



March 14, 2007

VIA HAND DELIVERY & ELECTRONIC MAIL

Luly E. Massaro, Commission Clerk Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission 89 Jefferson Boulevard Warwick, RI 02888

> RE: Docket 3628 – National Grid's Service Quality Plan Agreement to Modify Performance Benchmarks

Dear Ms. Massaro:

Enclosed please find ten (10) copies of an Agreement to Modify Performance Benchmarks ("Agreement") between National Grid¹ ("Company") and the Division of Public Utilities and Carriers ("Division"), relating to the Company's existing Service Quality Plan ("current SQ plan"). Specifically, this Agreement proposes the adoption of IEEE Standard 1366-2003 for calculation of service quality ("SQ") performance for reliability, beginning with calendar year 2007.

As the Commission will recall, on December 29, 2004, the Company filed a Settlement Agreement with the Division, which incorporated the current SQ plan ("2004 Settlement Agreement"). The 2004 Settlement Agreement was approved by the Commission by Order No. 18294 (July 12, 2005), effective January 1, 2005. Under the current SQ plan, the Company has two reliability performance measures: System Average Interruption Frequency Index ("SAIFI") and System Average Interruption Duration Index ("SAIDI"). The reliability performance benchmarks are based on the Company's performance from 1995 through 2002, and are fixed for the duration of the current SQ plan. In addition, the historical reliability performance data used to establish the minimum and maximum target levels were calculated using the natural logarithm of the historical SAIFI and SAIDI values. Additionally, under the current SQ plan, a particular interruption event is considered an Extraordinary Event, and does not count towards the reliability performance measures, if it meets certain criteria described in the plan.

Under the terms of the 2004 Settlement Agreement, the parties agreed that the Company would annually report, for information purposes, annual SAIFI and SAIDI values calculated under the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. ("IEEE") Standard 1366-2003, *Guide for Electric Power Distribution Reliability Indices* ("IEEE Std. 1366-2003") methodology, including the segmentation of those days that would qualify as Major Event Days ("MED") under that standard. The parties also agreed that the Company may petition the Commission no sooner than two years after the date of the 2004 Settlement Agreement to modify the Company's SQ plan to reflect the adoption of the applicable IEEE Standard 1366-2003 reliability reporting methodology.

¹ The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National Grid.

Luly E. Massaro, Commission Clerk SQ Plan Agreement to Modify Performance Benchmarks March 14, 2007 Page 2 of 2

The Company would have the burden of proof with respect to any such petition, and the Division would be free to take any position on such petition.

Consistent with the 2004 Settlement Agreement, the Company provided parallel reports to the Commission and the Division, showing results of both methodologies. After two years of experience, the Company has had discussions with the Division, and the Division has agreed with the Company that adoption of the IEEE Standard 1366-2003 methodology, as provided in the Agreement, would be appropriate for measuring reliability performance, on a prospective basis.

As proposed in the Agreement, the new SQ plan would be effective January 1, 2007. This new SQ plan adopts IEEE Standard 1366-2003 for calculation of SQ performance for reliability, including the adoption of the MED concept rather than the existing Extraordinary Event criteria as defined under the current SQ plan. In addition, the Company and the Division have agreed to fix the MED threshold value, and to update the historical benchmark period based on results for the years 1996-2004. Attachment 1 of the Agreement contains the Amended Service Quality Plan, as adopted by the Agreement.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at (401) 784-7667.

Very truly yours,

Laura S. Olton

Laura S. Olton

Enclosures

cc: Docket 3628 Service List Steve Scialabba, Division

State of Rhode Island and Providence Plantations Public Utilities Commission

The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a/ National Grid)))	R.I.P.U.C. Docket No. 3628
	<u>^</u>	

Agreement to Modify Performance Benchmarks

This Agreement is entered into between National Grid¹ ("Company") and the Division of Public Utilities and Carriers ("Division", together the "Parties") to implement a change to the Company's existing Service Quality Plan that was approved by the Commission in Order No. 18294 (July 12, 2005), effective January 1, 2005 ("2004 SQ Plan"). Specifically, this Agreement changes the methodology used to calculate the performance benchmarks for reliability under the Company's 2004 SQ Plan for the period beginning with the 2007 calendar year and extending through and including the 2009 calendar year. The terms of the Agreement are set forth below:

1. Reliability Standards

(a) IEEE Standard 1366-2003

The Company and Division agree that it is appropriate to adopt the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. ("IEEE") Standard 1366-2003, *Guide for Electric Power Distribution Reliability Indices* ("IEEE Std. 1366-2003") for calculation of SQ performance benchmarks for reliability. This includes the application of the Major Event Day ("MED") concept, rather than the existing Extraordinary Event criteria as defined under the 2004 SQ Plan,

.

