

Luly Massaro - Fwd: Deepwater Wind Offshore Demonstration Project Public input submittal wfhoran.

From: Mary Russell
To: Luly Massaro
Date: 8/10/2010 9:16 AM
Subject: Fwd: Deepwater Wind Offshore Demonstration Project Public input submittal wfhoran.
CC: BILLYHORAN@aol.com

Luly,
 For Commission record.
 Thanks, Mary

>>> <BILLYHORAN@aol.com> 8/5/2010 10:46 PM >>>

August 05, 2010

To:

RI PUC Members

including but not limited to Mary Russell & Paul Roberti et al

**Subject : Deepwater Wind (Turbine and proposed associated system & elements)
 Offshore Demonstration Project Public input submittal.**

Please read my comments into the public record.

I had planed to appear at one of your open hearings / public meetings to present some deep seated concerns & impressions on the proposed so called Deepwater Wind (Turbine) offshore Demonstration presently being considered and finally being given some limited exposure in the local media.

However - Unfortunately I have been informed of the need for some immediate and a even extended medical treatments. Accordingly I have been advised to forgo any physical public appearance at this juncture.

My interest is both as a almost life long citizen of RI for coming on 67 years and almost 45 years in the Engineering and Engineering management field. Having recently retired as a senior manager and an Engineering Fellow from Raytheon Company.

Presently I am as well a Life Member of The IEEE Providence Section.

As well I am a Member of the IEEE Providence Section Ex Com.

I possess a University Education in the Engineering field and hold various patens of both military and commercial nature.

Finally I subscribe to legal citizens assemblies such as The RI Tea Party and or similar independent & ad hoc citizens constitutional patriotic assemblies that subscribe to the rule of law and a continuation of a constitutional republic securing freedom & a shared prosperity and sovereignty.and like agenda.

The opinions expressed here in are my own and not necessarily my former employer and or The IEEE Providence Section.

This Deep Water Wind Turbine project like many local, state and especially federal efforts is today presently drowning in a sea of words. If today we all chose to accept & acknowledge that ultimately we must defend our security and prosperity if not our very national sovereignty. Then such must have an absolute priority in every decision made and in all actions.

I have a keen interest in the well being of our Constitutional Republic and especially the State of RI, like I trust all of our citizens and know that our governor D L Carcieri possesses.

While Governor Carcieri brought many ideas and methods for reforming our states stagnant ways and some times even questionable ethical mind set - the progress while notable has been by inches. Never the less I acknowledge his Nobel and often unrecognized efforts to these ends. I can understand his zeal and passion to continue pushing and pulling RI out of its shared misery of pseudo Socialism during the sunset of his time at the helm of our RI ship of state.

Yes, it is all about utilizing the market place in partnership with providers and enabling & Maintenance regulation from government to realize an affordable predictable abundance and a shared prosperity.

We in RI can not afford to allow ourselves to yet once again be victimized by lack of solid Partnership and transparency. Such must be realized and not replaced by behavior more akin to the thugs of Chicago & Washington, DC present evil political cabal apparently schooled in an Enron like mentality. The ground of RI is already littered with failed projects many from a variety of ignorance, and or possibly even malfeasance.

This does not correlate to a conclusion that as such we must do nothing. Rather it begs us to better assemble together for the common good and general welfare of our state. Yes, a different kind of welfare than RI has come to be all to willing to accept.

Recently I was responsible for hosting a IEEE Providence Section Dinner meeting enabling technology for humanity series open to the public and all Technical Disciplines. At that meeting arrangements had been made to secure Mr Paul Roberti of the RI PUC to present an overview to what is a plethora of information in the complex business of energy and its various components.

That presentation including the overlay of previous and present governments bureaucracies and restructure of both national regional and local energy generation and delivery. The assembly and logical presentation of a wide ranging matrix of information was shared with an audience including IEEE members and friends plus many if the very knowledgeable local regional energy suppliers.

