



Laura S. Olton  
General Counsel

March 15, 2005

**VIA HAND DELIVERY & ELECTRONIC MAIL**

Luly E. Massaro, Commission Clerk  
Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission  
89 Jefferson Boulevard  
Warwick, RI 02888

**RE: Docket No. 3635 - Rhode Island DSM Collaborative Report of Meeting Minutes  
February 16, 2005**

Dear Ms. Massaro:

Enclosed on behalf of the Rhode Island DSM Collaborative are 10 copies of a report of meeting minutes from the Collaborative's February 16, 2005 meeting. Although this report is not required by the Settlement approved by the Commission in Docket No. 3635, the Collaborative is forwarding a copy of this report as a means to keep the Commission informed of its ongoing actions during the course of the year. Please circulate a copy of this report to the Commissioners as well as other interested staff members. Please place a copy of this report in the official file for this docket.

Thank you for your attention to our report. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please feel free to contact me at (401) 784-7667.

Very truly yours,

Laura S. Olton

Enclosures

cc: Docket 3635 Service List  
RI Collaborative Members (w/attachments)

**RHODE ISLAND DSM COLLABORATIVE**  
**FEBRUARY 16, 2005**

**MEETING MINUTES**

---

**Attendance**

Non-Utility Parties	For Narragansett Electric
Bill Lueker, RIAG for the Division Erich Stephens, PPL Jon Farley, TEC-RI Dave Stearns, Division Al Contente, Division Tim Woolf, for the Division Janice McClanaghan, RI SEO	Carol White Michael McAteer Laura McNaughton Jeremy Newberger

Absent: Doug Hartley, RI PUC, Laura Olton, Fred Mason

---

The first meeting in 2005 of the Rhode Island DSM Collaborative began at 9:10 am at the offices of the Narragansett Electric Company in Providence, RI.

**1. Introduction**

Jeremy noted that Bill Martin has left Johnson and Wales and is no longer representing TEC-RI on the Collaborative. John Farley attended the meeting and is looking for a permanent replacement.

An updated Collaborative contact list is attached [Att. 1]. Edits were made at the meeting.

There are no representatives of the small business sector. Collaborative members should consider nominating a single representative entity.

**2. Settlement Review**

Jeremy presented an overview of the 2005 Settlement [Att.2]. The following points were made.

- Slide 5: Goals are adjusted for performance at true-up, among other adjustments.
- Slide 7: Other than true-up or year end evaluation adjustment, there is no adjustment of incentive for fund transfer
- Slide 9: Customer satisfaction survey to establish customer awareness will be in September or October.

- |                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Slide 9: ACTION ITEM: Laura M. will inform Collaborative when turn in event (one event will cover all target appliances) is scheduled.</li></ul> |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

**3. Preliminary year end results**

- a. Review of achievements [Att. 3]

The Company made its goals in the C&I sector in Rhode Island. It is interesting to note more activity in D2plus than EI. Usually this is reversed, and 2004 may indicate a reversal of the business cycle or just the working off of application backlog.

John Farley noted that kW% of goals is less than kWh%. The Company is taking steps with increasing demand savings through demand response audits and TA training. Other factors contributing to this phenomenon are that peak kW are now counted only as those that occur in the summer, the time lag with rolling evaluation results into goals, and perhaps measure mix.

Looking forward, SBS custom is working well. RISE is doing a good job identifying custom opportunities. There are some concerns about reduced number of Large C&I applications in pipeline and pent up demand created in late 2004 SBS as the contractor selection process took place.

<b>ACTION ITEMS:</b>
----------------------

- |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Confirm that 2003 reported peak kW are mix of summer and winter. <i>This is confirmed by inspection of the 2003 Year End Report.</i></li><li>• Send out November hearing transcript to Collaborative. <i>This was done via e-mail on March 2.</i></li><li>• Check if Commission requested follow up on DR audits. <i>The transcript indicates that we agreed we could look into the issue of combining or separating energy efficiency with demand response audits for 2006.</i></li></ul> |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Residential sector made its goals due to the phenomenal performance of ENERGY STAR lighting and products. The success of products enabled program managers to channel budget from advertising to rebates.