¹ The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National Grid.

in order to segment reliability performance into two groups: day-to-day and major event day performance, to enable better analysis of the two different operating conditions.

Under the 2004 SQ Plan, a particular interruption event is considered an Extraordinary Event, and does not count towards the reliability performance measures, if it meets one of the following criteria: (1) it was the result of a major weather event which causes more than 10% of a district or the total Company customers to be without service at a given time; (2) it was due to the failure of other companies' supply or transmission to the Company's customers and restoration of service was beyond the reasonable control of the Company and its employees; or (3) it occurred because of an extraordinary circumstance, including, without limitation, a major disaster, earthquake, wild fire, flood, terrorism, or any other event beyond the reasonable control of the Company. Under the current definition of Extraordinary Events, events that meet criteria (1) or (2) of the definition are straightforward and are automatically excluded from the reported results. However, events that qualify for exclusion under criteria (3) are somewhat subjective in nature and often require careful analysis to determine if they qualify for exclusion. Under the IEEE Std, application of the MED concept would make the determination of what events to remove from the day-to-day performance that is used to determine the annual results more objective.

(b) <u>Major Event Day Threshold Value</u>

A MED is a day in which the daily System Average Interruption Duration Index ("SAIDI") exceeds a threshold value in minutes (T_{MED}). The Company and the Division agree to fix the threshold value at a T_{MED} value equal to 5.34 for the years 2007 and 2008, at which time the Company and the Division will review the Company's performance to determine if the

threshold value should be re-calculated using the IEEE Std. 1366-2003 MED methodology for the year 2009.

(c) Historical Performance Benchmark

The Company and the Division agree that it is reasonable to update the historical benchmark period for evaluating System Average Interruption Frequency Index ("SAIFI") and SAIDI. Accordingly, the Parties agree to establish the reliability performance benchmark based on results for the years 1996-2004.

2. Amended Service Quality Plan

Attachment 1 hereto contains the detailed provisions of the Company's "Amended Service Quality Plan." Except as modified by this Agreement, the 2004 SQ Plan remains the same as currently in effect. Attachment 1 contains a full and complete copy of the "Amended Service Quality Plan," as adopted by this Agreement.

3. Other Provisions

- (a) Unless expressly stated herein, the making of this Settlement establishes no principles and shall not be deemed to foreclose any Party from making any contention in any other proceeding or investigation.
- (b) This Agreement is the product of settlement negotiations. The content of those negotiations is privileged and all offers of settlement shall be without prejudice to the position of any Party.
- (c) This Agreement is submitted on the condition that it be approved in full by the Commission, and on the further condition that if the Commission does not approve the

Agreement in its entirety, the Agreement shall be deemed withdrawn and shall not constitute a part of the record in any proceeding or be used for any purpose, unless all Parties agree to Commission modifications.

(d) Any number of counterparts of this Agreement may be executed, and each shall have the same force and effect as an original instrument, and as if all the parties to all the counterparts had signed the same instrument.

Respectfully submitted,

The Narragansett Electric Company, d/b/a National Grid

By its Attorney,

Laura S. Olton

Laura S. Olton

The Division of Public Utilities and Carriers

By its Attorney,

Paul J. Roberti

March 13, 2007

Attachment 1

Amended Service Quality Plan For The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National Grid

Attachment 1
Page 1 of 9

The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National Grid ("Company") shall establish the performance standards for reliability and customer service that are set forth in this document. The standards are designed as a penalty-only approach, under which the Company would be penalized if its performance did not meet the standards. The Company receives no reward for performance which exceeds the standards. However, positive performance in one category can be used to offset penalties in other categories within a given year. The Company shall file annually by May 1 a report of its performance during the prior calendar year under the performance standards in this plan. Any net penalty balance reflected in the Company's annual report shall be credited to customers in a manner determined by the Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission (the "Commission") at that time.

The maximum penalty authorized under the standards set forth below is \$2.2 million per year. The performance standards set forth below shall be in effect for the calendar year 2007 and continue through 2009 or until they are modified by the Commission.

