

P R E N T I S S

L · A · W · F · I · R · M

R. DANIEL PRENTISS
dan@prentisslaw.com

May 3, 2011

VIA: REGULAR MAIL & ELECTRONIC FILING


Luly E. Massaro, Commission Clerk
Rhode Island Public Utility Commission
89 Jefferson Boulevard
Warwick, RI 02888

Re: RIPUC Docket No. 4202

Dear Luly:

I enclose the original and nine copies of the responses of the Energy Efficiency and Resource Management Council to Commission data request 3-1 and 4-1. National Grid will provide the response to data request 3-2 shortly.

Very truly yours,



R. Daniel Prentiss
EERMC Counsel

RDP/ka
Enclosures
Cc: Service List
874/92/7616

STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN RE: RI ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT COUNCIL'S PROPOSED ELECTRIC
AND NATURAL GAS EFFICIENCY SAVINGS TARGETS

DOCKET NO. 4202

COMMISSION'S 3RD DATA REQUEST
DIRECTED TO EERMC

April 29, 2011

(Please reply by May 12, 2011)

1. Please provide a strikethrough draft of the EERMC's proposed revisions to the System Reliability Procurement Standards, showing the full and complete text of the Standards as they currently exist, with proposed deletions of the text stricken and proposed additions underlined.
2. Referring to Section 2.1 (B)(g), please identify with specificity some of the alternative tariff options that might be considered in the Company's distribution planning process.

CHAPTER 2 – System Reliability Procurement

Section 2.1 Distributed/Targeted Resources in Relation to T&D Investments

- ~~A. The Utility shall propose pilot distribution and, if appropriate, transmission projects in their first system reliability procurement plan for which they will examine alternative resource strategies as alternatives or enhancements to the distribution or transmission upgrade. These pilot projects should be used to inform or revise the system reliability procurement process in subsequent plans.~~
- A. The Utility System Reliability Procurement Plan (“The SRP Plan”) to be submitted for the Commission’s review and approval on September 1, 2011 and triennially thereafter on September 1, shall propose general planning principles and potential areas of focus that incorporate non-wires alternatives (NWA) into the Company’s distribution planning process for the three years of implementation beginning January 1 of the following year.
- B. Alternative Resource Technologies (ART) shall include but not be limited to:
- a. Least Cost Procurement energy efficiency baseline services.
 - b. Peak demand and geographically-focused supplemental energy efficiency strategies
 - c. Distributed generation generally, including combined heat and power and renewable energy resources (predominately wind and solar, but not constrained)¹
 - d. Demand response
 - e. Direct load control
 - f. Energy storage
 - g. Alternative tariff options
- A. Identified transmission or distribution (T&D) projects with a proposed solution that meet the following criteria will be evaluated for potential NWA that could reduce, avoid or defer the T&D wires solution over an identified time period.
- a. The need is not based on asset condition.
 - b. The wires solution, based on engineering judgment, will likely cost more than \$1 million;
 - c. If load reductions are necessary, then they are expected to be less than 20 percent of the relevant peak load in the area of the defined need;
 - d. Start of wires alternative is at least 36 months in the future; and
- A more detailed version of these criteria may be developed by the distribution utility with input from the Council and other stakeholders.
- B. Feasible NWAs will be compared to traditional solutions based on the following:
- a. Ability to meet the identified system needs;
 - b. Anticipated reliability of the alternatives;

¹ In order to meet the statute’s environmental goals, generation technologies must comply with all applicable general permitting regulations for smaller-scale electric generation facilities.

- c. Risks associated with each alternative (licensing and permitting, significant risks of stranded investment, sensitivity of alternatives to differences in load forecasts, emergence of new technologies)
- d. Potential for synergy savings based on alternatives that address multiple needs
- e. Operational complexity and flexibility
- f. Implementation issues
- g. Customer impacts
- h. Other relevant factors

C. Financial analyses of the preferred solution(s) and alternatives will be conducted to the extent feasible. The selection of analytical model(s) will be subject to Public Utilities Commission review and approval. Alternatives may include the determination of deferred investment savings from NWA through use of net present value of the deferred revenue requirement analysis or the net present value of the alternatives according to the Total Resource Cost Test (TRC). The selection of an NWA shall be informed by the considerations approved by the Public Utilities Commission which may include, but not be limited to, those issues enumerated in (D), the deferred revenue requirement savings and an evaluation of costs and benefits according to the TRC. Consideration of the net present value of resulting revenue requirements may be used to inform the structure of utility cost recovery of NWA investments and to assess anticipated ratepayer rate and bill impacts.