Looking forward, ENERGY STAR A/C program will be affected by increase in Federal SEER limit in 2006, which may lead to a change in the program. EnergyWise is being targeted to former HEM customers. The Company will keep the Collaborative apprised of progress.

<b>ACTION ITEMS:</b>
----------------------

- |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Laura M. to check about negotiated cooperative promotions for appliances in 2005. <i>Laura responded to Tim Woolf on February 17. Language in the Settlement, Attachment 1, page 8, indicated the growing importance of Negotiated Cooperative Promotions (NCPs) with local retailers and manufacturers. This was written at a time when we were discussing NCP for appliances at regional NEEP meetings, and we wanted to make sure we had some language in the filing in case folks wanted to go forward regionally. As it turns out, energy efficiency providers opted to continue consumer rebates in large part due to feedback from retailers and manufacturers. Last year's national campaign lead by EPA, (Change for the Better With ENERGY STAR) was not successful from the trade allies' perspective, so there was little interest from them in anything other than</i></li></ul> |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

*consumer rebates. We do consider the appliance/torchiere turn-in event to be an NCP and we are still in the process of negotiating with RI stores and should determine in the next few weeks where and when we will hold that event.*

- Check about how we do baselines and participation for ES products in RI.

b. Results for the five 2004 metric were reviewed

- Clothes washers – 7.8% greater than national average through 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter. If this holds, the metric will be achieved. Year end results will be available in April.
- ENERGY STAR Homes—30% of permitted units signed builders’ agreements in 2004. Permitted units include Block Island and Pascoag. Multi-family units receive multiple permits and count as multiple agreements. Both of these counting practices are consistent with what we have done in the past (2003 benchmark data that we used to set the metric for 2004) and would continue to count for the future. 30% far exceeds the metric target of 18% and is the best performance observed in the whole region. Laura M. attributes the performance to vendor staff increasing outreach to builders. As these buildings are built, it will have an impact on ES Homes implementation budget. Per the Settlement, the threshold for 2005 performance would be 30%, equal to 2004 performance, and the target would be 33%.
- BOC—29 facility operators attended the Level 1 class in Providence, exceeding the target of 25 and achieving the metric. A BOC impact evaluation is underway and the Collaborative will be informed about its findings
- High Performance Schools—Only signed one, falling short of the target of three. Cumberland did not sign by year end, but is close to doing so. Per the Settlement, the 2005 threshold will be 1, and the target will be three.
- Comprehensiveness—some uncertainty about performance. The Company will review numbers and send them out to the Collaborative for review.

On March 3, Jeremy sent out the following regarding Comprehensiveness:

“In the Updated Attachment 7 filed as part of the 2004 True-Up, we defined comprehensiveness for 2004 as the number of completed non prescriptive lighting or other custom energy efficiency measures (excluding custom walk-in cooler measures) divided by the total number of participant locations (excluding walk-in cooler only participants) in 2004. For 2004, we completed 30 custom projects and had 609 total participants, and 32 cooler only participants. We thus achieved a comprehensiveness measure of  $30/(609-32) = 5.2\%$ , exceeding the goal of 5% and achieving the metric.

“Per the 2005 Settlement, we agreed to use 2004 performance as the threshold. However, with our new tracking system, in 2005 we are changing the way we count participation. In 2003 (source of the benchmark data we used to set the 2004 metric) and 2004, we counted participation as unique participant location ID's by month. To use an extreme example to illustrate the impact, if a customer submitted an application every month, we counted them as 12 participants. If they submitted two applications every month, we counted them as 12 participants.