NOTE: When interpreting the performance standards that follow, please note that pages 6 through 8 of this Exhibit contain definitions of terms used in the standards.

FREQUENCY OF INTERRUPTIONS PER CUSTOMER SERVED

Year	SAIFI*
2004	0.91
2003	1.08
2002	0.97
2001	1.09
2000	0.97
1999	0.94
1998	0.89
1997	0.75
1996	0.90

Log Average	-0.063
Log Std. Dev.	0.112

	-2 Std Dev.	-1 Std Dev.	Mean	+1 Std Dev.	+2 Std Dev.
Log	-0.288	-0.175	-0.063	0.050	0.162
Normal					
SAIFI	0.75	0.84	0.94	1.05	1.18

PERFORMANCE STANDARD – SAIFI (System Average Interruption Frequency Index):

SAIFI	
Company	(Penalty)/
<u>Target</u>	Offset
More than 1.18	(\$916,000)
1.06 - 1.18	linear interpolation
0.84 - 1.05	\$0
0.75 - 0.83	linear interpolation
Less than 0.75	\$229,000

^{*} The calculations are based on the IEEE Std. 1366-2003 2.5ß methodology for the Company. Major Event Day results are removed from these calculations, but reported. The target bands are calculated considering the lognormal nature of the data. To do this, the lognormal mean and lognormal standard deviation are calculated and applied in lognormal space, which is done by applying the mean, 1 standard deviation, and 2 standard deviations and then converting back to normal space.

SAIFI = <u>Total Number of Customers Interrupted</u> Total Number of Customers Served

DURATION OF INTERRUPTIONS PER CUSTOMER SERVED

<u>Year</u>	<u>SAIDI*</u>
2004	66.1
2003	74.9
2002	71.0
2001	69.0
2000	60.2
1999	52.3
1998	42.2
1997	40.9
1996	51.9

Log Average	4.051
Log Std. Dev.	0.224

	-2 Std Dev.	-1 Std Dev.	Mean	+1 Std Dev.	+2 Std Dev.
Log	3.604	3.827	4.051	4.275	4.498
Normal					
SAIDI	36.7	45.9	57.5	71.9	89.9

SAIDI	
Company	(Penalty)/
<u>Target</u>	<u>Offset</u>
More than 89.9	(\$916,000)
72.0 - 89.9	linear interpolation
45.9 - 71.9	\$0
36.7 - 45.8	linear interpolation
Less than 36.7	\$229,000

^{*} The calculations are based on the IEEE Std. 1366-2003 2.5ß methodology for the Company. Major Event Day results are removed from these calculations, but reported. The target bands are calculated considering the lognormal nature of the data. To do this, the lognormal mean and lognormal standard deviation are calculated and applied in lognormal space, which is done by applying the mean, 1 standard deviation, and 2 standard deviations and then converting back to normal space.

SAIDI (minutes) = <u>Total Customer Minutes Interrupted</u> Total Number of Customers Served

CUSTOMER CONTACT SURVEY

	%
<u>Year</u>	Satisfied*
2004	77.8%
2003	79.3%
2002	76.0%
2001	77.3%
2000	83.2%
1999	82.1%
1998	77.8%
1997	79.5%
Mean	79.1%
Standard Deviation	2.3%

PERFORMANCE STANDARD – Customer Contact:

% Satisfied	(Penalty)/
Target	<u>Offset</u>
Less than 74.5%	(\$184,000)
74.5% - 76.7%	linear interpolation
76.8% - 81.4%	\$0
81.5% - 83.7%	linear interpolation
More than 83.7%	\$46,000

The percent satisfied represents the responses in the top two categories of customer contact satisfaction under a seven-point scale, where 1=extremely dissatisfied and 7=extremely satisfied.

^{*} The calculations are based on responses from customers of the Company based on surveys performed by an independent third party consultant. A sample of customers who have contacted the call center are surveyed in order to determine their level of satisfaction with their contact. Eight types of transactions are included in the survey, and the overall results are weighted based on the number of these transactions actually performed at the call center during the year.