Accordingly I decided to dig deeper into this topical area of great importance to our region and the nation at large. What I concluded is that perhaps there is more accumulated horse sense at the RI PUC as compared to the national level. Yes, where the wrong end of the horse is presently favored by an collection of ignorant bureaucrats at best and I fear possessing other than the agenda of a free people possessing a constitution limiting the power of government or sustaining our companion constitutional republic that results in a free and sovereign people..

Seriously just a brief examination of this attempted Deep Water Wind Turbine Plan plan and proposal and the underlining technical assumptions leaves me very concerned that RI will never come close to realizing in a predictable fashion at a predictable and affordable cost the critical needed energy reliability and independence.

My experience with both commercial and government technical contracts leaves me amazed that we were not able to devise what I have come to know as the rigor of a structured & transparent and market comparative position with quantifiable risk management identification and imbedded risk mitigation. I am not even certain of what is delivered , definition of done including what is the definition of working and a companion critical path analysis of the purposed endeavor. What is its projects useful life based on analysis and past experience? Finally I am not convenience that the project is portrayed in its entirety be it near term of the hypnotized follow on so called full scale construction and deployment. I am lacking in confidence of the under pinning or credentials of the individuals identified to possess the knowledge base and experience to perform the contracts many roles & responsibilities.

What comes to mind is a study of technical, finical, business and companion enabling regulation and law history of the implementation for the great cross country railroads and the robber barons (many from Washington and local New England, New York et al) that plundered the country and its citizens as they maximize cost and delay. Yes, a few notable successes that were consumed to offset the majority of failures. I suggest that we learn from

history and not yet repeat it once again.

Yes, the country is just now awash with a variety of proposals for near shore, on shore or tethered wind Turbine electrical generation projects. Having some relevant experience I do not believe that our costal waters exhibit the calm and kindness of summer through out the year.

*The creative genius of devising a product from and idea is quantifiable in many ways. The companion plan devised to accomplish this is priceless! The extension is that
A fool with a good plan can sometimes beat an all knowing genius with no viable plan!
Yes, if one is desperate enough to gamble the entire future..*

*We all learned of many sorted details via PROJO and other sources in recent days . Those sordid details and there is no need to go over them. To even contemplate raising the utility rates at this point in time is ludicrous!
Also, the "new and improved" legislation tries to neuter the process by forcing the RI PUC to OVERLOOK competitive pricing and give preferential treatment to Deepwater Wind.*

Attached please find a few thoughts assembled in a writing to think and sometimes not necessary first thinking to write

Again, I respectfully request that this information be entered in the public record for this topical Deep Water Wind Turbine Project deliberation. Pleas accept my inputs as that of a concerned citizens unable to attend your proceedings. The input based on a passion for The State of Rhode Island, accumulated life experience and subject to availability and interpretation of information.

*William F Horan
Legal citizen and constitutional moderate
One Jean Street
Middletown, RI 02842-4536
401 846 5732
billyhoran@aol.com*

Representative samples and references from PROJO collected by wm f horan. Sent to PROJO by Wmn F Horan as chevy409 on and before August 4, 2010.

[chevy409](#)

5:56 PM on August 4, 2010

To days PROJO DeepWaterWind Turbine report is disappointing news.
Caution - Writing to think and not thinking to write.

Is this a Block Island only Wind Farm?
Is this a RI Only Wind Farm?
Is this a regional Wind Farm?
Why is RI going it alone in such an undertaking?
What happens when the money is gone?

Why do we need this Dem Val of 10 now 6 or 8 wind Turbines if this is all proven technology and proven and experienced contractors Clearly we are handicapped by the loss of economy of scale of the projected project of upwards of 100 wind turbines? What are we missing?

I am convinced that the RI Governor Don Carcieri has taken the lemons available to him and tried to make lemon aid and not kool-aid. Yes, Kool-Aid a favorite beverage consumed in great quantities in our nations capital by both political parties..

The creative genius of devising a product from an idea is quantifiable in many ways. The companion plan devised to accomplish this is priceless! The extension is that
A fool with a good plan can beat an all knowing genius with no viable plan!