D. For each need where a NWA is the preferred solution, the distribution utility will develop an implementation plan that includes the following:

a. Characterization of the need

- i. Identification of the load-based need, including the magnitude of the need, the shape of the load curve, the projected year and season by which a solution is needed, and other relevant timing issues.
- ii. Identification and description of the T&D investment and how it would change as a result of the NWA .
- iii. Identification of the level and duration of peak demand savings and/or other operational functionality required to avoid the need for the upgrade
- iv. Description of the sensitivity of the need and T&D investment to load forecast assumptions.

b. Description of the business as usual upgrade in terms of technology, net present value, costs (capital and O&M), revenue requirements, and schedule for the upgrade

c. Description of the NWA solution, including description of the NWA solution(s) in terms of technology, reliability, cost (capital and O&M), net present value, and timing.

d. Development of NWA investment scenario(s)

- i. Specific NWA characteristics
- ii. Development of an implementation plan, including ownership and contracting considerations or options
- iii. Development of a detailed cost estimate (capital and O&M) and implementation schedule.

E. Funding Plan

The Utility shall develop a funding plan based on the following sources to meet the budget requirement of the system reliability procurement plan. The Utility may propose to utilize funding from the following sources for system reliability investments:

- i. Capital funds that would otherwise be applied towards traditional wires based alternatives;
- ii. Existing Utility EE investments as required in Section I of these Standards and the resulting Annual Plans.
- iii. Additional energy efficiency funds to the extent that the NWA can be shown to pass the TRC test with a benefit to cost ratio of greater than 1.0 and such additional funding is approved;
- iv. Utility operating expenses to the extent that recovery of such funding is explicitly allowed;
- v. Identification of significant customer contribution or third party investment that may be part of a NWA based on benefits that are expected to accrue to the specific customers or third parties.
- vi. Any other funding that might be required and available to complete the NWA.

F. Annual SRP Plan reports should be submitted on November 1. Such reports will include but are not limited to:

- a. A summary of projects where NWA were considered;
- b. Identification of projects where NWA were selected as a preferred solution; and a summary of the comparative analysis following the criteria outlined in sections (D) and (E) above;
- c. Implementation plan for the selected NWA projects;
- d. Funding plan for the selected NWA projects;
- e. Recommendations on pilot distribution and transmission project alternatives for which it will utilize selected NWA reliability and capacity strategies. These proposed pilot projects will be used to inform or revise the system reliability procurement process in subsequent plans;
- f. Status of any previously selected and approved projects and pilots;
- g. Identification of any methodological or analytical tools to be developed in the year;
- h. Total SRP Plan budget, including administrative and evaluation costs.

G. The Annual SRP Plan will be reviewed and funding approved by the Commission prior to implementation.

- ~~1. Distributed generation generally~~
- ~~2. Combined heat and power~~
- ~~3. Renewables (predominantly wind and solar, but not constrained)~~
- ~~4. Demand response~~
- ~~5. Peak demand and geographically focused energy efficiency programs~~

- ~~6. In order to meet the statute's environmental goals, unless a compelling showing to contrary technologies selected or supported should:

 - ~~a. achieve a CO₂ emissions rate equal to or better than the ISO New England marginal emissions rate on an output basis (thermal and electric) — current rate — 1,100 lbs/MWh; and~~
 - ~~b. utilize best available control technology for NO_x emissions~~~~
- ~~C. For each pilot the utility should identify an evaluation process that allows for input from the Council and other stakeholders and includes elements such as the following:

 - ~~1. Identification and description of the T&D investment~~
 - ~~2. Description of the need, requirements, and drivers such as demand growth (load curve and timing issues)~~
 - ~~3. Description of the business as usual upgrade in terms of technology, costs (capital and O&M), and schedule for the upgrade~~
 - ~~4. Identification of the level of peak demand savings required to avoid the need for the upgrade~~
 - ~~5. Development of ART alternative investment scenario(s)

 - ~~a. Specific ART characteristics~~
 - ~~b. Development of an implementation plan, including ownership and contracting considerations or options~~
 - ~~c. Development of a detailed cost estimate (capital and O&M) and implementation schedule~~~~
 - ~~6. Reporting and recommendations

