

## Luly Massaro - Fwd: windfarm

---

**From:** Mary Russell  
**To:** Luly Massaro  
**Date:** 8/5/2010 1:18 PM  
**Subject:** Fwd: windfarm  
**CC:** newportnaples@yahoo.com

---

Luly,  
For Commission record.  
Thanks, Mary

>>> barbara hughes <newportnaples@yahoo.com> 8/5/2010 11:41 AM >>>

Dear Ms Russell,

I've written before to express my concern with the windfarm proposal but, realize that I must write again since pressure to accept this is coming from all sides.

While I think wind mills should be considered as an energy source, I feel that at this time it's an extremely expensive source and one that Rhode Island cannot afford. Windmills of themselves are very costly energy users. They use vast amounts of energy to manufacture, ship and to maintain. Maybe some day in the future, their costs will be reduced but, for now they're too expensive.

Also, the costs of implementing this particular windfarm are outrageously high. I seriously doubt that Rhode Island will ever be able to recoup these costs. The price for our electricity will almost triple and this at a time when our state is hurting. We at least should be looking into more competitive companies.

As far as creating more jobs, the jobs are few and simply don't justify the expense.

Please, do not go ahead with this project at this time.

Sincerely,

Barbara Hughes

## Luly Massaro - Fwd: deepwater wind

---

**From:** Mary Russell  
**To:** Luly Massaro  
**Date:** 8/5/2010 9:15 AM  
**Subject:** Fwd: deepwater wind  
**CC:** jdminer2003@hotmail.com

---

Luly,  
For Commission record.  
Thanks, Mary

>>> jdminer2003@hotmail.com 8/4/2010 3:37 PM >>>  
Dear Mary,

I believe that the cost of building the wind turbines off the coast of Block Island is more than Rhode Island people can bear at this time.

Why can't we find another way to pay for it?

Please see this link:

[http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/38556042/ns/us\\_news-giving/?GT1=43001](http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/38556042/ns/us_news-giving/?GT1=43001)

John Miner  
401-996-7749

## Luly Massaro - Fwd: Deepwater Wind Windmill Project

---

**From:** Mary Russell  
**To:** Luly Massaro  
**Date:** 8/5/2010 9:14 AM  
**Subject:** Fwd: Deepwater Wind Windmill Project  
**CC:** herouxm@cox.net

---

Luly,  
For Commission record.  
Thanks, Mary

>>> "Marie Heroux" <herouxm@cox.net> 8/4/2010 4:17 PM >>>

Please vote **no** on this project. Rhode Islanders cannot afford the high cost of utilities if this is passed. I know you are getting a tremendous pressure from the Governor and the General Assembly but you need to think of the people of Rhode Island first and foremost.

Thank you,  
Marie Heroux

## Luly Massaro - Fwd:

---

**From:** Mary Russell  
**To:** Luly Massaro  
**Date:** 8/5/2010 9:14 AM  
**Subject:** Fwd:  
**CC:** d.issler@hotmail.com

---

Luly,  
For Commission record.  
Thanks, Mary

>>> deloris issler <d.issler@hotmail.com> 8/4/2010 5:01 PM >>>

Hello Ms. Russell,

I'm writing you to express my objection to the deep water wind project. There are many things we need to do get Rhode Island on the right track financially and environmentally, but this project is not one of them. I'm confident that the members of the PUC are well aware of the concerns I have because you all made the right decision in the first place before you were run over by legislators that have overreached their authority.

I am confident that we, with the help of the PUC, will accomplish the goal of implementing the best energy program for Rhode Island, but I don't believe this is it.

Thank you, and all the members, for your diligence on our behalf,

Deloris Issler  
108 Eldridge St.  
Cranston, RI 02910

## Luly Massaro - Fwd: Proposed Wind Farm

---

**From:** Mary Russell  
**To:** Luly Massaro  
**Date:** 8/5/2010 9:10 AM  
**Subject:** Fwd: Proposed Wind Farm  
**CC:** rebeldiver@verizon.net

---

Luly,  
For Commission record.  
Thanks, Mary

>>> Rebel Diver <rebeldiver@verizon.net> 8/4/2010 8:45 PM >>>  
Hi Mary,

If the Block Island Wind Farm proposal is not cost effective for Rhode Islanders, I would ask that you scrap the idea. We need cost effective solutions to our energy needs, not feel good projects that are going to increase our energy costs.