I will place my confidence and trust in the independent and knowledgeable RI Public Utility Commission (in collaboration with the regional energy and power providers) to provide the guidance and advise necessary such that we can realize the agenda plans and dreams of RI Governor Donald L Carcieri to successfully address the energy for our state and even geographic region.

Furthermore serve as an example for our nation to free itself of at least one of many elements of a convoluted and carefully crafted evil cabal that we find ourselves today. Yes, a situation that ultimately threatens our security and overall well being for many generations if not the future of our constitutional republic.

This project like many local, state and especially federal efforts is today presently drowning in a sea of words. If today we all chose to accept & acknowledge that ultimately we must defend our security and prosperity. Then such must have an absolute priority in every decision made and in all actions.

Yes, I thought that wind power was a great idea at first. I even encouraged looking further into such a possibility. Yes, having traveled in Europe and the US where such was being constructed. Yes, it turns out that the devil was in the details.

We need to look at the vast matrix of information even beyond the apparent lack of affordability in the present proposal e.g. 24 cents per KW with the built in cost creep +.

Yes, The alternative might be Texas and other efficient on land wind turbine projects are in need of a costly national HV DC Transmission line. The energy delivery issues seem to be realignment of distribution and not lack of several energy supplies. Finally a number of alternate energy R&D is slowly progressing.\

However we have failed to better convert & distribute the energy of all forms already available from several sources!

You guys (the state of RI movers and shakers) are so far out of touch with financial, Technical and business reality that your behaviors and apparent proposal is just frightening!!!

Moreover you have either a lack of knowledge or have chosen to avoid the big picture. Your behavior is more akin to the know nothing aka Obama Federal Government and its demonstrated socialist ignorance & arrogance..

Or why do we need big government types that can't completely comprehend that one of the beauties of capitalism is that it turns scarcity into abundance, that it takes things that are scarce today making them the affordable available commodities of tomorrow. Yes a shared abundance in the market place vs misery of socialism e.g. unaffordable high end cost and redistribution of wealth that pays for an inefficient corrupted bureaucrats. Yes, rely on entrepreneurs in partnership with technology enabling humanity. to meet its needs and not a fools hope of a poorly grounded tribe of ignorant bureaucrats..

Yes technology solutions that truly benefit humanity are clearly required but lacking. Such must be assembled in an open and transparent manner with benefit of all the necessary knowledge base of business, science and engineering.

We call that stake holders. Yes, all based on a set of requirements, implementation plan that can be managed to deliver a predictable competitive product with in cost and schedule.

The question is why have you not been proactive and in a timely manner availed yourself of the wealth of knowledge available from the power and energy industry and the accumulated data base of a very responsive, knowledgeable open & transparent credible RI PUC? Why have we come down to the wire after spending all this money formulating something to learn that perhaps major flaws and undefined risk is pushing us into a sort of take it or leave it situation?

Such could have served as a early independent check and balance. What comes to mind did you bring PUC and the regional power industry in early enough during the project formulation and prior to RFQ and RFP phase of the program?

Today it looks like that the very necessary transparent ebb and flow did not get accomplished or at least in a less

than timely manner.

To correct this possible misstep did the typical RI good old boys gang up and intimidate vilify or even marginalizes those trusted very knowledgeable public servants at the RI PUC?

Or for than matter abandon concerned citizens - ultimately the rate payer customer and a previously financier of Manny ill conceived project plan and defective cost model project? Perhaps serving once again as future victims of selecting incompetent and inexperienced contractors and or attempting to implement a flawed plan?.

I must remind you that the ground in RI is littered with previous plunder of the tax payers coffers and pockets full of money despairing into who knows who pockets.

The local IEEE Providence Section Dinner meeting recently had as guest speaker one of the three RI PUC members Paul Roberti. Mr Roberti is well versed with an undergrad science degree and law degree with a fine appreciation of finance and business foundation of energy market generation and distribution. Moreover based on the recent presentation give and take, at IEEE, The RI PUC in Roberti has a solid but appropriate arms length liaison / respect with in the power generation and distribution industry.. Mr Roberti was previously a member of the RI AG office. I am convinced that his / Robeti depth and breath of knowledge brings all the contributing factors together from a wide ranging matrix of factors necessary to evaluate the process of - specify and implement and operate such a project.