 - ~~a. Compare the investment options from a cost perspective — cost assessed on a net present value basis to the state's ratepayers (common assumptions across scenarios)~~
 - ~~b. Include a summary of environmental impacts and a discussion of any co-benefits such as benefits to local businesses or industry~~
 - ~~c. Recommend preferred solutions~~~~~~
- ~~D. The utility pilot program(s) should be reviewed and approved by the PUC as part of the System Reliability Procurement Plan submitted on September 1.~~

Section 2.2 — Renewables

- ~~A. The utility shall consider opportunities to integrate renewable energy resources with measurable benefits into the system reliability plan and in a coordinated fashion with the implementation of efficiency procurement. Activities may include but not be limited to:

 - ~~1. Small to medium scale renewable energy projects that compliment the distribution and transmission pilot projects or provide other system benefits;~~
 - ~~2. Small scale distributed renewable energy projects such as photovoltaics wind or solar thermal; and~~
 - ~~3. Where appropriate, the Utility should coordinate its programs with the renewable energy fund.~~~~

- ~~B. The utility plan shall document current activities and commitments to increase renewable energy production and contracting and how those activities affect costs, benefits, price stability, fuel diversity, and environmental goals.~~
- ~~C. Renewable projects may benefit from changes to existing standby rates and improved interconnection standards.~~

Section 2.3 — Combined Heat and Power

- ~~A. The electric and natural gas efficiency programs should support and expand programs for CHP applications that are cost effective, deliver net reductions in energy consumption, and provide environmental benefits.~~
- ~~B. The utility plan shall include discussion of CHP potential in the state based on the Opportunity Report and should set targets or goals for CHP penetration and if necessary propose new programs to support the development of CHP. The plan should describe how those activities affect costs, benefits, price stability, fuel diversity, and environmental goals.~~
- ~~C. CHP programs or projects supported by the Utilities should be sited at facilities with adequate thermal loads to ensure high levels of efficiency on an annual basis~~
- ~~D. CHP projects may benefit from changes to existing standby rates and improved interconnection standards.~~

Section 2.4 — Demand Response

- ~~A. The Utility shall examine and implement where cost effective, demand response measures or programs in coordination with the electric and natural gas efficiency program offerings. Such measures and programs will be designed to supplement cost effective procurement of long term energy and capacity savings from efficiency measures.~~
- ~~The Demand and capacity value of CHP and other distributed generation strategies shall be identified and quantified.~~

STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN RE: RI ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT COUNCIL'S PROPOSED ELECTRIC
AND NATURAL GAS EFFICIENCY SAVINGS TARGETS

DOCKET NO. 4202

COMMISSION'S 4TH DATA REQUEST
DIRECTED TO EERMC

April 29, 2011

(Please reply by May 12, 2011)

1. Please provide a strikethrough draft of the EERMC's proposed revisions to the Energy Efficiency Procurement Standards, showing the full and complete text of the Standards as they currently exist, with proposed deletions of the text stricken and proposed additions underlined.

Standards for Energy Efficiency and Conservation Procurement and System Reliability

CHAPTER 1 – Energy Efficiency Procurement

Section 1.1 Plan Filing Dates

- A. The Utility Energy Efficiency Procurement Plan (“The EE Procurement Plan”) submitted on September 1, 2008 and triennially thereafter on September 1, shall propose overall budgets and efficiency targets for the three years of implementation beginning with January 1 of the following year.
- B. The Utility shall prepare and file a supplemental filing on November 1, 2008 and annually thereafter on November 1, containing details of implementation plans by program for the next program year (“The EE Program Plan”). The November 1 filings shall also provide for adjustment, as necessary, to the remaining years of the EE Procurement Plan based on experience, ramp-up, and increased assessment of the resource levels available.
- C. Simultaneously with the November 1, 2008 filing the Council and the Utility shall report to the Commission regarding the question of whether supply-side sources, in addition to the demand-side source addressed in this version of the standards, should be incorporated into future versions of the standards and the Least Cost Procurement Plan. In preparing this report, the Council shall solicit comment and information from all parties to Docket No. 3931, and any others as the Council may determine. In adopting these standards prior to receiving such a report, the Commission reserves the right to order revisions to the standards, and the Procurement Plan, prior to the next September 1, 2011 filing date.