For 2005, we plan to count participants as the number of participating electric accounts. We will also count applications. In the example above, we would count one participant and 24 applications. In this framework, we propose to define comprehensiveness in 2005 as the number of custom applications divided by the number of non-cooler SBS applications. This is a change from the definition in the Settlement, which used the same definition as 2004. The new definition has the advantage of having the numerator and denominator being consistent with each other, and thus being simpler to comprehend.

“However, to set the proper threshold for 2005 with the new counting procedure, we would need to recalculate 2004 performance. The 609 participants and 32 cooler participants equal 632 and 37 applications, respectively. The number of custom applications is the same, 30, as before. Therefore, our comprehensiveness threshold would be  $30/(632-37) = 5.0\%$ , and our target would be  $7.0\%$ .”

**ACTION ITEMS:**

- Laura M. to check if an 8 unit building gets 8 permits and whether permits include Block Island and Pascoag. *This has been confirmed and is reflected in the discussion above.*
- Laura M. will also get information on types of builders, geographic breakout, and low-income participation. *A chart showing information on low-income participation is shown below. Other demographic information is forthcoming.*

**ENERGY STAR HOMES DEMOGRAPHIC INFO – RHODE ISLAND**

	2003 Actual	2004 Actual	2005 Estimated
Percentage Low Income	71%	19%	28%
Percentage Single Family (1-4)	31%	26%	42%
Percentage MultiFamily	69%	74%	58%
Percentage Gas Heat	90%	95%	90%

- Following confirmation of ENERGY STAR Homes and SBS Comprehensiveness numbers, Jeremy will send out metric proposal by 2/25. *This was sent out on March 3.*
- Collaborative members will feedback and any revisions will be made in time. Draft Revised Attachment 7 will be sent out for comments. Filing date is no later than March 31.

**4. Program updates**

**a. C&I**

Michael McAteer reviewed the agreed upon interface with SEO on 100-200kW and the SEO's ESCO program.

On Economic Development, the Company is working with EDC on new Gtech building near Providence Place, and also on Brown & Sharpe. The approach thus far is to maintain open communication between the Company and the EDC, to promote the established value of DSM for both new business and distressed companies. EDC will contact the Company with leads and the Company will check in periodically with them.

The experience with EDC has been positive, although it may not be yielding as many leads to assist distressed companies as had been hoped. However, this experience will help the Collaborative figure out how to craft future program goals and potential metrics.

Michael displayed the Advanced Building Design Guidelines material and “how to.” The Company is currently getting implementation staff up to speed with the Initiative. After this there will be training sessions in May in Boston, and in RI at a date to be determined.

b. Residential

Laura M. reviewed program initiatives from the Settlement.

**5. Evaluation Plan**

Jeremy reviewed the proposed evaluation plan (Att. 5). Carol reviewed criteria for setting priorities.

The Company plans to perform High priority studies and probably the Compressed Air study from among the medium priorities. There may be a need to reallocate money to evaluation at True up to cover the cost of the Compressed Air study. Another medium priority study is the Energy Wise single family evaluation, a RI-only study. If it is not done in 2005, it will be done in 2006.

*ACTION ITEM: Indicate joint status in revised version of Evaluation Plan. This is shown in the attached version of Attachment 5. Note that since the meeting, the C&I Lighting Persistence Study was awarded at a much lower cost than expected. This allows the Compressed Air and Chiller Impact Evaluations to be moved to high priority. The EnergyWise single family evaluation will be considered following the True-Up.*

**6. Schedule**

The Collaborative noted its interest in scheduling a Technical Session with New Commissioner, when that person has been appointed.