Is there any discussion about building a nuclear power plant here in Rhode Island? Now that would make huge economic sense.

Thank you for your consideration.

Philip Overton  
3 Sacco Drive  
Westerly, RI 02891  
401-322-7403

## Luly Massaro - Fwd: Deepwater Wind

---

**From:** Mary Russell  
**To:** Luly Massaro  
**Date:** 8/4/2010 4:04 PM  
**Subject:** Fwd: Deepwater Wind  
**CC:** mkearney11@verizon.net

---

Luly,  
For Commission record.  
Thanks, Mary

>>> Maureen Kearney <mkearney11@verizon.net> 8/4/2010 3:49 PM >>>

Mary,

I am asking that the Deepwater Wind Project be voted down. This project is not going to help the people of this overly taxed state. Increasing power bill from 9 cents a KWH to 24 cents a KWH is ridiculous. How about we start over with contractors outside of Rhode Island that can do the job for less? It is my understanding that this profits union workers in RI. If they cannot bring down the cost of electricity with this project, than it shouldn't be done. Vote NO. We are very awake and not happy with this plan.

Maureen and Joe Kearney  
North Kingstown, Rhode Island.

## Luly Massaro - Fwd: Deep Water project

---

**From:** Mary Russell  
**To:** Luly Massaro  
**Date:** 8/4/2010 4:01 PM  
**Subject:** Fwd: Deep Water project  
**CC:** bcat316@cox.net

---

Luly,  
For Commission record.  
Thanks, Mary

>>> "Bart Catanzaro" <bcat316@cox.net> 8/4/2010 3:45 PM >>>

I am opposed to the deep water wind windmill project. This is not the time to increase our utility bills Please vote this project down!!

## Luly Massaro - Fwd: Deep Water wind

---

**From:** Mary Russell  
**To:** Luly Massaro  
**Date:** 8/4/2010 3:53 PM  
**Subject:** Fwd: Deep Water wind  
**CC:** bill@aquidneckcustom.com

---

Luly,  
For Commission record.  
Thanks, Mary

>>> "bill" <bill@aquidneckcustom.com> 8/4/2010 3:38 PM >>>

Dear Ms. Russell:

As an owner of a small business in RI I would like to voice a quick opinion on Deep Water wind. First-yes I would like to lessen our dependence on fossil fuels. But second-I do not want to lessen our dependency on fossil fuels at a unsupportable cost, or I could also say at a detriment to our current economy.

I can assure you that my business is at the brink of failure, and my employees are also feeling the crunch of a stressed economy. Further increased utility cost might just be the straw that breaks the camel's back.

Deep water wind is not the answer to our current problems. It is ill conceived and being shoved down our throats. The only people served well by this proposed wind farm are the contractors who build it-the crew who must maintain it(at a great cost)-the politicos who make back room deals to pass it, and of course the lawyers who will litigate about it.

Please push for a NO vote --- to stop a very ill conceived and expensive project.

Respectfully-

William A Koffler  
Aquidneck Custom Composites Inc.  
69 Ballou Blvd. Bristol, RI 02809  
Phone- 401-254-6911  
<http://www.aquidneckcustom.com>  
[bill@aquidneckcustom.com](mailto:bill@aquidneckcustom.com)



## Luly Massaro - Fwd: NO !!!

---

**From:** Mary Russell  
**To:** Luly Massaro  
**Date:** 8/4/2010 3:51 PM  
**Subject:** Fwd: NO !!!  
**CC:** carolynn.goodwin@gmail.com

---

Luly,  
For Commission record.  
Thanks, Mary

>>> Carolynn Goodwin <carolynn.goodwin@gmail.com> 8/4/2010 3:24 PM >>>  
I am against the deepwater wind project, seems like just another way to get over on Rhode Islanders !!!! NO  
TO DEEPWATER WIND PROJECT !!!!!

Thank You.