Yes, at first wind power based on the American history of wind and water as colonial point source solutions for pumping water, grinding grain, cutting wood, and running our early mills.

From that beginning followed by Nicoli Tesla and George Westinghouse has evolved to days AC power generation and power grid.

Yes, carbon is the fuel foundation. Further more the dirty secret is that our country at the Federal level has entered into extremely long term contracts for foreign oil with all the companion liquid lubrication changing hands. This factor alone is what impacts any logical and reasonable solution short, mid or long term in the USA and especially New England region where this foreign over valued especially oil has a targeted dumping ground.

Several alternate solutions are available and have seen little examination and rigor applied such that RI New England and the Canada maritime region might have cost competitive alternatives.

Yes, I attended the Acoustic Society Dinner meeting hosted at NUWC where a presentation was made by a very knowledgeable URI Professor on various Deep water WIND engineering studies followed by a project overview.

Today's wind power examples in RI such as Portsmouth Abbey and Portsmouth High School while on the grid are intended for a singular local point source solution mentioned earlier.

Wind Turbine farms require a source to user load smart grid that is complex and costly. I have not seen such factored totally into the RI wind farm models. Such wind Farms require a standby power supply that must be paid for 24-7 but used only when the wind does not blow. Such wind farms require some sort of storage of excess power when the customer demand is lacking and the wind cannot be turned off. Hence solutions such as an out of basin water gravity storage and or compressed air into abandoned coal mines under Narragansett Bay. , or perhaps hydrogen generation / storage. Down the road perhaps (thinking out of the box) capture the wind energy with new materials and principals less major moving mechanical parts, who knows!

While construction of wind farms on the ocean are about 70% to 80% efficient Vs about 50% on land locally. (foot note Texas and southwest more efficient on land but no grid to distribute power).). However to implement such wind turbines on local waters is very costly takes 40X cement and steel and complex assembly & maintenance at sea. Life expectancy is much less and weather elements unpredictable. Again an underwater power grid crossing all the already in place under water communications lines.

Based on the limited data available the concern can be raised that RI has fashioned an over dimensioned set of conditions that makes the project design unaffordable and in its present configuration incomplete / lacking in critical elements and even unachievable with in a predictable cost.

That is if the end goal is delivering a predictable product for a predictable price.

Please keep in mind that I have not commented on the evaluation of the capabilities of the contractors along with

their schedules and statements of work et al. The two other factors would be the proven European and other technology transfer such that no new technology is pioneered and second the wind turbine at see equipments mfg capacity and capabilities along with the proven talent to assemble and deploy the units. Risk identification risk mitigation plans and performance bonds and definition of done is as well of concern.

Basically who is the project manager for design, construction, deployment and operation and maintenance? That is I can not see RI government at any level with such capability or capacity after all we cannot build and maintain roads or bridges in a predictable an affordable fashion and finally where money is on the table the shrinkage rate in RI has said to be well above the national average.

Suggestion we have a consortium of new England and near by Canada Power generation and delivery companies that together have the capacity and capability to fashion viable cost effective solutions for new England and our region. Why is government going beyond enabling regulation and getting into the business? I do not see Federal or state government playing a roll beyond a top level determination of requirements and funding knowledgeable industries to submit proposals for design trades and selected design implementation and finally proposals to operate the energy generation and delivery system regardless of technologies selected and paired up. Again, a very necessary government concentration focused on only regulation and very limited finical assistance. Yes, with life long regulation / guidance that protects the welfare of all parties. .

If I back off a few more miles and view from the top down I see the first problem that our single national grid does not meet the population cluster mfg and residence needs of today. I see the US still awash in energy especially several shale formations are loaded with natural gas and oil. The issue is that the routing of pipe lines and their size is not deployed for today's users..

