

Luly Massaro - Fwd: Dump the Deepwater Project!

From: Mary Russell
To: Luly Massaro
Date: 8/10/2010 9:17 AM
Subject: Fwd: Dump the Deepwater Project!
CC: notterman@verizon.net

Luly,
For Commission record.
Thanks, Mary

>>> <notterman@verizon.net> 8/5/2010 5:56 PM >>>

Dear Ms. Russell,
I am urging you to vote against the Deepwater Project. Any thing that will raise rates and give preferential rates to this monstrosity is detrimental to the people who are struggling to pay their bills. Rhode Islanders already pay huge taxes and sky high utilities. Do NOT give this project your approval for the sake of the people who already are being forced to pay high rates.
Thank you.
Barbara Szenes
Providence

Luly Massaro - Fwd: deep water wind ...VOTE NO

From: Mary Russell
To: Luly Massaro
Date: 8/10/2010 9:09 AM
Subject: Fwd: deep water wind ...VOTE NO
CC: mr_crux@cox.net

Luly,
For Commission record.
Thanks, Mary

>>> "Bedigs" <mr_crux@cox.net> 8/9/2010 7:27 PM >>>
Hi,

the costs simply do not out weigh the benefit..

And the cost of fixing all the problems from the harshest environmental conditions would negate all and any benefit in the long run!!!

Public money should not be wasted on this.

Tapping into natural gas in our own country is a better solution. (Onshore please!)

Thanks, Dave Bedigian

Luly Massaro - Fwd: Deepwater Proposal

From: Mary Russell
To: Luly Massaro
Date: 8/10/2010 9:11 AM
Subject: Fwd: Deepwater Proposal
CC: lindaspak01@verizon.net

Luly,
For Commission record.
Thanks, Mary

>>> Linda <lindaspak01@verizon.net> 8/6/2010 9:25 PM >>>
Dear Commissioners,

Thank you for coming to Block Island two weeks ago to hold the Public Hearing on the proposed windmills. Many of us here on BI feel your original decision was a well-thoughtout one with many hours spent by your Commission hearing expert testimony regarding this issue. We urge you to uphold your original decision, maintain your credibility and support your hard work and render a "NO" decision to this present proposal, which seems to be pretty much like the first proposal.

Thank you for your service to our state and your caring for the well-being of us, its residents.

Linda Spak
Mitchell Lane
Block Island, RI

Luly Massaro - Fwd: Fw: Vote NO on Deepwater

From: Mary Russell
To: Luly Massaro
Date: 8/10/2010 9:12 AM
Subject: Fwd: Fw: Vote NO on Deepwater
CC: gfuller26@yahoo.com

Luly,
 For Commission record.
 Thanks, Mary

>>> gina fuller <gfuller26@yahoo.com> 8/6/2010 9:38 AM >>>

There seems to be some confusion on your email address so I am sending this again incase you did not recieve it at any of the other emails to which I sent it....

--- On **Wed, 8/4/10, gina fuller <gfuller26@yahoo.com>** wrote:

From: gina fuller <gfuller26@yahoo.com>
 Subject: Vote NO on Deepwater
 To: mary.kent@ripuc.state.ri.us
 Cc: mary.russell@ripuc.state.ri.us
 Date: Wednesday, August 4, 2010, 2:18 PM

To Whom it May Concern,

I am writing this email to ask the PUC to once again vote NO in the Deepwater Wind/National Grid power purchase agreement. This project makes no sense for the ratepayers RI and their children and grandchildren. The Governor and General Assembly's attempt to circumvent the very process they set up should be proof enough that something is wrong with this proposal.

The problems I have with this project include, but are not limited to the following:

Other less expensive and more reliable sources of renewable energy are available from other sources

A cable to Block Island (which will be built, but isn't included in the price of Deepwater) would solve the problem and cost a fraction of the amount of the Deepwater project.

The State of RI should not be involved with private experimental projects using the ratepayers and future electric rates as capital for a PRIVATE development.

The need for the project is based on the corrupt science of man-made global warming

The Governor's agreement with Deepwater puts into question the results of the RI Ocean SAMP and any other permit reviews conducted by the State. I am not confident that all environment and socioeconomic impacts are being assessed through an objective review. The outcome has been pre-determined.

European wind projects are proof that these types of green projects kill jobs and do not produce the amounts of energy needed to offset the costs

The need for this project and outcome are part of the President's Cap and Trade scheme which he readily admits will result in energy prices "necessarily skyrocketing"

I have a feeling that some of those involved with this project will personally benefit financially from this project

Deepwater has had the opportunity to hire qualified firms in RI to conduct the work done so far and they have selected firms outside of RI. I do not believe the promised jobs will appear and if they do, they will be temporary.

Construction of these offshore projects is usually conducted by foreign vessels and crews since we do not have the industry here in the US.

That is just a small list. Please say strong and tell RI that BUSINESS AS USUAL IS OVER IN THE OCEAN STATE.

Sincerely,
Gina Fuller
Westerly