayelphick@gmail.com	
Palmer Moore, Nexamp	pmoore@nexamp.com	
Paul Raducha	praducha@providenceeg.com	
Robert J. Tomey, Conanicut Energy LLC	conanicutenergy@cox.net	
Stephan Wollenberg	stephan@ripower.org	617-524-3950
Ted Vansant, RGS Energy	tvansant@alterisinc.com	
Lyle Trued, Fonroche USA	1.trued@fonrochegroup.com	646-535-8783
Michelle Mulcahy, Smart Energy Capital	MMulcahy@smartenergycapital.com	914-236-4284
Eric Offenberg, DePrete Engineering	erico@diprete-eng.com	401-742-8402
John D. Fish, Millwork One	jfish@millworkone.com	401-738-6990
Scott DeMatteo, Millwork One	sdematteo@millworkone.com	401-738-6990

Scott Rowsell, Beaumont Solar Co.	scott@beaumontsolarco.com	508-990-1701
John H. King, Gannon & Scott	JohnKing@gannon-scott.com	401-463-5550
Joseph Peixoto, Gannon & Scott	JoePeixoto@gannon-scott.com	401-463-5550
Julian Dash, Clean Economy Dev.	jdash@cleaneconomydevelopment.com	401-954-6837
Alex Rivera, Vanguard Energy Partners	alex@vanguardenergypartners.com	617-261-8592
Jamie Fordyce, Energy Management Inc.	JFordyce@emienergy.com	415-948-4288
Hunter Strader, Bella Energy	Hunter.Strader@BellaEnergy.com	336-706-2043
Bill Ferguson, The Energy Council of RI	bferguson2010@cox.net	
Stuart Flanagan, Newport Renewables	sflanagan@nptre.com	401-619-5906
Craig Both, RGS Energy	Craig.Both@realgoods.com	203-210-7710