I see emerging technologies like poly well fusion advancing and a USN Submarine like small nuclear Lite reactor technology deployed on railroad cars self contained and sealed all as among emerging technologies for power generation / energy conversion. I see closed loop so called clean coal facilities like the local near by Summerset MA facility as a 21 century upgrade rapidly being accomplished. I see looking to the power companies and our Canada maritime neighbors for partnership solutions. We have enough Natural gas available in the USA now to attack the foreign oil usage and clean up the environment with out the Carbon Tax ponzi scheme.

Yes convert trucks to natural gas now. Diesel oil is imported costly and pollution challenge. Europe in the 1960s had cars and trucks running on natural gas. This is not a technology challenge it is a market / government cartel creation that is the major obstruction. Second the rail can be converted as well to natural gas especially for local and long haul. Last, autos innovation enabled by more efficient designs and use of emerging technologies. No we do not have to all ride bikes like Europe after the WWII to most recently. No we do not have to all move into city ghettos on top of each other by government mandate.

The Cap and trade bill is something to worry about. But of far greater importance is the EPA's incremental efforts to regulate CO2.

Carbon energy trading and the companion taxation ponzi schemes is a rapidly coming second national investment fraud on the horizon. Yes, not even a portion of the Energy solution but is a component of the Progressive socialist Marxist and fascist plunder of America that is presently ongoing. Companion global warming is a already proven scientific fraud & junk science with the corrupted and misguided science employees of government performing for their daily bread.

Extracting any type of energy and its conversion to usable product be it petroleum products, electrical, natural gas all has an implied risk and companion risk management plan implementation.

Let us not be confused by recent Gulf apparent accident be it missteps of industry and government or companion joint malfeasance. Finally even a classic socialist false flag fabrication opportunity. Be it in the making or already accomplished!

Finally is it an extension of the ongoing investment and banking finical plunder?

Again I will place my confidence and trust in the independent and knowledgeable RI Public Utility Commission (in collaboration with the regional energy and power providers) to provide the guidance and advise necessary to realize the agenda plans and dreams of RI Governor Donald L Carcieri to successfully address the energy for our state and even geographic region. Furthermore serve as an example for our nation to free itself of at least one of many elements of a convoluted and carefully crafted evil cabal that we find ourselves today.

This project like many local, state and especially federal efforts is today presently drowning in a sea of words. If

today we all chose to accept & acknowledge that ultimately we must defend our security and prosperity. Then such must have an absolute priority in every decision made and in all actions.

[In Paper Ads](#)
[Circulars](#)
[Special Sections](#)

[Shopping Guides](#)
[Cardi's](#)
[Benny's](#)

[Comments](#) 15 | [Recommend](#)  2

Deepwater contract criticized

07:02 AM EDT on Wednesday, August 4, 2010

By Peter B. Lord

Journal Environment writer

WARWICK, R.I. - A national energy expert testified Tuesday that the proposed contract governing how National Grid would buy electricity from a wind farm developed by Deepwater Wind off Block Island sets prices that are high and not commercially reasonable.

Robert McCullough, an energy consultant from Portland, Ore., said the contract is poorly written, replete with errors and lacks key information, such as what kind of turbines Deepwater plans to use.

McCullough and attorney Joseph McGair were hired to intervene in the case by a group of citizens opposed to the power-purchase agreement. The citizens include former Attorney General James E. O'Neil and state Rep. Laurence Ehrhardt, R-North Kingstown.

McCullough said he found the prices in the contract are significantly higher than prices for similar projects either under way or recently completed in Europe.

"The cost figures give the appearance of being reverse-engineered from a required rate of return rather than derived from basic engineering estimates. The rate of return seems high with any reasonable level of leverage, and due diligence by the purchaser was lacking. Finally, the proposed contract's pricing sections are poorly written and several other sections may contain drafting errors," McCullough said in written testimony.

"The scenario is akin to a purchaser buying a house through a real estate agent," McCullough said earlier. "The

price is higher than comparable transactions, the agent is receiving a commission on the transaction, no inspection of the house has been undertaken, the creditworthiness of the seller is suspect, and the real estate contract is poorly written with a number of obvious errors."

Following the unusual format of a PUC hearing, most of the testimony from all parties was submitted in writing in recent weeks. Much of it is being discussed in open session only this week, as the PUC is allowing cross-examination by National Grid, Deepwater and opponents such as the Conservation Law Foundation, the private citizens and two manufacturers that are concerned about price increases for electricity.