Section 1.2 EE Procurement Plan Components

- A. The EE Procurement Plan shall identify the strategies and an approach to planning and implementation of programs that will secure all cost-effective energy efficiency resources that are lower cost than supply and are prudent and reliable.
 1. Strategies and approaches to planning.
 - a. The Utility shall use the Council’s Opportunity Report as issued on July 15, 2008 (and as it may be subsequently supplemented) as one resource among others in developing its EE Procurement Plan¹. The Utility may include in its Plans an outline of proposed strategies to supplement and build upon the initial Opportunity Report
 - b. The EE Procurement Plan shall describe the recent energy efficiency programs offered by the Utility and highlight how the EE Procurement Plan supplements and expands upon these offerings, including but not limited to new measures, implementation strategies, new strategies to make capital available to effectively overcome market barriers, and new programs as appropriate.
 - c. The EE Procurement Plan shall include a section describing a proposal to investigate new strategies to make available the capital needed to implement projects in addition to the incentives provided. Such proposed strategies shall

¹ The Opportunity Report is essential because it is required by law, and because it provides part of the analysis upon which the PUC will base its decisions as to the level of investment required to acquire all cost-effective efficiency that is lower cost than supply.

move beyond traditional financing strategies and shall include new capital availability strategies that effectively overcome market barriers in each market segment in which it is feasible to do so.

- d. The EE Procurement Plan shall address how the utility plans to integrate gas and electric energy efficiency programs to optimize customer energy efficiency
2. Cost-effectiveness
 - a. The Utility shall assess measure, program and portfolio cost-effectiveness according to the Total Resource Cost test (“TRC”)². The Utility shall, after consultation with the Council, propose the specific benefits and costs to be reported and factors to be included in the Rhode Island TRC test.
 - b. That test shall include the costs of CO2 mitigation as they are imposed and are projected to be imposed by the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative. They shall include any other costs associated with greenhouse gas reduction that are actually being imposed on energy generation and can be identified and quantified.
 - c. The utility shall provide a discussion of the carbon impacts efficiency and reliability investment plans will create.
 3. Prudence and Reliability
 - a. In the initial three-year EE Procurement Plan, a ramp-up to achieve all cost-effective efficiency lower cost than supply shall be proposed by the Utility that is both aggressive in securing energy, capacity, and system cost savings and is also designed to ensure the programs will be delivered successfully and cost-effectively over the long term³. The proposed ramp-up will appropriately balance the significant cost saving efficiency investment opportunity that is identified and the near-term capacity and staffing issues within the utility and vendor community with an emphasis on ensuring an aggressive and sustainable ramp-up of program investments over time.
 - b. EE Procurement Plan efficiency investments shall be made on behalf of all customers. This will ensure consistency with existing program structure under which all customers pay for and benefit from today’s efficiency programs.
 - c. The EE Procurement Plan should describe how it interacts with the System Reliability Procurement Plan.
 4. Funding Plan and Initial Goals
 - a. The Utility shall develop a funding plan based on the following sources to meet the budget requirement of the EE Procurement Plan. The Utility shall utilize as

² Since the focus of the Rhode Island legislation is on securing customer benefits, not just Utility benefits from energy efficiency procurement, the TRC test is recommended.

³ The Utility may propose a study or studies to investigate and document current energy efficiency program infrastructure in Rhode Island; to assess the ability of the infrastructure to meet increased demand for energy efficiency services; and to make recommendations for increasing capacity if needed. Any such report should address: staffing levels and ability to expand staffing; training and experience of staff; current workloads; interest in working with utility program sponsors; statewide coverage of services; and other relevant factors. Where appropriate, the Utility may partner with research efforts of this sort that are regional in nature or in other jurisdictions, so long as they provide pertinent information for building the Rhode Island infrastructure. The costs of these plans and the actions to implement them may be included as program costs.

necessary to fulfill the statutory mandate, the five following sources of funding for the efficiency program investments among others:

- (1) the existing System Benefits Charge (“SBC”);
- (2) forward capacity market (“FCM”) revenues should be re-invested to help cover program costs.
- (3) auction of Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI) allowances pursuant to § 23-82.6 of the General Laws which states allocation of RGGI proceeds shall be for that which “best achieves the purposes of the law, namely, lowering carbon emissions and minimizing cost to customers over the long term”;
- (4) funds from any federal or international climate or cap and trade legislation or policy including but not limited to revenue or allowances allocated to expand energy efficiency programs;
- (5) ~~distribution rates, which is a funding mechanism to be relied upon after the other sources to ensure the legislative mandate to procure all cost effective efficiency that is lower cost than supply is met.~~ funds from the fully reconciling funding mechanism established in the 2010 revision to § 39-1-27.7(c)(5) of the General Laws, namely, a requirement to fully fund cost-effective 3-year plans for energy efficiency procurement, reviewed and approved by the energy efficiency resources management council, and any related annual plans.