The Collaborative established the following dates for meetings in 2005:

Thursday, April 21, 9 am. Will feature Year End Report

Monday, May 23, 9 am. Will feature True Up filing

Thursday, July 7, 9 am. Will kick off 2006 planning

Tuesday, August 2, 9 am

ACTION ITEM: John Farley would like the agenda of the next meeting to include a discussion of market transformation, and how market transformation achievements are measured.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 12:05 p.m.  
*Minutes prepared by Jeremy Newberger*

## RHODE ISLAND DSM COLLABORATIVE CONTACT LIST – 2005

NAME	ORGANIZATION	ADDRESS	PHONE	E-MAIL
Arline Bolvin	Coalition for Consumer Justice	50 Browne Street PO Box 8015 Cranston, RI 02920	(401) 944-9199	arlineb1@cox.net
John Farley	TEC-RI		(401) 621-2240	jfarley316@hotmail.com
Al Contente	RI Division of Public Utilities and Carriers	89 Jefferson Blvd. Warwick RI, 02888	(401) 941-4500 x121	al.contente@ripuc.state.ri.us
Doug Hartley	RI PUC	89 Jefferson Blvd. Warwick RI, 02888	(401) 941-4500 x157	dhartley@gwia.ripuc.org
Bill Lueker	RI Attorney General (for Division)	150 South Main Street, Providence, RI 02903	(401) 274-4400 x2299	wlueker@riag.state.ri.us
Janice McClanaghan	Dept. of Administration - Energy Office	One Capitol Hill Providence, RI 02908	(401) 222-3370	JaniceM@gw.doa.state.ri.us
David Stearns	RI Division of Public Utilities and Carriers	89 Jefferson Blvd. Warwick RI, 02888	(401) 941-4500 x114	david.stearns@ripuc.state.ri.us
Erich Stephens	People's Power and Light	17 Gordon Ave. #201a Providence RI 02905	(401) 861-6111	erich@ripower.org
Timothy Woolf	Synapse Energy Economics (for Division)	22 Pearl Street Cambridge, MA 02139	(617) 661-3248	twoolf@synapse-energy.com
Fred Mason	NECo	280 Melrose Street, Providence, RI, 02901	(401) 784-7510	fred.mason@us.ngrid.com
Michael McAteer	NG Service Co (for NECo)	55 Bearfoot Road, Northborough, MA 01582	(508) 421-7225	michael.mcateer@us.ngrid.com
Laura McNaughton	NG Service Co (for NECo)	55 Bearfoot Road, Northborough, MA 01582	(508) 421-7215	laura.mcnaughton@us.ngrid.com
Jeremy Newberger	NG Service Co (for NECo)	55 Bearfoot Road, Northborough, MA 01582	(508) 421-7216	jeremy.newberger@us.ngrid.com
Laura Olton	NECo	280 Melrose Street, Providence, RI, 02901	(401) 784-7667	laura.olton@us.ngrid.com
Carol White	NG Service Co (for NECo)	55 Bearfoot Road, Northborough, MA 01582	(508) 421-7460	carol.white@us.ngrid.com



# 2005 Settlement

---

*RI Collaborative Meeting*

*February 16, 2005*

Narragansett Electric

A **National Grid** Company



# Overview

---

- ◆ **The Collaborative Process**
- ◆ **Collaborative Responsibilities for 2005**
- ◆ **Goals Adjustments**
- ◆ **Budget Review**
- ◆ **Transfer of Funds**
- ◆ **Reporting Requirements**
- ◆ **Other Issues for 2005**



# The Collaborative Process

---

- ◆ **Collaborative Members = Parties in Docket 3635**
  - ◆ **Division; TEC-RI; CCJ; PP&L; SEO; NECo**
- ◆ **The goal of the Collaborative will be to reach consensus on programs, budget, and goals**
- ◆ **If agreement cannot be reached, the Company may unilaterally file its 2006 DSM programs by October 1, 2005**



# Collaborative Responsibilities for 2005 from Settlement

---

- ◆ **Meet no less than 6 times in 2005**
- ◆ **Review status and performance of the 2005 programs (see budget review)**
- ◆ **Review Company's proposed 2006 DSM programs and performance metrics**
- ◆ **Review the status of program budgets regularly to assess whether the programs are likely to come to a successful completion**