TELEPHONE CALLS ANSWERED WITHIN 20 SECONDS

	Percent of	
	Calls Answered	
<u>Year</u>	Within 20 Secs*	
2004	94.1%	
2003	93.3%	
2002	84.0%	
2001	50.4%	
2000	76.7%	
1999	76.9%	
1998	80.9%	
1997	76.7%	
1996	70.2%	
Mean	78.1%	
Standard Deviation	12.3%	

PERFORMANCE STANDARD – Telephone Calls Answered within 20 Seconds:

% Calls Answ	
Within 20 Seconds	(Penalty)/
<u>Target</u>	<u>Offset</u>
Less than 53.5%	(\$184,000)
53.5% - 65.7%	linear interpolation
65.8% - 90.4%	\$0
90.5% - 100.0%	linear interpolation

^{*} The percent of calls answered within 20 seconds is calculated by dividing the number of calls answered within 20 seconds by the total number of calls answered during the year. "Calls answered" include calls answered by a customer service representative ("CSR") and calls completed within the Voice Response Unit ("VRU"). The time to answer is measured once the customer makes a selection to either speak with a CSR or use the VRU. VRU calls are included beginning in the year 2000.

Percent of Calls Answered Within 20 Seconds = <u>Total Calls Answered Within 20 Seconds</u> Total Calls Answered

DEFINITIONS OF PERFORMANCE STANDARD MEASUREMENTS

The following reliability definitions used in conjunction with the performance standards are in accordance with the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. ("IEEE") Std. 1366-2003. It is assumed that additional reliability-related definitions found in this standard are also implicit in the reliability calculations.

CUSTOMER COUNT

The number of customers either served or interrupted depending on usage.

TOTAL NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS SERVED

The average number of customers served during the reporting period. If a different customer total is used, it must be clearly defined within the report.

TOTAL NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS INTERRUPTED

The sum of the customers losing electric service for any defined grouping of interruption events during the reporting period.

TOTAL CUSTOMER MINUTES INTERRUPTED

The product of the number of customers interrupted and the interruption duration for any interruption event. Also, the sum of those products for any defined grouping of interruption events.

MAJOR EVENT

Designates an event that exceeds reasonable design and or operational limits of the electric power system. A Major Event includes at least one Major Event Day.

MAJOR EVENT DAY

A day in which the daily system SAIDI exceeds a threshold value, T_{MED} . For the purposes of calculating daily system SAIDI, any interruption that spans multiple calendar days is accrued to the day on which the interruption began. Statistically, days having a daily system SAIDI greater than T_{MED} are days on which the energy delivery system experienced stresses beyond that normally expected (such as severe weather). Activities that occur on major event days should be separately analyzed and reported. The T_{MED} threshold value will be fixed at 5.34 for the years 2007 and 2008, at which time the Company's performance will be reviewed to determine if the threshold value should be re-calculated using the IEEE Std. 1366-2003 methodology.

SAIFI (System Average Interruption Frequency Index)

The system average interruption frequency index indicates how often the average customer experiences a sustained interruption over a predefined period of time. Mathematically, this equation is given in (1).

$$SAIFI = \frac{\sum \text{Total Number of Customers Interrupted}}{\text{Total Number of Customers Served}}$$
(1)

To calculate the index, use equation (2) below.

$$SAIFI = \frac{\sum N_{i}}{N_{T}} = \frac{CI}{N_{T}}$$
 (2)

Where:

i denotes an interruption event

CI = Customers Interrupted

 N_T = Total Number of Customers Served for the Area

SAIDI (System Average Interruption Duration Index)

This index indicates the total duration of interruption for the average customer during a predefined period of time. It is commonly measured in customer minutes or customer hours of interruption. Mathematically, this equation is given in (3).

$$SAIDI = \frac{\sum \text{ Customer Interruption Durations}}{\text{Total Number of Customers Served}}$$
(3)

To calculate the index, use equation (4).

$$SAIDI = \frac{\sum_{i} r_{i} N_{i}}{N_{T}} = \frac{CMI}{N_{T}}$$
(4)

Where:

i denotes an interruption event

r_i = Restoration Time for each Interruption Event

CMI = Customer Minutes Interrupted

 N_T = Total Number of Customers Served for the Area

CUSTOMER CONTACT SURVEY

The calculations are based on responses from customers, based on surveys performed by an independent third party consultant. A sample of customers who have contacted the call center are surveyed in order to determine their level of satisfaction with their contact. The Company will maintain the same levels of statistical precision of the results as in prior surveys. Eight types of transactions are included in the survey, and the overall results are weighted based on the number of these transactions actually performed at the call center during the year. The eight types of transactions are power interruptions, meter on, meter off, meter exchange, collection, payment plan, meter reread, and meter test.