- b. The Utility shall include a preliminary budget for the EE Procurement Plan covering the three-year period that identifies the projected costs, benefits, and initial energy saving goals of the portfolio for each year. The budget shall identify at the portfolio level, the projected cost of efficiency resources in cents/lifetime kWh unit of energy. The preliminary budget and initial energy saving goals may be updated in the Utility’s EE Program Plan.

B. Efficiency Performance Incentive Plan

1. Utility shall have an opportunity to earn a shareholder incentive that is dependent on its performance in implementing the approved EE Procurement Plan
 - a. The Utility, in consultation with the Council will propose in its EE Procurement Plan, an incentive proposal that is designed to promote superior Utility performance in cost-effectively and efficiently securing for customers all efficiency resources lower cost than supply.
 - b. The Performance Incentive should be structured to reward program performance that makes significant progress in securing all cost-effective efficiency resources that are lower cost than supply while at the same time ensuring that those resources are secured as efficiently as possible.
 - c. The Utility incentive model currently in place in RI should be reviewed by the Utility and the Council. The Utility and Council shall also review incentive programs and designs in other jurisdiction including those with penalties and increasing levels of incentives based on higher levels of performance.

- d. The Incentive may provide incentives for other objectives that are consistent with the goals including but not limited to comprehensiveness, customer equity, increased customer access to capital, and market transformation.
- e. The incentive should be sufficient to provide a high level of motivation for excellent Utility performance, but modest enough to ensure that customers receive most of the benefit from EEP implementation.

Section 1.3 EE Program Plan Components

A. Principles of Program Design

1. The EE Program Plan shall identify the specific energy efficiency programs proposed for implementation by the Utility, pursuant to the EE Procurement Plan.
2. The Utility should consistently design programs and strategies to ensure that all customers have an opportunity to benefit comprehensively, where appropriate, from expanded investments in this low-cost resource and the programs should be designed and implemented in a coordinated fashion by the utility, in active and ongoing consultation with the Council.
3. The Utility shall propose a portfolio of programs in the EE Program Plan that is cost-effective. Any program with a benefit cost ratio greater than 1.0 (i.e., where benefits are greater than costs), should be considered cost-effective. While all programs should be cost-effective, the portfolio must also be determined to be cost-effective.
4. The Utility shall be allowed to direct a portion of proposed funding to conduct research and development and pilot program initiatives. These efforts will not be subject to cost-effectiveness considerations. However, the costs of these initiatives shall be included in the assessment of portfolio level cost-effectiveness.
5. All efforts to ramp-up program capability as identified in Section 1.2 A iii (a) shall be done in a manner that ensures quality delivery and is economical and efficient. The utility shall include wherever possible and practical partnerships with existing educational and job training entities.
6. The portfolio of programs proposed by the Utility should be designed to ensure that different sectors and all customers get opportunities to secure efficiency resources lower cost than the cost of supply
7. While it is anticipated that rough parity among sectors can be maintained, as the limits of what is cost-effective are identified, there may be more efficiency opportunities identified in one sector than another. The Utility should design programs to capture all resources that are cost-effective and lower cost than supply. The Utility should consult with the Council to address ongoing issues of Parity
8. The Utility shall explore as part of its plan, new strategies to make available the capital needed to effectively overcome market barriers and implement projects that moves beyond traditional financing strategies.

B. Final Funding Plan and Budget Amounts, Cost-Effectiveness and Goals

1. The Utility shall include a detailed budget for the EE Program Plan covering the annual period beginning the following January 1, that identifies the projected costs, benefits, and energy saving goals of the portfolio and of each program. The budget shall identify at the portfolio level, the projected cost of efficiency resources in cents/lifetime ~~kWh~~ unit of energy.
2. The EE Program plans filed November 1, will reflect program ramp-up experience and anticipated changes, shifts in customer demand, changing market costs, and other factors, as noted in Section 1 above. The annual detailed budget update shall include the projected costs, benefits, and energy saving goals of each program as well as the cost of efficiency resources in cents/ lifetime ~~kWh~~ unit of energy.
3. The Utility, in consultation with the Council may propose specific non-energy benefits (NEBs) in its Residential Low Income program cost-effectiveness analysis in addition to the benefits included in the TRC test for all other programs.
4. The EE Program Plan shall identify the energy cost savings that RI ratepayers will realize through its implementation.
5. In order to assess the potential effect of greenhouse gas reduction costs, the Utility, upon consultation with the Council, shall conduct and report in the EE Procurement Plan filing a sensitivity analysis of the proposed portfolio of programs that includes a “potential” cost for CO2 mitigation that is agreed upon among the parties.