# 2005 Goals Adjustments

---

- ◆ **Two times per year**
  - ◆ **In year adjustment, as part of 2005 True-Up filing**
  - ◆ **After year end 2005, as part of 2005 Year-End Report to be filed in May 2006**
- ◆ **When and how completed evaluation results are factored in**
  - ◆ **What is cut-off date for inclusion of evaluation studies**



# Budget Review

---

- ◆ **The Company will attempt to spend or commit all funds available for DSM in a given year**
- ◆ **The Company will true-up actual 2004 spending by no later than May 2005 for purposes of reallocating and adjusting the 2005 budget**
- ◆ **The Collaborative will review proposed budgetary transfers, either at true-up or other times of year**



## Transfer of Funds (Section IV. B)

---

- ◆ **Fund Transfers of 20% or less of sector budget require Division approval**
- ◆ **Fund Transfers of > 20% require Commission approval**
- ◆ **Company is prohibited from adjusting incentive targets for fund transfers**



# Filing/Reporting Requirements

- ◆ **March 31, 2005: File final metrics**
- ◆ **May 1, 2005: File 2004 year-end report to Parties and Commission**
- ◆ **May 31, 2005: File True-Up filing**
- ◆ **Early September 2005: Provide evaluation results to the Collaborative**
- ◆ **October 1, 2005: File 2006 Plan**
- ◆ **Quarterly reports to Division and Commission on program performance**





## Other Issues for 2005

---

- ◆ **Customer awareness**
- ◆ **Economic development initiative**
- ◆ **Monitor metrics and performance**
- ◆ **Work with the SEO to offer AMP services to LI customer addresses where shut-offs have occurred.**
- ◆ **A/C, dehumidifier, halogen turn-in events**
- ◆ **Continue to work with greenhouse gas process**



