

October 29, 2007

Luly E. Massaro, Clerk
Public Utilities Commission
89 Jefferson Blvd.
Warwick, RI 02888

RE: DOCKET NOS. 3876
RULE MAKING PROCEDURE

Dear Luly:

Please consider this the official comment of the Pascoag Utility District on the proposed Rule Making Procedures for termination for service. I trust you will file this appropriately.

Pascoag wishes to comment on two sections of the proposal, specifically, Part V, Section 4(A), and Part VI Section 5. With respect to the first item, Maximum Terms; Pascoag Utility is in favor of the section as originally proposed, i.e. allowing a utility to impose a less stringent requirement. Because Pascoag is a small community, many of our ratepayers are known by our staff, enabling us in some cases to use our discretion in formulating a payment plan that more specifically addresses the particular situation of the ratepayer, thus, we do not support striking this provision from the rules.

The second item Pascoag Utility wishes to comment on is found in Part VI , Section 5 Hearing Procedure. Pascoag does not object to the inclusion of non-attorneys as representatives at rate hearings, so long as that representation will not constitute unauthorized practice of law. Otherwise, the Pascoag Utility District supports the rule as originally drafted.

There are no other comments that the Pascoag Utility District wishes to make at this time.

Very truly yours,
Pascoag Utility District
By its General Counsel



William L. Bernstein

WLB:ph