C. Program Descriptions

1. Utility program development shall proceed by building upon what has been learned to date in utility program experience, systematically identifying new opportunities and pursuing comprehensiveness of measure implementation as appropriate and feasible.
2. The Utility shall, as part of its EE Program Plan, describe each program, how it will be implemented, and the total costs and benefits associated with the efficiency investments
3. The Utility plan shall describe in each appropriate program section a plan to devise new strategies to make available the capital needed in addition to the incentives provided to implement measures.
4. In addition to these basic requirements, the plan shall address, where appropriate, the following elements:
 - a. Comprehensiveness of opportunities addressed at customer facilities
 - b. Integration of electric and natural gas energy efficiency implementation and delivery (while still tracking the cost-effectiveness of programs by fuel).
 - c. Integration of energy efficiency programs with renewables and other system reliability procurement plan elements
 - d. Promotion of the effectiveness and efficiency levels of Codes and standards and other market transforming strategies. If the utility takes a proactive role in researching, developing and implementing such strategies, it may, after

consultation with the Council, propose a mechanism to claim credit for a portion of the resulting savings.

- e. Implementation, where cost-effective, of demand response measures or programs that are integrated into the electric and natural gas efficiency program offerings. Such measures/programs will be designed to supplement cost-effective procurement of long-term energy and capacity savings from efficiency measures.

D. Monitoring & Evaluation (M&E) Plan

1. The Utility shall, after consultation with the Council, include a Monitoring and Evaluation (“M & E”) component in its EE Program Plan.
2. This M & E component shall cover the three years of the Plan, with a focus on the first year, and address at least the following:
 - a. a component that addresses savings verification including, where appropriate, analysis of customer usage;
 - b. a Component that will address issues of ongoing program design and effectiveness;
 - c. any other issues, for example, efforts related to market assessment and methodologies to claim savings from market effects, among others;
 - d. a discussion of Regional and other cooperative M & E efforts the Utility is participating in or plans to participate in.
3. The Utility shall include in its M & E component any changes it proposes to the frequency and level of detail of utility program plan filing and subsequent reporting of results.

E. Reporting Requirements

1. The Utility, in consultation with the Council, will propose the content to be reported and a reporting format that is designed to communicate clearly and effectively the benefits of the efforts planned and implemented, with particular focus on energy cost savings, to secure all EE resources that are lower cost than supply.

Section 1.4 Role of the Council

- A. The Council shall take a leadership role in ensuring that Rhode Island ratepayers get excellent value from the EE Procurement Plan being implemented on their behalf. The Council shall do this by collaborating closely with the Utility on design and implementation of the Monitoring and Evaluation efforts presented by the Utility under the terms of Section 1.3 D, and if necessary, provide recommendations for modification that will strengthen the assessment of utility programs.
- B. As part of the Council’s April 15 annual report required by 42-140.1-5 the Council shall report on program performance and whether program costs are justified, given the intent of the enabling legislation. The Council shall also report on the effectiveness of any performance incentive approved by the PUC in achieving the objectives of efficient and cost-effective procurement of all efficiency resources lower cost than supply and the level

of its success in mitigating the cost and variability of electric service by reducing customer usage.

- C. In addition to the other roles for the Council indicated in this filing, the Utility shall seek ongoing input from, and collaboration with the Council on development of the EE Procurement and Program Plans, and on development of the annual update to the Plan.
- D. The Utility and the Council shall report to the PUC a process for Council input and review of its 2008 EE Procurement Plan and EE Program Plan by July 15, 2008 and triennially thereafter.
- E. The Council shall vote whether to endorse the EE Procurement Plan by August 15, 2008 and triennially thereafter. If the Council does not endorse the Plan then the Council shall document the reasons and submit comments on the Plan to the PUC for their consideration in final review of the Plan.
- F. The Utility shall, in consultation with the Council, propose a process for Council input and review of its EE Procurement Plan and EE Program Plan. This process is intended to build on the mutual expertise and interests of the Council and the Utility, as well as meet the oversight responsibilities of the Council.
- G. The Utility shall submit a draft annual EE Program Plan to the Council for its review and comment annually by October 1.
- H. The Council shall vote whether to endorse the annual EE Program Plan by October 15, annually. If the Council does not endorse the annual EE Program Plan, the Council shall document its reasons and submit comments on the Plan to the PUC for its consideration in final review of the Plan.

|