

May 31, 2012

VIA HAND DELIVERY & ELECTRONIC MAIL

Luly E. Massaro, Commission Clerk Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission 89 Jefferson Boulevard Warwick, RI 02888

RE: Docket 2509 - Storm Contingency Fund

Hurricane Earl Event Report

Responses to Division Data Requests - Set 1

Dear Ms. Massaro:

Enclosed are ten copies of the National Grid's¹ responses to the Division's First Set of Data Requests concerning the above-referenced storm in Docket 2509.

Thank you for your attention to this transmittal. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (401) 784-7288.

Very truly yours,

Jennifer Brooks Hutchinson

Enclosures

cc: Leo Wold, Esq.

Steve Scialabba, Division

¹ The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National Grid ("the Company").

The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National Grid Docket No. 2509 In re: National Grid Annual 2011 Storm Fund Report Filed April 30, 2012 Responses to Division Data Requests – Set 1 Issued May 11, 2012

Division 1-1

Request:

According to the 2011 Storm Fund Report, the beginning of the year balance in the fund was modified to reflect the inclusion of Hurricane Earl and the recalculation of interest. Please provide a detailed listing of these modifications.

Response:

The recalculation of interest for previous calendar years and the inclusion of calendar year 2010 incremental Hurricane Earl storm charges are set forth on Attachment DIV 1-1.

The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National Grid R.I.P.U.C. Docket No. 2509 In re: National Grid Annual 2011 Storm Fund Attachment DIV 1-1 Page 1 of 1

The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National Grid Storm Fund Modifications

Line #	_														
1 2 3	December 2010 balance as filed in 2010 Annual Storm Fund Report Updated December 2010 balance as filed in 2011 Annual Storm Fund Report Difference														
5	Components of difference:														
6	Calendar	As Filed	As Filed	Revised Revised			iterest	Hurricane Earl	Total						
7	Year	Interest (%)	Interest (\$)	Interest (%)	Interest (\$)	Increase	e/(Decrease)	Storm Charges		Adjustment					
8	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)		(g)	(h)						
9	2001	5.790%	\$ 496,475	6.030%	\$ 514,152	\$	17,677		\$	17,677					
10	2002	4.990%	536,409	5.020%	542,998		6,589			6,589					
11	2003	4.440%	557,565	4.610%	577,270		19,705			19,705					
12	2004	4.040%	579,020	4.010%	581,191		2,171			2,171					
13	2005	4.290%	679,511	4.270%	677,975		(1,536)			(1,536)					
14	2006	4.340%	775,744	4.290%	769,464		(6,280)			(6,280)					
15	2007	4.830%	873,317	4.800%	868,894		(4,423)			(4,423)					
16	2008	4.460%	881,288	4.630%	910,129		28,841			28,841					
17	2009	3.490%	772,331	3.660%	811,386		39,055			39,055					
18	2010	3.426%	756,912	3.260%	734,305		(22,607)	\$ (595,177)		(617,784)					
19			\$ 6,908,572		\$ 6,987,764	\$	79,192	\$ (595,177)	\$	(515,985)					

The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National Grid Docket No. 2509 In re: National Grid Annual 2011 Storm Fund Report Filed April 30, 2012 Responses to Division Data Requests – Set 1 Issued May 11, 2012

Division 1-2

Request:

According to the April 30, 2012 Hurricane Earl Event Report, the Company was going to simultaneously file with the Commission a report detailing the incremental costs associated with Earl. Has that report been provided? If so please identify it. If not, please provide a copy of the report.

Response:

In its Annual Storm Fund Report for calendar year 2011 that was filed on April 30, 2012 in Docket 2509, the Company provided preliminary incremental costs associated with the Hurricane Earl storm event that were charged to the storm fund during that calendar year, as shown on Attachment 5, pages 1 and 2 of that report. The Company did not, however, provide preliminary incremental costs charged to the storm fund during calendar year 2010. Attachment DIV 1-2 provides total preliminary incremental costs for the Hurricane Earl storm event for both calendar years 2010 and 2011.

The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National Grid Incremental Costs associated with Storm of September 3, 2010

Line			CY 2010															
No.	Outside Companies		Amount		Sep-2010		Oct-2010		Nov-2010		Dec-2010		Jan-2011		Feb-2011		Mar-2011	
		(a)		(b)		(c)		(d)		(e)		(f)		(g)		(h)		
1	ABC Professional Tree Service Inc.	\$	28,381	\$	-	\$	28,381	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
2	Lewis Tree Service Inc.		28,107				28,107											
3	McDonough Electric Const Corp						102,228											
4	Nelson Tree Service Inc.		63,231				63,231											
5	Stanley Tree Service Inc.		10,678				10,678											
6	The Davey Tree Expert Company		17,440				17,440											
7	The Energy Group Inc.		425,168						425,168									
8	National Grid USA Service Co.		119,184		119,184								15,882		(16,847)			
9	Items under \$10,000		65,927		8,974		27,302		27,816		1,836						2,074	
10	Subtotal Outside Companies	\$	860,345	\$	128,158	\$	277,368	\$	452,984	\$	1,836	\$	15,882	\$	(16,847)	\$	2,074	
11	Incremental Company Payroll		109,415		106,819		2,596											
12	Incremental Materials & Supplies		0										370					
13	Incremental Employee Expenses		416		284		132											
14	Total Incremental Costs	\$	970,177		235,261	\$	280,097	\$	452,984	\$	1,836	\$	16,252	\$	(16,847)	\$	2,074	
15	Less Deductible		(375,000)		(235,261)		(139,739)											
16	Amount Charged to Storm Contigency Fund	\$	595,177	\$	-	\$	140,357	\$	452,984	\$	1,836	\$	16,252	\$	(16,847)	\$	2,074	

Incremental costs for January, February and March of 2011 were included in the 2011 Annual Report.

The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National Grid Docket No. 2509 In re: National Grid Annual 2011 Storm Fund Report Filed April 30, 2012 Responses to Division Data Requests – Set 1 Issued May 11, 2012

Division 1-3

Request:

According to the settlement agreement in Docket 2509, the Company is required to file with the Commission within 90 days after the occurrence of a storm a report that provides a description of the storm event. Please explain why the Company didn't file the required report for Hurricane Earl in accordance with the terms of the settlement.

Response:

The Company did not file a summary report for Hurricane Earl within the required 90-day timeframe in accordance with the terms of the settlement agreement in Docket 2509 because at that time, the Company had not determined whether this storm event would qualify for inclusion in the Storm Fund.