The percent satisfied represents the responses in the top two categories of customer contact satisfaction under a seven-point scale, where 1=extremely dissatisfied and 7=extremely satisfied.

TELEPHONE CALLS ANSWERED WITHIN 20 SECONDS

The percent of calls answered within 20 seconds is calculated by dividing the number of calls answered within 20 seconds by the total number of calls answered during the year. "Calls answered" include calls answered by a customer service representative ("CSR") and calls completed within the voice response unit ("VRU"). Abandoned calls are not considered. The time to answer is measured once the customer makes a selection to either speak with a CSR or use the VRU. VRU calls are included beginning in the year 2000.

LINEAR INTERPOLATION

- (1) The actual performance or penalty each year will be calculated and the result will be scaled or interpolated linearly between the relevant two points of the results range and the relevant two points on the dollar range.
- (2) The method of determining the actual penalty, or offset, of each performance standard is determined by multiplying the value of the penalty, or offset, by the absolute value of the actual performance indicator minus the value of the first standard deviation from the mean of that indicator, divided by the value of the second standard deviation of the mean of that indicator minus the value of the first standard deviation from the mean of that indicator.

\$ Penalty or Offset \$ Value \$ $\frac{Actual-1^{st}\ standard\ deviation}{2^{nd}\ standard\ deviation-1^{st}\ standard\ deviation}$

ADDITIONAL REPORTING CRITERIA

1. Each quarter, the Company will file a report of 5% of all circuits designated as worst performing on the basis of customer frequency.

Included in the report will be:

- 1. The circuit id and location.
- 2. The number of customers served.
- 3. The towns served.
- 4. The number of events.
- 5. The average duration.
- 6. The total customer minutes.
- 7. A discussion of the cause or causes of events.
- 8. A discussion of the action plan for improvements including timing.
- 2. The Company will track and report monthly the number of calls it receives in the category of Trouble, Non-Outage. This includes inquiries about dim lights, low voltage, half-power, flickering lights, reduced TV picture size, high voltage, frequently burned out bulbs, motor running problems, damaged appliances and equipment, computer operation problems and other non-Interruptions related inquiries.
- 3. The Company will report its annual meter reading performance as an average of monthly percentage of meters read.
- 4. For each event defined as a Major Event Day, the Company will prepare a report, which will be filed annually as part of the annual SQ filing, detailing the following information:
 - 1. Start date/Time of event.
 - 2. Number/Location of crews on duty (both internal and external crews).
 - 3. Number of crews assigned to restoration efforts.
 - 4. The first instance of mutual aid coordination.
 - 5. First contact with material suppliers.
 - 6. Inventory levels: pre-event/daily/post-event.
 - 7. Date/Time of request for external crews.
 - 8. Date/Time of external crew assignment.
 - 9. # of customers out of service by hour.
 - 10. Impacted area.
 - 11. Cause.
 - 12. Weather impact on restoration.
 - 13. Analysis of protective device operation.
 - 14. Summary of customers impacted.

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a copy of the cover letter and / or any materials accompanying this certificate has been mailed or hand-delivered to the individuals listed below.

Joanne M. Scanlon

March 14, 2007

Date

Narragansett Electric Company – Service Quality Plan Docket 3628 - Service List

Name	E-mail Distribution List	Phone/FAX
Laura Olton, Esq.	Laura.olton@us.ngrid.com	401-784-7667
National Grid	Joanne.scanlon@us.ngrid.com	401-784-4321
280 Melrose Street	<u>soume.seamon e as.ngna.com</u>	
Providence RI 02907-1438		
Leo Wold, Esq.	Proberti@riag.state.ri.us	401-222-2424
Dept. of Attorney General	Steve.scialabba@ripuc.state.ri.us	ext. 2299
150 South Main St.	David.stearns@ripuc.state.ri.us	401-222-3016
Providence RI 02903	Al.contente@ripuc.state.ri.us	
John Stutz	Jstutz@tellus.org	
Tellus Institute		
11 Arlington St.		
Boston MA 02116-3411		
W. Mark Russo, Esq.	mrusso@frlawri.com	
Original & nine (9) copies file w/:	Lmassaro@puc.state.ri.us	401-941-4500
Luly E. Massaro, Commission Clerk	Sfrias@puc.state.ri.us	
Public Utilities Commission		
89 Jefferson Boulevard	Tmassaro@puc.state.ri.us	
Warwick RI 02888		
W. Mark Russo, Esq.	mrusso@frlawri.com	