

August 1, 2008

VIA HAND DELIVERY & ELECTRONIC MAIL

Luly E. Massaro, Commission Clerk
Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission
89 Jefferson Boulevard
Warwick, RI 02888


**RE: Docket 3943 – National Grid Request for Change of Gas Distribution Rates
Response to Motion of the New England Gas Workers Alliance for Extension of Time**

Dear Ms. Massaro:

On behalf of National Grid,¹ I have enclosed an original and nine copies of the Company's response to the Motion of the New England Gas Workers Alliance for Extension of Time in connection with the above-referenced proceeding.

Thank you for your attention to this transmittal. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (401) 784-7667.

Very truly yours,



Thomas R. Teehan

Enclosures

cc: Docket 3943 Service List

2008 AUG -4 PM 9:43
100114118

¹ The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National Grid ("Company").

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a copy of the cover letter and/or any materials accompanying this certificate were electronically submitted, hand delivered and mailed to the individuals listed below.

 /S/
Linda Samuelian

August 1, 2008
Date

**National Grid (NGrid) – Request for Change in Gas Distribution Rates
Docket No. 3943 - Service List as of 7/7/08**

Name/Address	E-mail Distribution	Phone/FAX
Thomas R. Teehan, Esq. National Grid 280 Melrose St. Providence, RI 02907	Thomas.teehan@us.ngrid.com	401-784-7667
	Peter.Czekanski@us.ngrid.com	401-784-4321
	Joanne.scanlon@us.ngrid.com	
Cheryl M. Kimball, Esq. (for NGrid) Keegan Werlin LLP 265 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110	ckimball@keeganwerlin.com	617-951-1400
	lindas@keeganwerlin.com	617-951-1354
Paul Roberti, Esq. (Division) Dept. of Attorney General 150 South Main St. Providence, RI 02903	Proberti@riag.ri.gov	401-222-2424
	Steve.scialabba@ripuc.state.ri.us	401-222-3016
	David.stearns@ripuc.state.ri.us	
Leo Wold, Special Assistant AG Dept. of Attorney General 150 South Main St. Providence, RI 02903	Lwold@riag.ri.gov	401-222-2424
	Mtobin@riag.ri.gov	401-222-3016
	Kzelano@riag.ri.gov	
Jerry Elmer, Esq. Conservation Law Foundation 55 Dorrance Street Providence, RI 02903	Jelmer@clf.org	401-351-1102 401-351-1130
James M. Grasso SilentSherpa Consulting Services, Inc. 35C Meadow Tree Farm Rd. Saunderstown, RI 02874	jgrasso@silentsherpa.com	401-284-4534
John R. Grasso, Esq. (for SilentSherpa) Law Office of John R. Grasso, Inc.	jrg@johngrassolaw.com	401-272-4001 888-525-2096
John Farley, Executive Director The Energy Council of RI One Richmond Square Suite 340D Providence, RI 02906	jfarley316@hotmail.com	401-621-2240 401-621-2260
Michael McElroy, Esq. (for TEC-RI) Schacht & McElroy PO Box 6721 Providence, RI 02940-6721	McElroyMik@aol.com	401-351-4100 401-421-5696

Jean Rosiello, Esq. (for Wiley Ctr.) MacFadyen Gescheidt & O'Brien 101 Dyer St. Providence, RI 02903	jeanrosiello@cox.net	401-751-5090 401-751-5096
Jeremy C. McDiarmid, Esq. Environment Northeast 6 Beacon St., Suite 415 Boston, MA 02108	jmcdiarmid@env-ne.org	617-742-0054
Glenn R. Friedemann, Esq. Rhode Island Hospital The Coro Building, Suite 2B 167 Point St. Providence, RI 02903	gfriedemann@lifespan.org	401-444-3103 401-444-3302
Andrew O. Kaplan, Esq. (for RIH) Rubin and Rudman LLP 50 Rowes Wharf Boston, MA 02110	akaplan@rubinrudman.com	617-330-7115 617-330-7550
	RClifford@rubinrudman.com	
John R. McDermott, Esq. (for OER) The Law Office of John R. McDermott 27 East Street, Unit 2 Providence, RI 02906	JRMcDermott.law@gmail.com	401-269-1198 401-294-4483
Andrew C. Dzykewicz RI Office of Energy Resources One Capitol Hill Providence, RI 02908-5850	adzykewicz@energy.ri.gov	401-574-9123
David Effron Berkshire Consulting 12 Pond Path North Hampton, NH 03862-2243	Djeffron@aol.com	603-964-6526
Bruce Oliver Revalo Hill Associates 7103 Laketree Drive Fairfax Station, VA 22039	Boliver.rha@verizon.net	703-569-6480
Jim Rothschild 115 Scarlet Oak Drive Wilton, CT 06897	jimrothschild@rothschildfinancial.com	203-762-0685
Aaron Rothschild 15 Lake Road Ridgefield, CT 06877	alr2103@gmail.com	203-241-7824
Richard M. Peirce Roberts, Carroll, Feldstein Peirce, Inc. Ten Weybossett Street Providence, RI 02903	rpeirce@rcfp.com	401-521-7000
Jeffrey H. Gladstone, Esq. Robert K. Taylor, Esq. Partridge Snow & Hahn LLP 180 South Main Street Providence, RI 02903	jhg@psh.com	401-861-8242 401-861-8200
	rkt@psh.com	

File original & nine (9) copies w/: Luly E. Massaro, Commission Clerk Public Utilities Commission 89 Jefferson Blvd. Warwick, RI 02889	<u>Lmassaro@puc.state.ri.us</u>	401-780-2107
	<u>Plucarelli@puc.state.ri.us</u>	401-941-1691
	<u>Tmassaro@puc.state.ri.us</u>	
	<u>Anault@puc.state.ri.us</u>	

STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS

RHODE ISLAND PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

National Grid)

Application to Change Rate Schedules)

Docket 3943

**RESPONSE OF NATIONAL GRID
TO MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**

This response is submitted by The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National Grid (“National Grid” or the “Company”) in accordance with the Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission (the “Commission”) Rule 1.15(d) regarding the Motion of the New England Gas Workers Alliance (“NEGWA”) for an Extension of Time to File Testimony in the above-captioned docket. In its Motion for Extension of Time, NEGWA requests that the Commission allow its testimony to be due ten (10) business days following receipt of National Grid’s “complete” responses to the outstanding data requests. For the reasons set forth below National Grid respectfully requests that the Commission set a deadline of Friday, August 8, 2008 for the filing of direct testimony or comments by NEGWA.