## Luly Massaro - Fwd: Offshore wind....

---

**From:** Mary Russell  
**To:** Luly Massaro  
**Date:** 8/4/2010 3:50 PM  
**Subject:** Fwd: Offshore wind....  
**CC:** fachon@tiac.net

---

Luly,  
For Commission record.  
Thanks, Mary

>>> Dean <fachon@tiac.net> 8/4/2010 3:26 PM >>>

Mary,

The legislature and the Governor are trying to make your job extra difficult. But while they may change the rules, they seem to have forgotten from whom their authority derives. While an offshore wind farm may sound romantic and progressive, the People who pay the bills in this State do not want the government to raise our expenses one penny more. Not one. Whether it be through a tax hike or a backdoor mandate. Of course the tax dam is busted. That's another war. But if the offshore wind farm is such a great idea, then let it be fully funded by private investment and let the energy produced be sold at competitive rates. Anything else at this time is lunacy. Shear lunacy! This spend spend spending of others' money has got to end somewhere. Unfortunately, you are currently where the buck stops. No puns intended. But despite all the pressure from the "high and mighty," we hope you will do the right thing - again. Thank you for hanging tough the first go around. Our thoughts are with you.

Good luck,

Dean Fachon

East Greenwich, RI

## Luly Massaro - Fwd: Deepwater Wind Windmill Project

---

**From:** Mary Russell  
**To:** Luly Massaro  
**Date:** 8/4/2010 3:23 PM  
**Subject:** Fwd: Deepwater Wind Windmill Project  
**CC:** tomb@cox.net

---

Luly,  
For Commission record.  
Thanks, Mary

>>> "Tom Byers" <tomb@cox.net> 8/4/2010 2:59 PM >>>  
Dear Ms. Russell:

As we are both aware that the upcoming vote on the Deepwater Wind Windmill Project is due soon, I would like to file my objection to this project with you on behalf of both myself and my wife. The subsidizing of substantially higher energy generation costs by the rate payers and consumers in the state of Rhode Island can not move forward. Aside from all of the other tax and fee burdens placed on the residents of this State, if this were to pass it would set a terrible precedent in addition to costing the rate payers millions of dollars that they simply should not nor need not have to pay.

I am a consultant with a business incorporated in this great State of Rhode Island and there is no way that I could change my clients a rate 2X or 3X the market rate and assume them that if they would just average out my higher cost with all of their other vendors they should be comfortable paying just a marginally higher blended rate. I would never be hired in the first place, and neither should Deepwater in this instance.

Please vehemently oppose this project with all of your effort.

I wish you divine guidance in making the correct decision for the rate payers of the State of Rhode Island.

Thank you for taking time to consider our plea.

Sincerely,  
Thomas and Renee Byers  
4 Chatham Court  
Westerly, RI 02891  
401-596-3597

## Luly Massaro - Fwd: question

---

**From:** Mary Russell  
**To:** Luly Massaro  
**Date:** 8/5/2010 1:41 PM  
**Subject:** Fwd: question  
**CC:** paultharrington@msn.com

---

Luly,  
For Commission record.  
Thanks, Mary

>>> "PAUL HARRINGTON" <paultharrington@msn.com> 8/5/2010 1:27 PM >>>  
Mary,

It was a pleasure chatting with you yesterday. As discussed I am not in favor the the wind farm off the coast. I know several people in and around the industry and they have told me that, while noble in theory, solar and wind energy have a severe drawback. So, here is my big question. How long does it take to get a payback on initial investment? How long before regular maintenance is required? I understand in most cases, maintenance is required significantly sooner than the pay back period. In other words, without government subsidies, these things don't pay for themselves.

I am sure studies have been done on turbines located on dry land. The investment required to build and maintain turbines in a marine environment have to be several times higher.

Giving Deepwater what they think they need to produce electricity this way, just because it is the "politically correct green" thing to do, does not mean it is efficient or affordable.

Wouldn't it make as much sense to look into harnessing the tides that come in and out of Narragansett Bay? Five foot rise and fall twice a day seems like it would produce a lot of power.

Paul Harrington  
North Kingstown RI  
401-294-4791