**NARRAGANSETT ELECTRIC COMPANY****Table 1. Summary of 2004 Target and Preliminary YTD Results**

Preliminary Year to Date through December 2004

	Demand Reduction (Annual kW)			Energy Savings (Annual MWh)			Customer Participation (Completed Projects)			Implementation Expenses		
	Approved Target	YTD	Pct Achieved	Approved Target (6)	YTD	Pct Achieved	Approved Target	YTD	Pct Achieved	Approved Target (1)	YTD	Pct Achieved
<b>Large Commercial and Industrial</b>												
Design 2000 <i>plus</i> (2)(3)	2,424	2,193	90.5%	10,451	11,272	107.9%	293	253	86.3%	\$3,333.8	\$3,019.8	90.6%
Energy Initiative (2)(3)	3,583	2,936	81.9%	16,766	16,375	97.7%	325	216	66.5%	4,105.4	3,563.8	86.8%
Load Response							n/a			25.6	32.3	126.2%
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>6,007</b>	<b>5,129</b>	<b>85.4%</b>	<b>27,217</b>	<b>27,647</b>	<b>101.6%</b>	<b>618</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>75.9%</b>	<b>\$7,464.8</b>	<b>\$6,615.9</b>	<b>88.6%</b>
<b>Small Commercial and Industrial</b>												
Small Business Services (4)	1,279	1,253	98.0%	4,598	4,768	103.7%	622	609	97.9%	2,265.9	\$2,365.1	104.4%
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>1,279</b>	<b>1,253</b>	<b>98.0%</b>	<b>4,598</b>	<b>4,768</b>	<b>103.7%</b>	<b>622</b>	<b>609</b>	<b>97.9%</b>	<b>\$2,265.9</b>	<b>\$2,365.1</b>	<b>104.4%</b>
<b>Residential</b>												
<b>IN-HOME</b>	<b>1,655</b>	<b>1,801</b>	<b>108.8%</b>	<b>3,846</b>	<b>4,880</b>	<b>126.9%</b>	<b>9,030</b>	<b>9,111</b>	<b>100.9%</b>	<b>\$3,096.6</b>	<b>\$2,970.0</b>	<b>95.9%</b>
Appliance Management Program (Low Income)	94	173	184.0%	898	1,403	156.2%	530	586	110.6%	950.3	962.3	101.3%
Energy Wise	247	370	149.8%	2,948	3,477	117.9%	4,100	4,504	109.9%	1,993.8	1,896.3	95.1%
Home Energy Management (5)	1,314	1,258	95.7%	0	0	n/a	4,400	4,021	91.4%	152.5	111.4	73.0%
<b>PRODUCTS</b>	<b>526</b>	<b>1,235</b>	<b>234.9%</b>	<b>8,413</b>	<b>14,347</b>	<b>170.5%</b>	<b>49,500</b>	<b>81,601</b>	<b>164.9%</b>	<b>\$1,966.8</b>	<b>\$1,978.2</b>	<b>100.6%</b>
Energy Star Products	159	562	352.8%	507	1,332	262.7%	2,800	8,875	317.0%	442.1	624.3	141.2%
Energy Star Lighting	314	519	165.1%	7,864	12,925	164.4%	46,000	71,980	156.5%	1,155.9	1,014.9	87.8%
Energy Star Heating System	0	0	0.0%	12	13	106.7%	600	630	105.0%	200.2	198.4	99.1%
Energy Star Central Air Conditioning	52	154	295.8%	30	77	257.7%	100	116	116.0%	168.6	140.6	83.4%
<b>INFORMATION AND EDUCATION</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>\$106.8</b>	<b>\$115.7</b>	<b>108.3%</b>
<b>NEW CONSTRUCTION</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>106.3%</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>333</b>	<b>144.8%</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>78.3%</b>	<b>\$690.1</b>	<b>\$778.6</b>	<b>112.8%</b>
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>2,244</b>	<b>3,103</b>	<b>138.3%</b>	<b>12,489</b>	<b>19,560</b>	<b>156.6%</b>	<b>58,880</b>	<b>90,986</b>	<b>154.5%</b>	<b>\$5,860.3</b>	<b>\$5,842.5</b>	<b>99.7%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>9,530</b>	<b>9,485</b>	<b>99.5%</b>	<b>44,303</b>	<b>51,975</b>	<b>117.3%</b>	<b>60,120</b>	<b>92,064</b>	<b>153.1%</b>	<b>\$15,591.0</b>	<b>\$14,823.5</b>	<b>95.1%</b>

## NOTES

(1) Approved Target Budget from "May True-Up Filing," May 28, 2004, Updated Attachment 10 page 1 of 3.

(2) Approved Target Budget for Design 2000*plus* and Energy Initiative exclude commitments. The 2004 commitment budget was estimated to be \$1,962,000 for Design 2000*plus* and \$3,339,500 for Energy Initiative.

(3) Approved Target Budget for Large Commercial and Industrial programs is net of projected technical assistance copays of \$74,800. YTD Implementation expenses are net of copayments, but exact number is not currently available.

(4) Approved Target Budget for the Small Business Services Program are net of all copay amounts to be collected from 2004 participants, projected to be \$587,236. YTD Implementation Expenses are net of copayments, but exact number is not currently available.

(5) Funds expended for maintenance of Home Energy Management savings from system installed prior to 1998. This program was terminated in late 2004, but impacts are claimed since the program contributed demand reduction for most of the year.

