From: Syusz=live.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of Rita Yuszczak <Syusz@live.com>

Sent: Saturday, May 18, 2019 8:25 PM

To: Bianco, Todd (PUC)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Reject the Invenergy Burrillville Power Plant

Follow Up Flag: Follow up **Flag Status:** Flagged

Dear Mr. Bianco,

I urge you to reject the fracked gas power plant proposed for Burrillville. Simply put, this power plant would take Rhode Island in the wrong direction.

There are serious concerns about local air and water pollution wherever a fracked gas power plant is built and operates, resulting in environmental and health concerns. If built, this plant would use thousands of gallons of Rhode Island's water sources and generate huge amounts of wastewater every single day. And it would promote fracking and accelerate climate change. Moreover, energy analysis and grid operators have proven that there isn't even a demand in the region for this power plant.

Burrillville residents deserve better than this fracked gas Invenergy plant. Rhode Islanders' future deserves better, too.

Protect our climate, our water, and the next generation of Rhode Islanders by rejecting Inenergy's Burrillville Power Plant proposal.

Sincerely,

Rita Yuszczak 265 Union Ave Pascoag RI, 02859-3308

From: jcrea47=hotmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of Jan Very-Creamer < jcrea47

@hotmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, May 18, 2019 7:33 PM

To: Bianco, Todd (PUC)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Reject the Invenergy Burrillville Power Plant

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Mr. Bianco,

I urge you to reject the fracked gas power plant proposed for Burrillville. Simply put, this power plant would take Rhode Island in the wrong direction.

There are serious concerns about local air and water pollution wherever a fracked gas power plant is built and operates, resulting in environmental and health concerns. If built, this plant would use thousands of gallons of Rhode Island's water sources and generate huge amounts of wastewater every single day. And it would promote fracking and accelerate climate change. Moreover, energy analysis and grid operators have proven that there isn't even a demand in the region for this power plant.

Burrillville residents deserve better than this fracked gas Invenergy plant. Rhode Islanders' future deserves better, too.

Protect our climate, our water, and the next generation of Rhode Islanders by rejecting Inenergy's Burrillville Power Plant proposal.

Sincerely,

Jan Very-Creamer 40 Elm Rd. Wakefield RI, 02879-5502

From: alop1983=protonmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of Angel Lopez <alop1983

@protonmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, May 18, 2019 2:54 PM

To: Bianco, Todd (PUC)

Subject: [EXTERNAL]: Reject the Invenergy Burrillville Power Plant

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Mr. Bianco,

I urge you to reject the fracked gas power plant proposed for Burrillville. Simply put, this power plant would take Rhode Island in the wrong direction.

There are serious concerns about local air and water pollution wherever a fracked gas power plant is built and operates, resulting in environmental and health concerns. If built, this plant would use thousands of gallons of Rhode Island's water sources and generate huge amounts of wastewater every single day. And it would promote fracking and accelerate climate change. Moreover, energy analysis and grid operators have proven that there isn't even a demand in the region for this power plant.

Burrillville residents deserve better than this fracked gas Invenergy plant. Rhode Islanders' future deserves better, too.

Protect our climate, our water, and the next generation of Rhode Islanders by rejecting Inenergy's Burrillville Power Plant proposal.

Sincerely,

Angel Lopez 41 Dorchester Ave FL3 Providence RI, 02909-4526

From: dmk999=verizon.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of Denise Kanakry <dmk999

@verizon.net>

Sent: Saturday, May 18, 2019 6:56 AM

To: Bianco, Todd (PUC)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Reject the Invenergy Burrillville Power Plant

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Mr. Bianco,

I urge you to reject the fracked gas power plant proposed for Burrillville. Simply put, this power plant would take Rhode Island in the wrong direction.

There are serious concerns about local air and water pollution wherever a fracked gas power plant is built and operates, resulting in environmental and health concerns. If built, this plant would use thousands of gallons of Rhode Island's water sources and generate huge amounts of wastewater every single day. And it would promote fracking and accelerate climate change. Moreover, energy analysis and grid operators have proven that there isn't even a demand in the region for this power plant.