National Grid filed its petition for a base-rate increase on April 1, 2008. On April 28, 2008, the Commission conducted a procedural conference in this proceeding. The deadline for petitions to intervene was set for May 27, 2008, and on June 19, 2008, the Commission allowed the intervention of NEGWA. The deadline for intervenor testimony was set for July 25, 2008. In terms of discovery, the procedural schedule established by

the Commission did not place any restrictions on the discovery process, thereby ensuring the opportunity for all parties to issue discovery at their own pace with the Company's responses due 21 days following the date of issue under Commission Rule 1.18(c)(2). In particular, the Commission's procedural schedule did not require intervenors to submit discovery by a deadline that would require a Company response prior to the July 25, 2008 deadline for intervenor testimony. Therefore, as an initial matter, NEGWA's request that its testimony be delayed until the "completion" of all Company responses to discovery is patently out of line with the procedural schedule issued by the Commission, which *anticipated* that discovery could be outstanding upon the deadline for intervenor testimony.¹ Accordingly, if there was information that NEGWA required for its testimony in this proceeding, it had an obligation to issue questions on a timely basis to ensure that responses were obtained from the Company prior to the July 25, 2008 deadline for the filing of intervenor testimony.

In fact, although it is a matter fully within NEGWA's case-management discretion, NEGWA has not issued any discovery during the proceeding. NEGWA should not be allowed at this late date to significantly delay the efficient conduct of the proceeding as it awaits responses to data requests issued by other parties to the proceeding, especially those requests issued on a date that did not require a Company response by July 25, 2008. The Company respectfully submits that, if there was information necessary to NEGWA in formulating its testimony in this proceeding, it should have made a timely request for that information from the Company.

¹ For example, the Division issued its 12th set of data requests on July 11, 2008, so that the Company's responses are due on Friday, August 1, 2008. This did not prevent the Division from meeting its deadline for filing, although the Company and the Division agreed to a one-day extension.

Moreover, the factors cited by NEGWA for its intervention related specifically to the Company's proposed Accelerated Replacement Program (NEGWA Petition to Intervene at 2) and an alleged potential for degradation in service quality and system safety and reliability arising from the proposed changes in distribution rates on customer service (id.). With the exception of the Company's responses to Data Request TEC-RI-1-60 and Data Requests RIH-NS-4 and RIH-NS-5, none of the discovery responses that are currently outstanding, and were due before the July 25, 2008 deadline for intervenor testimony, *in any way* relate to the Company's proposed ARP or safety and reliability issues. The Company is filing the three relevant outstanding responses on this date, August 1, 2008. Therefore, the Company believes that an extension of time for the filing of NEGWA's testimony to August 8, 2008 is more than sufficient time for NEGWA to review those responses and adapt its testimony as necessary.

Lastly, the Commission issued its first set of data requests on July 17, 2008, with Company responses due on August 7, 2008. If NEGWA were allowed to submit its testimony 10 business days from that date as it requests (i.e., August 21, 2008), there would not be enough time for the Company to prepare an adequate response prior to the commencement of evidentiary hearings. In addition, without knowledge of the content and breadth of NEGWA's testimony, the Company cannot rule out the need to ask discovery or to obtain responses from NEGWA prior to the preparation of any rebuttal testimony. Nor should the Company have to respond to NEGWA's testimony "out of time" in relation to the preparation of its rebuttal to other intervenor testimonies, while the Company is also preparing for the commencement of evidentiary hearings on September 8, 2008. As a result, allowing the substantial extension of time to NEGWA

would unfairly impinge upon the Company's procedural rights and the Company's ability to prepare for evidentiary hearings. In that regard, NEGWA has not presented a basis for its delay that would outweigh the Company's right to a fair and efficient process.


The Company has filed a Motion for Extension of Time and Deadline for Discovery with the Commission on this date, August 1, 2008. In that Motion, the Company has requested that the Commission extend the deadline for filing of the Company's rebuttal testimony from August 8, 2008 to Friday August 15, 2008. If NEGWA were required to file its testimony no later than August 8, 2008, the Company would make every attempt to respond to NEGWA's testimony on August 15, 2008, which would realign the procedural schedule and provide the opportunity for all parties to the proceeding to submit surrebuttal on the same date (proposed in the Company's Motion as August 29, 2008). The Company believes that this proposed schedule is reasonable and in accordance with the efficient conduct of this proceeding.

WHEREFORE, the Company respectfully requests that the Commission decline NEGWA's request for a delay in its filing date and set a date of August 8, 2008 for the filing of NEGWA's testimony.

Respectfully submitted,

NATIONAL GRID

By its attorneys,



Thomas R. Teehan, Esq.
National Grid
280 Melrose Street
Providence, RI 02907
(401) 784-7667



Cheryl M. Kimball, Esq. (RI #6458)
Keegan Werlin LLP
265 Franklin Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02110
(617) 951-1400

Dated: August 1, 2008