**NARRAGANSETT ELECTRIC COMPANY**

## 2004 Performance Metrics

Preliminary Year to Date through December 2004

Metric	Preliminary Status through December 2004
<b>Residential Metric 1: ENERGY STAR Clothes Washers -- UNCERTAIN</b>	
The Company will achieve an ENERGY STAR qualified Clothes Washer market share for 2004 in Rhode Island that is 7 percentage points above the national average.	Data for the first three quarters of 2004 is available and indicates the national market share is 27.27% and the Rhode Island market share is 35.09% making Rhode Island's market share 7.8% above the national average.
<b>Residential Metric 2: ENERGY STAR Homes -- ACHIEVED</b>	
The Company will conduct plans analyses and home ratings and sign ENERGY STAR builders agreements with 15% of the new homes built in Rhode Island in 2004.	Rhode Island had a total of 2493 permits pulled in 2004. The energy Star Homes program signed a total of 756 units this equals 30% of the total permits. (2004 results on page 1 track completed units, which are different than signed contracts)
<b>C&amp; I Metric 1: Building Operator Certification (BOC) Training -- ACHIEVED</b>	
The Company will enroll in 2004 an additional 25 Rhode Island facility building engineers, technicians, contractors, or operators in the NEEP- Level 1- O&M training and certification course.	Level 1 BOC class offered in cooperation with the SEO ran from June 28th through September – 8 sessions -- with 29 facility professionals enrolled
<b>C&amp;I Metric 2: High Performance Schools -- NOT ACHIEVED</b>	
The Company will contract with three new school projects through Design 2000plus to provide full incremental cost for high performance design and construction practices with a special focus on high quality energy efficient lighting.	The Company signed contracts with two schools – Penfield School (Newport – private) and Cumberland.
<b>C&amp;I Metric 3: Comprehensiveness in Small Business Installations -- ACHIEVED</b>	
The Company will achieve 5% comprehensiveness in Small Business Services in 2004.	For 2004, we completed 30 custom projects and had 609 total participants, and 32 cooler only participants. We thus achieved a comprehensiveness measure of $30/(609-32)= 5.2\%$ , exceeding the goal of 5% and achieving the metric.

**Proposed Evaluation Studies - 2005**  
**NECO**

Program	Study	Payroll	Employee Expense	Contractors	Total	Priority	Joint or Company	Budget by Priority	
All	Avoided Cost Update	\$1,600	\$0	\$7,300	\$8,900	H	Joint		
AMP	Impact evaluation	\$2,800	\$100	\$10,300	\$13,200	H	Company		
Demand Response	Process Eval.	\$900	\$100	\$8,000	\$9,000	H	Company		
EI	Prescriptive Lighting impact evaluation	\$5,000	\$100	\$18,700	\$23,800	H	Company		
EI	BOC impact evaluation	\$600	\$0	\$5,000	\$5,600	H	Joint		
EI/D2	Custom Process impact evaluation (initiated in 2004)	\$7,700	\$100	\$28,600	\$36,400	H	Company		
EI/D2	Custom HVAC impact evaluation (initiated in 2004)	\$1,000	\$0	\$3,700	\$4,700	H	Company		
EI/D2	Custom Lighting impact evaluation	\$2,400	\$0	\$8,800	\$11,200	H	Company		
EI/D2	Custom Process impact evaluation	\$4,200	\$100	\$15,400	\$19,700	H	Company		
EI/D2/SBS	Free-ridership and spillover	\$7,100	\$100	\$26,400	\$33,600	H	Joint		
EI/D2/SBS	C&I lighting persistence	\$3,200	\$200	\$11,880	\$15,280	H	Company		
ES Lighting	Completion of logging study and saturation study	\$2,700	\$0	\$2,200	\$4,900	H	Joint		
EI/D2	Prescriptive compressed air	\$3,300	\$100	\$12,100	\$15,500	H	Company		
D2	Chiller Impact Evaluation	\$8,900	\$100	\$15,000	\$24,000	H	TBD		
Res. & C&I	Misc.	\$56,600	\$1,000	\$0	\$57,600	H	Company	<b>\$283,380</b>	High
EnergyWise	Single Family Impact Evaluation	\$9,700	\$200	\$45,000	\$54,900	M	Company	<b>\$54,900</b>	Medium
ES Appliances	Study of A/C turn-in events	\$1,600	\$100	\$15,000	\$16,700	L	Joint	<b>\$16,700</b>	Low
Total Proposed		\$119,300	\$2,300	\$233,380	\$354,980			<b>\$354,980</b>	
Budget		\$119,300	\$2,300	\$178,400	\$300,000				
Budget Less Proposed		\$0	\$0	(\$54,980)	(\$54,980)				

### Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a copy of the cover letter and accompanying material(s) have been hand-delivered or sent via U.S. mail to the parties listed below.