Jennifer Brooks Hutchinson, a lawyer for National Grid, asked McCullough how he could evaluate the contract if he hadn't studied the one other power-purchase agreement for an ocean wind farm that was negotiated by Bluewater Wind in Delaware.

McCullough said he didn't need to study the Bluewater contract. He said he had 30 years of experience working on power contracts around the country. (On his resumé, he says his U.S. Senate testimony in 2002 on energy trading by Enron initiated numerous investigations of that infamous corporation.)

Brooks Hutchinson started asking McCullough if repairs could be made to make the contract acceptable. But Michael McElroy, an attorney representing Polytop Corp. in North Smithfield and Toray Plastics in North Kingstown, said repairs are not an option.

Legislation passed by the [General Assembly](#) in its last session and signed by [Governor Carcieri](#) sent the case back to the PUC (which rejected an earlier power agreement), he said, and gave the agency just two choices: accept the agreement, or reject it.

Earlier Tuesday, McElroy read into the record testimony from a National Grid expert in response to his inquiries about how much the higher electric rates would cost his two clients.

The expert said Toray would pay \$304,732 more in 2013, the expected first year of production from the wind farm, and \$7.3 million more over the life of the contract.

Polytop would pay \$46,000 more in the first year and \$1.1 million more over 20 years.

Madison N. Milhous Jr., a National Grid executive, testified that all of the utility's customers would derive some benefits from the cleaner source of power offered by the wind farm.

But when McElroy asked if his clients would derive any direct benefits, Milhous said no. In fact, he acknowledged, they would be paying more. Both are big electricity users.

But Milhous also testified that the typical residential customer would only pay less than 1.7 percent more for their electricity.

Milhous insisted the agreement with Deepwater was commercially reasonable, a key concept that the PUC must evaluate in reaching its decision. And, he said, the present agreement is materially improved over an early agreement that the PUC rejected in March as too expensive.

PUC Chairman Elia Germani said he thought it was interesting that nowhere in its testimony did National Grid address the economic-development issues surrounding the project. State officials insist it will be an economic boom, but McElroy's clients fear it will hurt their businesses.

McCullough said if competitive bids were sought for the project, ratepayers would be ensured of the best prices. But in this case, Deepwater was selected by the Carcieri administration, and terms of the agreement were negotiated later.

He noted that Massachusetts Attorney General Martha Coakley recently negotiated a price for the Cape Wind power from the wind farm being developed off Nantucket that is 10 percent cheaper than the price negotiated by National Grid. It would be 18.7 cents a kilowatt-hour. The price for the Deepwater price is capped at 24.4 cents,

with any construction cost savings to be passed on to ratepayers.

The PUC plans to rule on the case Aug. 11.

For more information, go to www.ripuc.org.

UseLess

3:32 PM on August 4, 2010

This comment is hidden because you have chosen to ignore UseLess. [Show Details](#)[Hide Details](#)

Deepwater Wind is an offshore wind development company formed by Newton-based First Wind Holdings Inc, formerly UPC and IVPC, and other investors. Among them hedge funds DE Shaw and Madison Dearborn. Deepwater/FW/UPC/IVPC and their investors are littered with former Enron people. D.E, Shaw is on Obama's council of economic advisers which includes Geoff Imelt (CEO of wind turbine maker,GE), and Larry Summers, who worked at DE Shaw before being chosen by Obama to head the council.

More info available here:

<http://www.masshightech.com/stories/2008/09/22/daily59-First-Wind-spinoff-to-build-RI-offshore-wind-project.html>

This company is bad news and this contract really can't pass the straight face or the sniff test. SCAM

plord@projo.com

Bill Carson

8:26 PM on August 4, 2010

This comment is hidden because you have chosen to ignore Bill Carson. [Show Details](#)[Hide Details](#)

The country has evidently arrived at a point in its legal culture where no negative consequences seem to exist for making false or misleading claims to sell wind energy-the stuff dreams are made of. But industrial wind is a bunco scheme of enormous consequence. And people who value intellectual honesty should not quietly be fleeced by such mendacity, even from their government

Results are sorted in order of publication date [Consultant criticizes contract for Deepwater Wind project](#)

Aug 05, 2010 -

Consultant criticizes contract for **Deepwater Wind project**. By Peter B.