Burrillville residents deserve better than this fracked gas Invenergy plant. Rhode Islanders' future deserves better, too.

Protect our climate, our water, and the next generation of Rhode Islanders by rejecting Inenergy's Burrillville Power Plant proposal.

Sincerely,

Denise Kanakry 1990 east wallum lake rd Pascoag RI, 02859-1834

From: billyhoran@aol.com

Sent: Saturday, May 18, 2019 12:59 AM

To: Bianco, Todd (PUC); captbirdfish@gmail.com; Governor (GOV); rep-

mattiello@rilegislature.gov; rep-ruggiero@rilegislature.gov; sen-

dipalma@rilegislature.gov; sen-ruggerio@rilegislature.gov; louis_dipalma@yahoo.com

Cc: editor@newportri.com; letters@providencejournal.com; rep-

mcnamara@rilegislature.gov; rep-handy@rilegislature.gov; Rep-

Kazarian@rilegislature.gov; Rep-Bennett@rilegislature.gov

Subject: [EXTERNAL] : The BIG PICTURE based on data. yet RI chooses to embrace flawed public

policies / a road map to nowhere!

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged


The BIG PICTURE is based on data. yet RI chooses to ignore reality and embrace Flawed public policies / a road map to nowhere! We need the Burrilliville combined cycle power station approved NOW! RI MUST return to formulating public policies based on credible data and not corrupted data and misinformation from fringe group radicals and or the wind and solar industrial complex.

<u>I Love Fossil Fuels</u> for today e.g. combined cycle domestic shale gas fueled power stations as a bridge to tomorrow. Tomorrow disruptive technologies like modern safe and totally clean generation IV Nuclear Technologies power stations.

OBTW if wind and solar generated electricity is claimed to be so cheep - then why do they drive electricity prices up to un-affordable amounts while causing reliability issues?

* Chart common international reference term Mtoe normalizes different energy types for equivalent comparison purposes. The tonne of oil equivalent (toe) is a unit of energy defined as the amount of energy released by ... Multiples of the toe are used, in particular the megatoe (Mtoe, one million toe) and the gigatoe (Gtoe, one billion toe). A smaller unit of kilogram ...

6 hrs [facebook.com] · [facebook.com]



Bjørn LomborgLike Page

Yesterday at 11:15 AM [facebook.com] · [facebook.com]

Solar and wind are taking over the world. We hear it all the time.

Only it is wrong.

Today, solar and wind make up just 0.9% of global energy. In a quarter century, in 2040 – assuming all nations live up to their Paris promises – solar and wind will produce just over 4% of global energy.

These stats come from the latest global energy overview from the most respected institution, the International Energy Agency (the OECD for energy) in its World Energy Outlook 2018 from November 2018. Unfortunately, the full report and much of the statistics is not free.

Moreover, the split into individual renewables like wind, solar PV etc. is not made public (though the IEA model keeps track of them all).

The pie charts here use the data from the new estimates of power demand from 1990-2040 including the latest globally available data from 2016 (p526). It also shows the split into individual renewables obtained from a data request to IEA Power Generation Analysis, World Energy Outlook: Energy Demand Division, Directorate of Sustainability, Technology and Outlooks.

The New Policies Scenario is the optimistic scenario of the International Energy Agency. It is the scenario that takes serious all the rhetoric and promises of nations across the world. In that way, it "holds up a mirror to the ambitions of policy makers around the world, as they exist today."

But of course, this rhetoric of nations is notoriously fickle. If anything, reality is likely to turn out much less ambitious than what we're promising in 2040.

While the IEA has even more optimistic (and hence unrealistic) scenarios, it is important to recognize how unrealistic most of these possible futures are. My favorite quote from their report is:

"Achieving sustainable development goals requires a complete reversal of the historic relationship between economic growth, energy demand and emissions"

Yeah, that's not going to happen...

If you want to see more about how renewables are not taking over the world, take a look at this animation: https://twitter.com/bjornlomborg/status/1112019059577622530 [twitter.com]

http://www.iea.org/weo/

W F Horan

1 Jean Street

Middletown, RI 02842-4536

billyhoran@aol.com

401 846 5732