**Joanne M. Scanlon**  
The Narragansett Electric Company

March 15, 2005  
Date

**Narragansett Electric Co. – Demand Side Management – Dkt. 3635**  
**Service list as of 10/05/04**

<b>Name/Address</b>	<b>E-mail Distribution List</b>	<b>Phone/FAX</b>
Laura Olton, Esq. Amy Rabinowitz, Esq. 280 Melrose St. PO Box 1438 Providence RI 02901-1438	<a href="mailto:Laura.olton@us.ngrid.com">Laura.olton@us.ngrid.com</a>	401-784-7667 401-784-4321
	<a href="mailto:Amy.rabinowitz@us.ngrid.com">Amy.rabinowitz@us.ngrid.com</a>	
	<a href="mailto:David.jacobson@us.ngrid.com">David.jacobson@us.ngrid.com</a>	
	<a href="mailto:Joanne.scanlon@us.ngrid.com">Joanne.scanlon@us.ngrid.com</a>	
William Lueker, Esq. Dept. of Attorney General 150 South Main St. Providence RI 02903	<a href="mailto:Wlueker@riag.state.ri.us">Wlueker@riag.state.ri.us</a>	401-222-2424 ext. 2299 401-222-3016
	<a href="mailto:David.stearns@ripuc.state.ri.us">David.stearns@ripuc.state.ri.us</a>	
	<a href="mailto:Al.contente@ripuc.state.ri.us">Al.contente@ripuc.state.ri.us</a>	
Arline Bolvin, Executive Director Coalition for Consumer Justice 50 Brown St. PO Box 8015 Cranston RI 02920	<a href="mailto:arlineb1@cox.net">arlineb1@cox.net</a>	401-944-9199 or 401-270-3118
Janice McClanaghan Dept. of Administration - Energy Office One Capitol Hill Providence RI 02908	<a href="mailto:JaniceM@gw.doa.state.ri.us">JaniceM@gw.doa.state.ri.us</a>	401-222-3370 ext. 109
William Martin Johnson & Wales University 8 Abbott Park Place Providence RI 02903	<a href="mailto:bmartin@jwu.edu">bmartin@jwu.edu</a>	401-598-2971
	<a href="mailto:bgilmore@bryant.edu">bgilmore@bryant.edu</a>	401-232-6425

Erich Stephens People's Power & Light LLC Erich Stephens, Executive Director 17 Gordon Avenue #201A Providence RI 02905	<a href="mailto:erich@ripower.org">erich@ripower.org</a>	401-861-6111 401-861-6115
Tim Woolf, Vice President Synapse Energy Economics 22 Pearl Street Cambridge, MA 02139	<a href="mailto:twoolf@synapse-energy.com">twoolf@synapse-energy.com</a>	617-661-3248 617-661-0599
<b>Original &amp; nine (9) copies file w/:</b> Luly E. Massaro, Commission Clerk Public Utilities Commission 89 Jefferson Blvd. Warwick RI 02889	<a href="mailto:Lmassaro@puc.state.ri.us">Lmassaro@puc.state.ri.us</a>	401-941-4500 401-941-1691
	<a href="mailto:Cwilson@puc.state.ri.us">Cwilson@puc.state.ri.us</a>	
	<a href="mailto:Dhartley@puc.state.ri.us">Dhartley@puc.state.ri.us</a>	
	<a href="mailto:Anault@puc.state.ri.us">Anault@puc.state.ri.us</a>	

cc: DSM Collaborative Members