Lord Journal Environment Writer. WARWICK - A University of ... [Deepwater contract criticized](#)

Aug 04, 2010 -

... competitive bids were sought for the **project**, ratepayers would ... But in this case,

Deepwater was selected by the ... negotiated a price for the Cape **Wind** power from ... [Carceri, others put pressure on PUC to OK wind-farm pact](#)

Aug 03, 2010 -

... the way in the emerging offshore-**wind** industry. ... the unified political support, the

project has attracted ... over the recent law passed for **Deepwater**, the proposed ... [PUC to wait until Aug. 11 to rule on TransCanada's motion to ...](#)

Jul 28, 2010 -

... Grid is only authorized to sign a power-purchase agreement with **Deepwater Wind** just

as ... a contract with TransCanada or any other out-of-state **project** under the ... [Deepwater deal opposed by rival firm](#)

Jul 27, 2010 -

... The total price of energy from the Kibby **project** would be lower than 11 ... would be less than half the cost of power from **Deepwater's** Block Island **wind** farm ...

Hi!

We have fought long and hard against the passage of the Deepwater Wind Windmill Project. We all know the sordid details and there is no need to go over them. To even contemplate raising the utility rates at this point in time is ludicrous! Also, the "new and improved" legislation tries to neuter the process by forcing the PUT to OVERLOOK competitive pricing and and give preferential treatment to Deepwater Wind. If anyone has any questions on the issue you can call me at 253 3383.

This issue will be coming to a vote next week. It is imperative that as many as possible contact Mary Russell at the PUC to voice your steadfast objection to this being passed. They are tallying the votes, pro and con, and these votes will be instrumental in helping them to make a decision.

Please email mrussell@ripuc.state.ri.us or call her at 780-2133. She is waiting for your call.

We did this before and we can do it again. Please forward this email to your personal distribution list of Rhode Island voters.

We must defeat this!!!

Take care..

marina peterson

Follow me on Twitter! Galt3

Please join us at East Bay Patriots
<http://eastbaypatriots.net>

Correction on PUC Deepwater Hearing Date

PLEASE TAKE NOTE ON DATE CHANGE: The PUC is holding 2 public hearings on THURSDAY, July 22nd - one on Block Island at 10:00 am and the other at the PUC office (89 Jefferson Blvd, Warwick) at 6:00 PM regarding the Deepwater Wind Offshore Demonstration Project. We are looking for Tea Party members to come and speak out against the project. Please consider attending one of the hearings and making your voices heard! RI cannot afford this project which will ultimately cost jobs and chase more businesses out of our state due to increased energy costs.

Be sure to watch the RI Tea Party and Minority Advancement Research Council discuss what ails RI and how to fix it how it on Operation Clean Government's "State of the State" cable access show.

**Colleen Conley and Anastasia Eurtion Interviewed
Guests: Colleen Conley of RI Tea Party**

Anastasia Eurton of Minority Advancement Research Council

For more information visit www.riteaparty.com and

www.RIMARC.org

Host: John Carlevale

Produced: July 8, 2010

Run time: 30 minutes

Program Note: This is the sixth and seventh in a series of interviews with good government group leaders intended to learn how each group individually and collectively will use its influence to shape the political discussion; to promote its issues and concerns and to address and to solve the very significant structural and political problems facing the State of Rhode Island and its citizens.

Broadcast dates and times:

Thursday, July 22 at 9:30 PM on Cox channel 18, Verizon channel 31, and Full Channel 9

Finally, please visit www.riteaparty.com and sign up! Please mark down all events on our calendar so that we can all communicate and coordinate the myriad meetings and fundraisers going on each day.

Sincerely,



Colleen Conley
Nan Hayden
Lisa Blais
Patti Girardi
RI Tea Party